



भारत का राजपत्र

The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 5]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, फरवरी 2, 1980/माघ 13, 1901

No. 5]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 1980/MAGHA 13, 1901

इस भाग में भिन्न वृक्ष तंत्रों की जाती है जिससे कि यह असर संकलन के रूप में

Separate page is given to this Part so that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा विभाग की ओड कर) भारत सरकार के भाग्यवत् और (सभ राज्यों प्राप्तियों को ओड कर)
केन्द्रीय प्राधिकारियों द्वारा जारी किये गए साधिकारिक घावें और अधिसूचनाएं

**Statutory Orders and Notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India
(other than the Ministry of Defence) by Central Authorities (other than the
Administrations of Union Territories)**

विधि, न्याय और कम्पनी कार्य मंत्रालय

(विधि कार्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 24 दिसंबर, 1979

क्रा. ना. 211.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उपनियम (4) के अनुसरण में, उस उपनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए, आयकर अपील प्रधिकरण के निम्नलिखित कार्यालयों को अधिसूचित करती है:—

1. आयकर अपील प्रधिकरण, मुम्बई न्यायपीठ।
2. आयकर अपील प्रधिकरण, इन्दौर न्यायपीठ।
3. आयकर अपील प्रधिकरण, जगपुर न्यायपीठ।
4. आयकर अपील प्रधिकरण, जबलपुर न्यायपीठ।
5. आयकर अपील प्रधिकरण, अमृतसर न्यायपीठ।
6. आयकर अपील प्रधिकरण, पायपीठ।
7. आयकर अपील प्रधिकरण, चंडीगढ़ न्यायपीठ।
8. आयकर अपील प्रधिकरण, बंगलौर न्यायपीठ।
9. आयकर अपील प्रधिकरण, इलाहाबाद न्यायपीठ।
10. आयकर अपील प्रधिकरण, नागपुर न्यायपीठ।

[सं. ई. 11012(1)/78-प्रशासन III (विभाग)]

मूल राज, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE & COMPANY AFFAIRS
(Department of Legal Affairs)

New Delhi, the 24th December, 1979

S.O. 211.—In pursuance of sub-rule (4) of rule 10 of the Official Languages (use for official purposes of the Union) Rules, 1976, the Central Government hereby notifies the following offices of the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, for the purposes of that sub-rule:—

1. The Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, Bombay Bench.
2. The Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, Indore Bench.
3. The Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, Jaipur Bench.
4. The Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, Jabalpur Bench.
5. The Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, Amritsar Bench.
6. The Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, Pune Bench.
7. The Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench.
8. The Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, Bangalore Bench.
9. The Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, Allahabad Benches.
10. The Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, Nagpur Bench.

[No. E. 11012(1)/78-Adm.III(LA)]
MOOL RAJ, Under Secy.

गृह मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 21 जनवरी, 1980

क्रा. ना. 212.—इस मंत्रालय की तारीख 17 नवम्बर, 1977 की अधिसूचना सं. ए. 35014/21/77-प्रशासन-1(क) के अनुक्रम

में, राष्ट्रपति, हिन्दी शिक्षण योजना, कलकत्ता के उप निदेशक (पूर्व), श्री पी० एल० कनौजिया को 20 अक्टूबर, 1979 से एक वर्ष की, और प्रवधि के लिए प्रतिनियुक्ति के द्वारा पर, राजभाषा विभाग 'क' में उप-निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करते हैं।

[सं० 20/पी०/1095-प्रशासन-1(ए)]
बी० पी० भूषण, अवर. मंत्रिमंत्री

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 21st January, 1980

S.O. 212.—In continuation of this Ministry's Notification No. A. 35014/21/77-Ad.I (A) dated the 17th November, 1977, the President is pleased to appoint Shri P. L. Kanaujiya, Deputy Director (East), Hindi Teaching Scheme, Calcutta, as Deputy Director in the Department of Official Language for a further period of one year on deputation basis with effect from the 29th October, 1979.

[No. 20/G/1095-Ad.I(A)]
V. P. LUTHRA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 21 जनवरी, 1980

का० प्रा० 213.—सरकारी स्थान (प्रधानियम की वेदान्ती) प्रधानियम, 1971 (1971 का 40) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, हिन्दीय सरकार अरुणाचल प्रदेश सभा राज्य क्षेत्र की सरकार के अपर उपायुक्त, इटानगर को, जो सरकार के एक राजपत्रित प्रधानियाई है, उक्त प्रधानियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए सुम्बद्ध प्रधानियाई नियुक्त करती है। वे अरुणाचल प्रदेश सभा राज्य क्षेत्र के राजधानी परियोजना क्षेत्र में स्थित सरकारी स्थानों के सम्बद्ध में उक्त प्रधानियम द्वारा अवधा उसके अधीन सम्बद्ध प्रधानियाई को प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करेंगे और सौंपे गए कर्तव्यों का पालन करेंगे।

[सं० 14016/2/79-ए० पी०]
बी० पी० श्रीवास्तव, संयुक्त मंत्रिमंत्री

New Delhi, the 21st January, 1980

S.O. 213.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of unauthorised occupants) Act, 1971 (40 of 1971), the Central Government hereby appoints the Additional Deputy Commissioner, Itanagar of the Government of the Union Territory of Arunachal Pradesh, being a Gazetted Officer of the Government to be Estate Officer for the purposes of the said Act, who shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed, on Estate officer by or under the said Act, in respect of the public premises in the Capital Project Area in the Union Territory of Arunachal Pradesh.

[No. 14016/2/79-AP]
P. P. SHRIVASTAV, J. Secy.

वित्त मंत्रालय

(राजस्व विभाग):

नई दिल्ली, 18 नवम्बर, 1979

प्राय-कर

का० प्रा० 214.—सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए यह प्रधानियत किया जाता है कि सचिव, विज्ञान और प्रौद्योगिकी विभाग, नई दिल्ली ने निम्नलिखित वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान कार्यक्रम को, भाय-कर नियम, 1962 के नियम 6(iv) के साथ पठित आय-कर प्रधानियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (2-क) के प्रयोजनों के लिए नीचे विविषिष्ट प्रवधि के लिए अनुमोदित किया है।

वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान कार्यक्रम का नाम: एच औ सी (हिन्दुस्तान आर्गेनिक कैमिकल्स), जिसका कोमाला में बहिःकारों का द्वितीयक उपचार

आयोजक का नाम: हिन्दुस्तान आर्गेनिक कैमिकल्स लि०

आयोजन स्थल: राष्ट्रीय पर्यावरण इंजीनियरी अनु-

संधान संस्थान, नागपुर

प्रारम्भ करने की तारीख: 21-3-1979

पूर्ण होने की प्रत्याधित तारीख: 21-3-1980

प्राकलिस लागत: 50,000 रु०

2. राष्ट्रीय पर्यावरण इंजीनियरी अनुसंधान संस्थान, नागपुर वैज्ञानिक प्रयोजन संस्थान परिषद का एक एकत्र है जो कि आय-कर प्रधानियम, 1922 की धारा 10(2)(xiii) के अधीन अनुमोदित है, देखिए प्रत्यापूर्व विषय विभाग की प्रधिसूचना सं० 34, तारीख 24-11-1946।

[सं० 3071 (पा० सं० 203/145/79-प्राईटी प. II)]

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

New Delhi, the 16th November, 1979

INCOME TAX

S. O. 214.—It is thereby notified for general information that the following scientific research programme has been approved for the period specified below for the purposes of sub-section (2A) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with rule 6 (iv) of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 by the Secretary, Department of Science & Technology, New Delhi.

Name of the scientific research programme: Secondary Treatment of Effluents at HOC (Hindustan Organic Chemicals) Distt. Kolaba.

Name of the sponsor: Hindustan Organic Chemicals Ltd., Distt. Kolaba.

Sponsored at: National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Nagpur.

Date of commencement: 21-3-1979
Anticipated date of completion: 21-3-1980
Estimated cost: Rs. 50,000

2. This National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Nagpur is, a unit of CSIR which stands approved under section 10(2) (xiii) of the Income-tax Act, 1922 vide late Finance Department Notification No. 34 dated 24-11-1946.

[No. 3071/(F. No. 203/145/79-ITA.II)]

नई दिल्ली, 30 नवम्बर, 1979

प्राय-कर

का० प्रा० 215.—सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए प्रधिसूचित किया जाता है कि विशिष्ट प्राधिकारी, प्रथम, भारतीय समाज विज्ञान अनुसंधान परिषद ने निम्नलिखित संस्था को आय-कर प्रधानियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के प्रयोजनों के लिए निम्नलिखित गतों पर अनुमोदित किया है, प्रथमतः—

(1) इस क्षुट के अधीन भारतीय भाषा परिषद कलकत्ता द्वारा एकत्र की गई निधियों का उपयोग समाज विज्ञान में अनुसंधान के लिए ही किया जाएगा।

(2) क्षुट के अधीन परिषद द्वारा एकत्र निधियों का एक पृथक लेखा रखा जाएगा।

(3) परिषद, लेखा का वार्षिक विवरण और वार्षिक रिपोर्ट, भारतीय समाज विज्ञान अनुसंधान परिषद को भी और साथ ही सम्बद्ध आय कर आयुक्त को, भेजेगा, जिसमें क्षुट के अधीन एकत्र की गई निधियों और उस रीति को, जिसमें उनका उपयोग किया गया है,

वर्णाया जाएगा।

संस्था

भारतीय भाषा परिषद, कलकत्ता।

यह प्रधिसूचना 1 अप्रैल, 1979 से 31 मार्च, 1982 तक की तीन वर्ष की प्रवधि के लिए प्रवृत्त रहेगी।

[सं० 3080 (पा० सं० 203/99/79-प्राईटी प. II)]

New Delhi, the 30th November, 1979

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 215.—It is hereby notified for general information that the institution mentioned below has been approved by the Indian Council of Social Science Research the prescribed authority for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961, subject to the following conditions :—

1. The funds collected by Bharatiya Bhasha Parishad, Calcutta, under this exemption will be utilized, exclusively for promotion of research in Social Science.
2. That the Parishad shall maintain separate accounts of the funds collected by them under the exemption.
3. That the Parishad shall send annual statement of accounts and annual report to the Indian Council of Social Science Research as well as to the concerned Commissioner of Income-tax, showing the funds the funds collected by them under the exemption and the manner in which the funds were utilized.

INSTITUTION

BHARATIYA BHASHA PARISHAD, CALCUTTA.

This notification is effective for a period of three years from 1st April, 1979 to 31st March, 1982

[No. 3080 (F. No. 203/99/79-ITA. II)]

कांगड़ा १२१०.—संवेदनाधारण की जानकारी के लिए यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि सचिव, विज्ञान और प्रौद्योगिकी विभाग, नई दिल्ली ने आयकर नियम, 1962 के नियम ८(iv) के माध्यम पड़ित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (2क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, निम्नलिखित वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान कार्यक्रम को नीचे विविध अवधि के लिए अनुमोदित कर दिया है—
वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान कार्यक्रम का नाम : प्रियोफिलीन, एमिनोफिलीन और कैफीन—स्तर II पर पर्याप्त, आरंभिक संयंक स्तर पर, जानकारी ये विकास और आरंभिक रासायनिक इंजीनियरी पांकड़े एकत्र करता।

प्रायोजक का नाम : डॉ. आर० महेश्वरी, प्लाट सं० 10, कार्यालय : डॉ० ई-मोजेज रोड, बाली, मुम्बई-18
प्रायोजन स्थल : राष्ट्रीय रासायनिक प्रयोगशाला, पुणे
प्रारंभ की प्रस्तावित तारीख : 13-८-१९७९
पूर्ण होने वाली अनुमानित तारीख : 12-३-१९८०
अनुमानित सांगत : 2.5 साल रुपए

2. राष्ट्रीय रासायनिक प्रयोगशाला, पुणे ई० औ० घ० प० का एक एकक है, जो आयकर अधिनियम, 1922 की धारा 10(2)(xiii) के अधीन वित्त विभाग की अधिसूचना सं० 34 तारीख 24-11-1946 द्वारा पहले से ही अनुमोदित है।

[सं० 3081(फा० सं० 203/185/79-पा०ध० II)]

S.O. 216.—It is hereby notified for general information that the following scientific research programme has been approved for the period specified below for the purposes of sub-section (2A) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with Rule 6(iv) of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 by the Secretary, Department of Science & Technology, New Delhi.

Name of the scientific research programme : Theophylline, Aminophylline and Caffeine-Development of know-how at Level II viz. on pilot plant scale and to collect basic chemical engineering design data.

Name of the Sponsor

Dr. R. Maheshwari, Plot No. 10, Off. Dr. E. Moscs Road, Worli, Bombay-18.

Sponsored at :

National Chemical Laboratory, Pune.

Proposed date of commencement :

13-6-1979

Anticipated date of completion :

12-5-1980

Estimated outlay:

Rs. 2.5 lakhs.

2. The National Chemical Laboratory, Pune is a unit of C. S. I. R. which stands approved u/s. 10(2) (xiii) of the Income-tax Act, 1922 vide late Finance Department Notification No. 34 dated 24-11-1946.

[No. 3081 (F. No. 203/165/79-ITA. II)]

मई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 1979

आयकर

कांगड़ा २१७.—इस विभाग की अधिसूचना संख्यांक 1643 (फा० सं० 203/7/77-पा०ध० टी० ए-II), तारीख 28 जनवरी, 1977 के क्रम में, संवेदनाधारण की जानकारी के लिए यह सूचित किया जाता है कि सचिव, कृषि विभाग, कृषि मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार, नई दिल्ली ने, जो कि एक विद्वित प्राधिकारी है, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35-ग की उपधारा (1) के षष्ठ (क) के प्रयोजनार्थ नीचे लिखे संस्थान को 1 भ्रमण, 1979 से 31 मार्च, 1982 तक की तीन वर्ष की अतिरिक्त अवधि के लिए, अनुमोदित कर दिया है।

संस्थान

वि. सोमा काटन डेवलपमेंट एण्ड रिसर्च एसोसिएशन, कोयम्बटूर।

[सं० 3097 (फा० सं० 203/171/78-पा०ध० टी० ए-II)]

जे० पी० शर्मा, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 14th December, 1979

INCOME TAX

S.O. 217.—In continuation of this Department's notification No. 1643 (F. No. 203/7/77-ITA. II) dated the 28th January, 1977, it is hereby notified for general information that the institution mentioned below has been approved by the Secretary, Department of Agriculture, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi, the prescribed authority for the purposes of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of Section 35C of the Income-tax Act, 1961 for a further period of three years with effect from 1st April, 1979 to 31st March, 1982.

INSTITUTION

The Sima Cotton Development and Research Association, Coimbatore.

[No. 3097 (F. No. 203/171/78-ITA. II)]
J. P. SHARMA, Director

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 14 जनवरी, 1980

का० प्रा० 218.—भारत सरकार के अपर सचिव ने, जिन्हें विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन विशेष रूप से सशक्त किया गया है, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3(1) के अधीन आदेश संख्या 673/23/78-सीमाशुल्क-8, तारीख 12 जनवरी, 1979 जारी करके यह निर्देश दिया था कि श्री कामदेव सिंह, सुनुव स्थर्गीय नाथुमिह, (1) ग्राम नयागांव, पुलिस थाना एवं जिना बेगूसराय (बिहार) और (2) 26A, रोजमरी लेन, हावड़ा को माल की तस्करी करने, माल की तस्करी के लिए दुष्प्रेरण करने और तस्करित माल को स्थानांतरित करने, छिपाने और रखने से निवारित करने के लिए उसे प्रेसिडेंसी कारागार, कलकत्ता में निश्चय किया जाए और अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए; और

2. केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि उपरोक्त व्यक्ति इस उद्देश्य से कि आदेश का निष्पादन न हो सके, फरार हो गया है या स्वयं को छिपाए हुए है;

3. केन्द्रीय सरकार, विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 की धारा 7(1) (ब) के प्रवीन शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए उपरोक्त व्यक्ति को निरेश देती है कि वह इस आदेश के राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख से सात दिन के भीतर पुलिस महानिरीक्षक, पश्चिम बंगाल, कलकत्ता के समक्ष हाजिर हो।

[सं० 673/23/78-सीमा शुल्क-VIII]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 14th January, 1980

S.O. 218.—Whereas the Additional Secretary to the Government of India, specially empowered under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974, issued Order F. No. 673/23/78-Cus. VIII dated the 12th January, 1979, under section 3(1) ibid directing that Shri Kamdeo Singh, S/o late Nathu Singh, (1) village Nayagaon, P. S. and Distt. Begusarai (Bihar) and (2) 26A, Rosemary Lane, Howrah, be detained and kept in custody in the Presidency Jail, Calcutta, with a view to preventing him from smuggling goods, abetting the smuggling of goods and engaging in transporting, concealing and keeping smuggled goods; and

Whereas the Central Government has reason to believe that the aforesaid person has absconded or is concealing himself so that the order cannot be executed;

3. The Central Government in exercise of powers under section 7(1)(b) of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 hereby direct the aforesaid person to appear before the Inspector-General of Police, West Bengal, Calcutta, within seven days of the publication of this order in the official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/23/78-Cus.VIII]

का० प्रा० 219.—भारत सरकार के अपर सचिव ने, जिन्हें विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन विशेष रूप से सशक्त किया गया है, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3(1) के अधीन आदेश संख्या 673/24/78-सीमा शुल्क-8, तारीख 12 जनवरी, 1979 जारी करके यह निरेश दिया था कि श्री भद्रोई सिंह (1) 26A, रोजमरी लेन, हावड़ा (पश्चिम बंगाल) और (2) ग्राम नयागांव, जिना बेगूसराय, बिहार को, माल की तस्करी

करने, साल की तस्करी के लिए दुष्प्रेरण करने और तस्करित माल को स्थानांतरित करने, छिपाने और रखने से निवारित करने के लिए उसे प्रेसिडेंसी कारागार, कलकत्ता में निश्चय किया जाए और अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए; और

2. केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि उपरोक्त व्यक्ति इस उद्देश्य से कि आदेश का निष्पादन न हो सके, फरार हो गया है या स्वयं को छिपाए हुए हैं;

3. केन्द्रीय सरकार, विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 की धारा 7(1) (ब) के अधीन शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उपरोक्त व्यक्ति को निरेश देती है कि वह इस आदेश के राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख से सात दिन के भीतर पुलिस महानिरीक्षक, पश्चिम बंगाल, कलकत्ता के समक्ष हाजिर हो।

[सं० 673/24/78-सीमा शुल्क-VIII]

S.O. 219.—Whereas the Additional Secretary to the Government of India, specially empowered under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974, issued Order F. No. 673/24/78-Cus.VIII dated the 12th January, 1979, under Section 3(1) ibid directing that Shri Bhadoi Singh (1) 26A, Rosemary Lane, Howrah (West Bengal), and (2) Village Nayagaon, Distt. Begusarai Bihar, be detained and kept in custody in the Presidency Jail, Calcutta, with a view to preventing him from smuggling goods, a betting the smuggling of goods and engaging in transporting, concealing and keeping smuggled goods; and

2. Whereas the Central Government has reason to believe that the aforesaid person as absconded or is concealing himself so that the order cannot be executed;

3. The Central Government in exercise of powers under section 7(1)(b) of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974, hereby direct the aforesaid person to appear before the Inspector-General of Police, West Bengal, Calcutta, within seven days of the publication of this order in the official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/24/78-Cus.VIII]

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 22 जनवरी, 1980

का० प्रा० 220.—भारत सरकार के अपर सचिव में, जिन्हें विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 (यथा संशोधित) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन विशेष रूप से सशक्त किया गया है, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3(1) के अधीन आदेश संख्या 673/20/78-सीमा शुल्क-VIII, तारीख 35 विसम्बर, 1979 जारी करके यह निरेश दिया था कि श्री के० जार्ज, सुनुव श्री के० श्री० कुरड़ोज, 46-अ०, रुसा रोड़ (पूर्व), प्रथम लेन, कलकत्ता-33 (वैकल्पिक पता—माफत सोशाकन हाउस, पश्चामोड़, डाकखाना—कैराझी, जिला पालबाट, केरल), को माल की तस्करी करने से रोकने की दृष्टि से प्रेसिडेंसी कारागार, कलकत्ता में निश्चय किया जाए और अभिरक्षा में रखा जाए; और

2. केन्द्रीय सरकार के पास यह विश्वास करने का कारण है कि उपरोक्त व्यक्ति इस उद्देश्य से कि आदेश का निष्पादन न हो सके, फरार हो गया है या स्वयं को छिपाए हुए है;

3. केन्द्रीय सरकार, विदेशी मुद्रा संरक्षण और तस्करी निवारण अधिनियम, 1974 (यथा संशोधित) की धारा 7(1)(ब) के अधीन शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उपरोक्त व्यक्ति को निरेश देती है कि वह इस आदेश के राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख से सात दिन के भीतर पुलिस मायुक्त, कलकत्ता के समक्ष हाजिर हो।

[सं० 673/20/78-सीमा शुल्क-VIII]

एन० प्रा० 221० रामनाथन, वर्चर सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 22nd January, 1980

S.O. 220.—Whereas the Additional Secretary to the Government of India, specially empowered under Sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (as amended) issued Order F. No. 673/20/79-Cus. VIII, dated the 15th December, 1979, under Section 3(1) *ibid* directing that Shri K. K. George, son of Shri K. V. Kurikose, 46-B, Russa Road (East) 1st Lane, Calcutta-33 (alternate address C/o Loshakkan House, Payyamode, P.O. Kairady, Distt. Palghat, Kerala) be detained and kept in custody in the Presidency Jail, Calcutta, with a view to preventing him from smuggling goods;

2. Whereas the Central Government has reason to believe that the aforesaid person has absconded or is concealing himself so that the order cannot be executed;

3. The Central Government in exercise of powers under section 7(1)(b) of the Conservation of Foreign Exchange and Prevention of Smuggling Activities Act, 1974 (as amended), hereby direct the aforesaid person to appear before the Commissioner of Police, Calcutta, within seven days of the publication of this order in the Official Gazette.

[F. No. 673/20/79-Cus. VIII]

N. I. RAMANATHAN, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 जनवरी, 1980

का० आ० 221.—भारतीय स्टाम्प प्रधिनियम, 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 20 की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रत्येक शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार के बित्त मंत्रालय (राजस्व विभाग) की 15 नवम्बर, 1979 की अधिकूचना संख्या 36/79 स्टाम्प फॉ. सं. 33/1/79-दिं कर (का० आ० संख्या 3855) की अधिकारित करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा, स्टाम्प गुल्म की संगणना के प्रयोजन से नीचे की सारणी के स्तर (2) में विनिर्दिष्ट विवेशी मुद्रा को भारतीय मुद्रा में सम्पर्यात करने के लिए, विनियम की वर उसके स्तर (3) में तस्मान्धी प्रविष्टियों में विहित करती है।

सारणी

| स्तर | विवेशी मुद्रा | 100 रुपये के समतुल्य विवेशी मुद्रा के विनियम की वर |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1. आस्ट्रियन शिलिंग | | 150 |
| 2. आस्ट्रेलियन डॉलर | | 11.07 |
| 3. बैल्फियर फैंक | | 342 |
| 4. कनाडियन डॉलर | | 14.39 |
| 5. ब्रितिश शेनर | | 65.70 |
| 6. ब्रूती शर्क | | 21.20 |
| 7. डच गिल्डर | | 23.40 |
| 8. फ्रेंच फैंक | | 49.50 |
| 9. हांग कांग डॉलर | | 60.00 |
| 10. इटालियन लीरा | | 9890 |
| 11. जापानी येन | | 2940 |
| 12. मलेशियन डॉलर | | 26.50 |
| 13. नोर्वेजियन क्रोनर | | 61.10 |
| 14. पॉंड स्टर्लिंग | | 5.5300 |
| 15. स्वीडिश क्रोनर | | 51.20 |
| 16. स्विस फैंक | | 19.50 |
| 17. यू.ए.ए. डॉलर | | 12.50 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 |
|--------------------|---|-------|
| 15. स्वीडिश क्रोनर | | 51.20 |
| 16. स्विस फैंक | | 19.50 |
| 11. अमरीकी डॉलर | | 12.50 |

[संख्या 2/80-स्टाम्प-फा० संख्या 33/1/79-दिं]

एस० डी० रामस्वामी, अध्यक्ष सचिव

(Department of Revenue)

New Delhi, the 16th January, 1980

S. O. 221.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 20 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899) and in supersession of the notification of Government of India, in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) No. 36/79-Stamp—F. No. 33/1/79-ST (S. O. 3855) dated the 15th November, 1979, the Central Government hereby prescribe in column (3) of the Table below the rate of exchange for the conversion of the foreign currency specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) thereof into the currency of India for the purpose of calculating stamp duty.

TABLE

| SI. No. | Foreign currency | Rate of ex- change of foreign currency equi- valent to Rs. 100 |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1. Austrian Schillings | | 150 |
| 2. Australian Dollars | | 11.07 |
| 3. Belgian Francs | | 342 |
| 4. Canadian Dollars | | 14.39 |
| 5. Danish Kroners | | 65.70 |
| 6. Deutsche Marks | | 21.20 |
| 7. Dutch Guilders | | 23.40 |
| 8. French Francs | | 49.50 |
| 9. Hong Kong Dollars | | 60.00 |
| 10. Italian Lire | | 9890 |
| 11. Japanese Yen | | 2940 |
| 12. Malaysian Dollars | | 26.50 |
| 13. Norwegian Kroners | | 61.10 |
| 14. Pound Sterling | | 5.5300 |
| 15. Swedish Kroners | | 51.20 |
| 16. Swiss Francs | | 19.50 |
| 17. U.S.A. Dollars | | 12.50 |

[No. 2/80-Stamp-F. No. 33/1/79-ST]
S. D. RAMASWAMY, Under Secy.

(प्रारंभिक शार्प विभाग)

(ईंकांग प्रश्नाग)

नई दिल्ली, 15 जनवरी, 1980

का० आ० 222.—ईंकांग विनियमन प्रधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 53 द्वारा प्रत्येक शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय मरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर, एतद्वारा यह घोषणा करती है कि उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा 10 द्वारा उपधारा (9) के उपर्यन्त 31 मार्च, 1980 तक विजय बैंक लिमिटेड, मंगलौर पर उस सीमा तक लागू नहीं होंगे जहाँ तक उक्त उपर्यन्त इस बैंक के किसी व्यक्ति को चार भाव से भ्रष्टिकी प्रवधि के लिये अध्यक्ष के रूप में कार्य करने के बास्ते नियुक्त करने पर रोक लगाते हैं।

[संख्या 15(30)-बी० फॉ०-III/79]
एस० डी० बड़ा, अध्यक्ष सचिव

(Department of Economic Affairs)

(Banking Division)

New Delhi, the 15th January, 1980

S.O. 222.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendations of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declare that the provisions of sub-section (9) of Section 10B of the said Act shall not, to the extent they preclude the bank from appointing a person to carry out the duties of a Chairman beyond a period of four months, apply to the Vijaya Bank Ltd., Mangalore, up to the 31st March 1980.

[No. 15(30)-B.O.III/79]
N. D. BATRA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 जनवरी, 1980

का० आ० 223.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 56 के साथ पठित धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर एतद्वारा घोषणा करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 9 के उपबन्ध, इस अधिसूचना के भारत के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित होने की तारीख से 23 अप्रैल, 1983 तक की अवधि के लिए सिरमो सेंट्रल बॉ-प्रापरेटिव बैंक लि०, मिरसा पर उस सीमा तक लागू नहीं होंगे जहाँ तक इनका सम्बन्ध इस बैंक द्वारा कुछ गैर बैंकिंग परिस्थितियों अथवा स्टेट बैंक, छवाली के नजदीक स्थित 80'×32' के एक प्लाट की धारिता से है।

[संख्या 8(37)/79-ए०सी०]

का० आ० 225.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 56 के साथ पठित धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर एतद्वारा घोषणा करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 9 के उपबन्ध, इस अधिसूचना के भारत के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित होने की तारीख से 1 मार्च, 1982 तक की अवधि के लिए, श्री योरान यूनियन को-ऑपरेटिव बैंक सिं०, योरान पर उस सीमा तक लागू नहीं होंगे जहाँ तक इनका सम्बन्ध, इस बैंक की उन कुछ गैर-बैंकिंग परिस्थितियों की धारिता से है जिनका विवरण नीचे दिया गया है:—

गैर-बैंकिंग परिस्थितियों

| क्रम संख्या | भैंक | मौजा | दग संख्या | खतियान संख्या | मूल्य |
|-------------|---------|---------------|-----------|---------------|----------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1. 5½ | बैंसीमल | बारहस | 3129 | 444/1 | ₹० 1,200 |
| 2. 2½ | बैंसीमल | बारहस | 401 | 405 | ₹० 6,000 |
| 3. 4 | बैंसीमल | बारहस फरताबाद | 61 | 1426 | ₹० 500 |

[संख्या 8(42)/79-ए०सी०]

S.O. 225.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 read with section 56 of the Banking Regulation Act 1949 (10 of 1949) the Central Government on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of Section 9 of the said Act shall not apply to the Boral Union Cooperative Bank Ltd., Boral in so far as they relate to its holding of certain non-banking assets as described below for the period from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette of India to 1 March, 1982.

Non-Banking Assets

| Sr. No. | Area | Mouza | Dag No. | Khatian No. | Value |
|---------|---------|------------------|---------|-------------|-----------|
| 1. | 5½ Dec. | Barhans | 3/29 | 444/1 | Rs. 1,200 |
| 2. | 2½.. | " | 401 | 405 | Rs. 6,000 |
| 3. | 4 .. | Barhans Fartabad | 61 | 1426 | Rs. 500 |

[No. 8(42)/79-AC]

New Delhi, the 16th January, 1980

S.O. 223.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 read with Section 56 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of Section 9 of the said Act shall not apply to the Sirsa Central Cooperative Bank Ltd., Sirsa in so far as they relate to its holding of a non-banking asset viz. a plot of land measuring 80'×32' situated near State Bank of India, Dabwali for the period from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette of India to 23 April 1983.

[No. 8(37)/79-AC]

का० आ० 224.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 56 के साथ पठित धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर एतद्वारा घोषणा करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 9 के उपबन्ध, इस अधिसूचना के भारत के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित होने की तारीख से 28 फरवरी, 1983 तक की अवधि के लिए सिरमो सेंट्रल बॉ-प्रापरेटिव बैंक लि०, हिसार पर उस सीमा तक लागू नहीं होंगे जहाँ तक इनका सम्बन्ध इस बैंक द्वारा कुछ गैर बैंकिंग परिस्थितियों अथवा स्टेट बैंक, टोहाना पर स्थित 766 बर्गमीट की मूलि के एक प्लाट की धारिता से है।

[संख्या 8(37)/79-ए०सी०]

S.O. 224.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 read with section 56 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949) the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of Section 9 of the said Act shall not apply to the Hissar District Central Cooperative Bank Ltd., Hissar in so far as they relate to its holding of a non-banking asset viz. one plot of land measuring 766 sqr. yards at Chandigarh Road, Tohana for the period from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette of India to 28 February, 1983.

[No. 8(37)/79-AC]

का० आ० 226.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 56 के साथ पठित धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर, एतद्वारा घोषणा करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) के उपबन्ध, इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशित होने की तारीख से 30 मई, 1980 तक की अवधि तक, दी बड़ागरा को-आपरेटिव प्रबंधन बैंक लिमिटेड, बड़ागरा पर लागू नहीं होंगे।

[संख्या 8(40)/79-ए०सी०]

S.O. 226.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 read with section 56 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949) the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of sub-section (1) of Section 11 of the said Act shall not apply to the Badagara Cooperative Urban Bank Ltd., Badagara for a period from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette to 30 May, 1980.

[No. 8(40)/79-AC]

नई दिल्ली, 17 जनवरी, 1980

का० आ० 227.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 56 के साथ पठित धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर एतद्वारा घोषणा करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) के उपबन्ध, इस अधिसूचना के भारत के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित होने की तारीख से 31 मार्च, 1981 तक की अवधि के लिए कोटागिरि को-आपरेटिव प्रबंधन बैंक लिमिटेड, कोटागिरि, तमिलनाडु पर लागू नहीं होंगे।

[संख्या 8(2)/80-ए०सी०]

New Delhi, the 17th January, 1980

S.O. 227.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 read with Section 56 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of Sub-Section (1) of Section 11 of the said Act shall not apply to the Kotagiri Cooperative Urban Bank Ltd., Kotagiri, Tamil Nadu from the date of publication of this Notification in the Gazette of India upto 31 March, 1981.

[No. 8(2)/80-AC]

का० आ० 228.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 56 के साथ पठित धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर एतद्वारा घोषणा करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 9 के उपबन्ध, इस अधिसूचना के भारत के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित होने की तारीख से 30 जून, 1980 तक की अवधि के लिए पुडुकोट्टई सेन्ट्रल को-आपरेटिव बैंक लिमिटेड, पुडुकोट्टई पर उस सीमा तक लागू नहीं होंगे जहाँ तक इनका सम्बन्ध एलनगुडी प्राम, एलनगुडी तालुक, जिला पुडुकोट्टई, तमिलनाडु में नैर बैंकिंग परिस्थितियों अर्थात् अम-

स० 78/ए 1—ए 2 तथा ए 38/ए 2 तथा ए 39 सेट तथा 1.50 सेट की माप के दो खानों तथा उन पर स्थित गोदाम की इमारत से है।

[संख्या 8(38)/79-

S.O. 228.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 read with Section 56 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of Section 9 of the said Act shall not apply to the Pudukkottai Central Cooperative Bank Ltd., Pudukkottai in so far as they relate to its holding of non-banking assets viz. 2 sites under S. N. 78/A1-A2 and AIAI admeasuring 0.39 cents and 1.50 cents respectively with a godown thereon at Alangudi village, Alangudi Taluk, Pudukkottai District, Tamil Nadu from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette to 30 June, 1980.

[No. 8(38)/79-AC]
YASHWANT RAJ, Under Secy.

शुद्धि पत्र

का० आ० 229.—भारत के राजपत्र के भाग II, खण्ड 3(ii) में प्रकाशित विसंगतालय, आर्थिक कार्य विभाग (बैंकिंग प्रभाग) की दिनांक 18 जुलाई, 1979 की अधिसूचना संख्या 8(19)/79-ए०सी० में जहाँ कहीं भी शब्द “मारदा” आये, उसके स्थान पर शब्द “हरदा” प्रतिस्थापित समझा जाये।

[संख्या 8(19)/79-ए०सी०]
यशवन्त राज, भ्रवर सचिव

नई दिल्ली, 19 जनवरी, 1980

का० आ० 230.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 56 के साथ पठित धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर एतद्वारा घोषणा करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) के उपबन्ध, इस अधिसूचना के भारत के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित होने की तारीख से 29 फरवरी, 1980 तक की अवधि के लिए दी हिमाचल प्रदेश स्टेट को-आपरेटिव बैंक लिं, शिमला पर लागू नहीं होंगे।

[संख्या 8(41)/79-ए०सी०]
दिनेश चन्द्र, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 19th January, 1980

S.O. 230.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 read with Section 56 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of sub-section (1) of Section 11 of the said Act shall not apply to the Himachal Pradesh State Cooperative Bank Ltd., Simla for a period from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette to 29 February, 1980.

[No. 8(41)/79-AC]
DINESH CHANDRA, Director.

भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक
RESERVE BANK OF INDIA

क्र. ०३० २३१.—भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक प्रधिनियम, १९३४ के प्रत्यन्तरण में १९७९ के दिनांक २८ दिसंबर को समाप्त हुए सप्ताह के लिए लेखा
 S.O. २३१.—An Account pursuant to the RESERVE BANK OF INDIA ACT, 1934 for the week ended the 28th day of December, 1979

इष्ट विभाग
ISSUE DEPARTMENT

| देयताएं LIABILITIES | रुपये Rs. | रुपये Rs. | आस्तिया ASSETS | रुपये Rs. | रुपये Rs. |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| बैंकिंग विभाग में रखे हुए नोट | | | सोने का भिन्नका और बुलियन :— | | |
| Notes held in the Banking Department | 15,10,05,000 | | Gold Coin and Bullion | | |
| संचलन में नोट | | | (क) भारत में रखा हुआ | | |
| Notes in circulation | 11046,32,57,000 | | (a) Held in India | 224,71,19,000 | |
| जारी किये गये कुल नोट | | | (ब) भारत के बाहर रखा हुआ | | |
| Total Notes issued | 11061,42,62,000 | | (b) Held outside India | | |
| | | | विदेशी प्रतिश्रूतियां | | |
| | | | Foreign Securities | 2664,05,75,000 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | जोड़ | | |
| | | | Total | 2888,76,94,000 | |
| | | | रुपये का सिक्का | | |
| | | | Rupee Coin | 61,73,02,000 | |
| | | | भारत सरकार की रुपया प्रतिश्रूतियां | | |
| | | | Government of India Rupee | | |
| | | | Securities | 8110,92,66,000 | |
| | | | देशी बिनिमय विल और इस्तरे | | |
| | | | वाणिज्य-पत्र | | |
| | | | Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper | | |
| | | | | | |
| कुल देयताएं | | | कुल आस्तियां | | |
| Total Liabilities | 11061,42,62,000 | | Total Assets | 11061,42,62,000 | |

दिनांक : २ जनवरी, १९८०
 Dated 2nd day of January, 1980

आर्डॉ और पटेल, गवर्नर
 I. G. Patel, Governor

New Delhi, the 21st January, 1980

२८ दिसंबर, १९७९ को भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक के बैंकिंग विभाग के कार्यकालाप का विवरण

Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, Banking Department as on 28th December, 1979

| देयताएं LIABILITIES | रुपये Rs. | आस्तिया ASSETS | रुपये Rs. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| शुक्रता पूँजी Capital Paid Up | 5,00,00,000 | नोट Notes | 15,10,05,000 |
| प्रारंभित निधि Reserve Fund | 150,00,00,000 | रुपये का सिक्का Rupee Coin | 4,32,000 |
| राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (श्रीधरकालीन प्रबंधन) निधि National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund | 750,00,00,000 | छोटा सिक्का Small Coin | 5,60,000 |
| राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरकरण) निधि National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund | 225,00,00,000 | जारीदे और मुनाये गये विल Bills Purchased and Discounted :— | |
| राष्ट्रीय श्रोद्धोगिक ऋण (श्रीधरकालीन प्रबंधन) निधि National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund | 1135,00,00,000 | (क) देशी (a) Internal | 99,80,18,000 |
| जमाराजियो :— Deposits :— | | (ब) विदेशी (c) Government Treasury Bills | |
| (क) सरकारी (a) Government | | (ग) सरकारी बजाराना विल Balances Held Abroad* | 769,45,83,000 |
| केन्द्रीय सरकार (i) Central Government | 50,70,66,000 | (निवेश Investments** | 2349,37,74,000 |
| राज्य सरकारें (ii) State Governments | 11,54,49,000 | | 1150,24,01,000 |

| देयताएं LIABILITIES | रुपये Rs. | आस्तियां ASSETS | रुपये Rs. |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| (a) बैंक | | ऋण और अग्रिम :— | |
| (b) Banks | | Loans and Advances to :— | |
| अनुसूचित आणिंज्य बैंक | | केन्द्रीय सरकार को | |
| (i) Scheduled Commercial Banks | 3373,67,84,000 | (i) Central Government | .. |
| अनुसूचित राज्य सहकारी बैंक | | राज्य सरकारों को | |
| (ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks | 43,43,86,000 | (ii) State Governments@ | 63,92,37,000 |
| गैर अनुसूचित राज्य सहकारी बैंक | | ऋण और अग्रिम :— | |
| (iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks | 3,01,27,000 | Loans and Advances to :— | |
| ग्रन्थ बैंक | | अनुसूचित आणिंज्य बैंकों को | |
| (iv) Other Banks | 3,96,92,000 | (i) Scheduled Commercial Banks† | 798,82,72,000 |
| (c) ग्रन्थ | | राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को | |
| (c) Others | 1384,79,66,000 | (ii) State Co-operative Banks† | 376,26,29,000 |
| देय बिल | | बूसरों को | |
| Bills Payable | 110,88,08,000 | (iii) Others | 7,34,39,000 |
| ग्रन्थ देयताएं | | राष्ट्रीय ऋण (दीर्घकालीन प्रबर्तन) निधि से ऋण, | |
| Other Liabilities | 1217,35,10,000 | प्रग्राम और निवेश | |
| | | Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Funds | |
| | | (क) ऋण और अग्रिम :— | |
| | | (a) Loans and Advances to :— | |
| | | राज्य सरकारों को | |
| | | (i) State Governments | 120,19,03,000 |
| | | राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को | |
| | | (ii) State Co-operative Banks | 33,72,61,000 |
| | | केन्द्रीय भूमिक्षक बैंकों को | |
| | | (iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks | .. |
| | | कृषि पुनर्वित और विकास नियम को | |
| | | (iv) Agricultural Refinance and Development Corporation | 263,00,00,000 |
| | | (क) केन्द्रीय भूमिक्षक बैंकों के छिकेचरों में निवेश | |
| | | (b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures | 7,15,28,000 |
| | | राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरीकरण) निधि से ऋण और अग्रिम | |
| | | Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund | |
| | | राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को ऋण और अग्रिम | |
| | | Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks | 81,45,17,000 |
| | | राष्ट्रीय श्रीधोगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन प्रबर्तन) निधि से ऋण, अग्रिम और निवेश | |
| | | Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund | |
| | | (क) विकास बैंक को ऋण और अग्रिम | |
| | | (a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank | |
| | | (क) विकास बैंक द्वारा जारी किये गये बांडों/डिबेंचरों में निवेश | |
| | | (b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank | .. |
| | | ग्रन्थ आस्तियां | |
| | | Other Assets | 1269,34,37,000 |
| | | | |
| रुपये Rupees | 8464,37,88,000 | रुपये Rupees | 8464,37,88,000 |

नकदी, आवधिक जमा और अस्तकालीन प्रतिपूर्तियां शामिल हैं।

*Includes Cash, Fixed Deposits and Short-term Securities.

राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन प्रबर्तन) निधि और राष्ट्रीय श्रीधोगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन प्रबर्तन) निधि में से किये गये निवेश शामिल नहीं हैं।

**Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन प्रबर्तन) निधि से प्रदत्त ऋण और अग्रिम शामिल नहीं हैं, परन्तु राज्य सरकारों को दिये गये घस्त्याएँ शामिल हैं।

†Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

गरतीय रिजर्व बैंक अधिनियम की घाँटा 17 (4) (ग) के अधीन अनुसूचित आणिंज्य बैंकों को मीयादी बिलों पर अग्रिम दिये गये रुपये शामिल हैं।

†Includes Rs. NIL advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन प्रबर्तन) निधि और राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरीकरण) निधि से प्रदत्त ऋण और अग्रिम शामिल नहीं हैं।

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

विनांक : 2 जनवरी, 1980

Dated the 2nd day of January 1980

आई. जी. पटेल, गवर्नर

I. G. Patel, Governor

[U. O. No. F. 10/1/78 BOI]

पं. व० मीरचन्दनी, उप सचिव

C. W. MIRCHANDANI, Dy. Secy.

केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड

नई दिल्ली, 9 नवम्बर, 1979

का०आ० 232.—केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड, नई दिल्ली आयकर प्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 121-क की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस सम्बन्ध में उसे समर्थ बनाने वाली समस्त अन्य शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, समय-समय पर यथा संशोधित प्रधिसूचना सं० 3018 (का० सं० 261/11/79-आई०टी०ज०), तारीख 21-9-79 से उपायकर अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करता है :—

उक्त अनुसूची में, प्रविष्टि 1 (अर्थात्, आयुक्त (अपील)-1, हैदराबाद के सामने स्तम्भ 2 की मव संख्यांक 1, "संकिल 1, हैदराबाद (पुराना)" और मव संख्यांक 24, संकिल 1, हैदराबाद", का लोप किया जाएगा और स्तम्भ 3 में, मव संख्यांक 1 "रेंज-1, हैदराबाद", के स्थान पर "रेंज-1, हैदराबाद (जहां तक संकिल 1, हैदराबाद का सम्बन्ध है)" प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा।

उक्त अनुसूची में, प्रविष्टि 2 (अर्थात्, आयुक्त (अपील)-2, हैदराबाद) के अधीन स्तम्भ 2 में, मव संख्यांक 31, संकिल 1 हैदराबाद (पुराना) और मव संख्यांक 32, संकिल 1, हैदराबाद जोड़े जाएंगे। स्तम्भ 3 में रेंज-1, हैदराबाद (जहां तक संकिल 1, हैदराबाद का सम्बन्ध है), जोड़ा जाएगा।

यह प्रधिसूचना 1-11-1979 से प्रभावी होगी।

[का० सं० 3068 (का० सं० 261/11/79-आई०टी०ज०)]

CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

New Delhi, the 9th November, 1979

S.O. 232.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 121-A of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), and all other powers enabling it in this behalf the Central Board of Direct Taxes, New Delhi, hereby makes the following amendments in the Schedule appended to its notification No. 3018 (F. No. 261/11/79-ITJ) dated 21-9-79 and amended from time to time.

In the said schedule under entry 1 (viz., Commissioner (Appeals)-I, Hyderabad) against Col. 2 item No. 1 Circle-I, Hyderabad (old) and item No. 24 Circle-I, Hyderabad, may be deleted; and against col. 3 item No. 1 "Range-I, Hyderabad", "Range-I, Hyderabad (in so far Guntur Circle is concerned)" may be substituted.

In the said schedule under entry 2 (viz., Commissioner (Appeals)-II, Hyderabad) against col. 2 add item No. 31 Circle-I, Hyderabad (old) and item No. 32 Circle-I, Hyderabad. Against Col.3 Range-I, Hyderabad (in so far as Circle-I, Hyderabad is concerned) may be added.

This notification shall take effect from 1-11-1979.

[F. No. 3068(F. No. 261/11/79-ITJ)]

शुद्धि-पत्र

आयकर

का०आ० 233.—केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड की, महायक आयुक्त आयकर (अपील) जालघर रेंज, जालघर की अधिकारिता सम्बन्धी प्रधिसूचना सं० 2383 (का० सं० 261/7/78-आई०टी०ज०), तारीख 7-7-78 में,—

उक्त अनुसूची में, स्तम्भ 2 के अधीन, प्रविष्टि "जालघर रेंज, जालघर" के सामने निम्नलिखित जोड़ा जाएगा।—

"(2) केन्द्रीय संकिल I और II, जालघर"

[सं० 3067 (का० सं० 261/31/78-आई०टी०ज०)]

CORRIGENDUM

INCOME TAX

S.O. 233.—In the notification of the Central Board of Direct Taxes No. 2383 (F. No. 261/7/78-ITJ) dated 7-7-78 for the jurisdiction of the Appellate Assistant Commissioners of Income tax, Jullundur Range Jullundur,—

In the said schedule under col. 2 against entry "Jullundur Range, Jullundur" the following shall be added :—

"(2) Central Circle-I and II Jullundur".

[No. 3067 (F. No. 261/31/78-ITJ)]

नई दिल्ली, 10 दिसम्बर, 1979

(आयकर)

का०आ० 234.—केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड, आयकर प्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 121-क की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए प्रधिसूचना सं० 2897 (का० सं० 261/6/79-आई०टी०ज०), तारीख 26-6-79 में आणिक उपायन फरते हुए, निदेश देता है कि नीचे अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (1) में विनिर्दिष्ट प्रभारों के आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) उक्त प्रधिसूचना के स्तम्भ (2) और स्तम्भ (3) में को तस्वीरात्मीय प्रविष्टियों में विनिर्दिष्ट आयकर मद्रास संकिल जिसों और रेंजों में आयकर या अनिकर या व्यापकर से निर्धारित ऐसे व्यक्तियों के बारे में, जो आयकर प्रधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 246 की उपधारा (2) के खण्ड (क) से (ज) तक, कम्पनी (लाभ) प्रतिकर प्रधिनियम, 1964 (1964 का 45) की धारा 15 की उपधारा (1) में उल्लिखित किसी आदेश द्वारा व्यक्ति है और ऐसे व्यक्तियों या व्यक्ति-वर्ग की बाबत भी, जिनके लिए बोर्ड ने आयकर प्रधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 246 की उपधारा (2) के खण्ड (1) के उपवर्त्यों के मनुसार निदेश किया है या भविष्य में निदेश दे, अपने कुत्यों का पालन करेंगे।

अनुसूची

आयुक्त (अपील) भार आयकर संकिल, वार्ड और जिले संघायक आयकर साधन मुद्रापात्र सहित
आयुक्त निरीक्षण का रेंज

(1) (2) (3)

आयुक्त (अपील)-IV, 1 केन्द्रीय संकिल, 1 से 17 तक, मद्रास
मद्रास
2. विशेष मन्त्रेषण संकिल, कौर ख, मद्रास
3. विशेष अन्तेषण संकिल-I, मद्रास
4. विशेष अन्तेषण संकिल-II, मद्रास
5. कोयम्बटूर के सभी केन्द्रीय संकिल
6. विशेष अन्तेषण संकिल, कोयम्बटूर

आयुक्त (अपील), 1. तिश्विरापल्ली संकिल तिश्विरापल्ली रेंज
मदुराई 2. नगर संकिल :—तिश्विरा-पल्ली (सभी अनुभाग)
3. नगर संकिल-II, तिश्विरा-पल्ली (सभी अनुभाग)
4. कम्पनी संकिल, तिश्विरा-पल्ली

| (1) | (2) | (3) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| 5. कफर मकिल (मधी अनुभाग) | | |
| 6. पृष्ठोदाई मकिल (मधी अनुभाग) | | |
| 7. कम्पनी गर्किल, भदुराई | | |
| 8. मदुराई मकिल | मदुराई आयकर | |
| 9. विशेष मकिल भदुराई (2-1-2-74 से मर्जित नया मकिल) | आयकर निरीक्षण (कर निरीक्षण) | मदुराई |
| 10. विशेष स्वेक्षण मकिल, मदुराई | | |
| 11. विशेष मकिल, मदुराई (ईपीटी मामलों का व्यवहार करने वाला भूतपूर्व मकिल) | | |
| 12. डिण्डिगिल मकिल (मधी अनुभाग) | | |
| 13. कराइदुर्दी सकिल (मधी अनुभाग) | | |
| 14. रामनाथपुरम मकिल | | |
| 15. निर्णनावेलि मकिल | | |
| 16. नायर काइल मकिल | | |
| 17. त्रितीकारिन सकिल | | |
| 18. बोधनगर मकिल | | |
| 19. मदुराई स्पिति मधी केन्द्रीय मकिल | | |

यह अधिसूचना 1-12-1979 से प्रभावी होगी।

जहाँ कोई आयकर मकिल, वांड या जिला या उसका भाग इस अधिसूचना द्वारा एक रेज में किसी प्रत्ये रेज को अन्तरित न हो जाता है, वहाँ उस आयकर मकिल, वांड या जिला या उसके भाग में किए गए निरीक्षणों से उत्पन्न होने वाली और उस रेज के, जिससे वह आयकर मकिल, वांड या जिला या उसका भाग अन्तरित हुआ है, भारताधिकारी आयकर आयकर के समक्ष हर अधिसूचना की तारीख के ठीक पूर्व तक्षिण अपीलें उस तारीख में जिम नारीख को यह अधिसूचना प्रभावी होती है, उस भारताधिकारी के, जिसको उक्त मकिल, वांड या जिला या उसका भाग अन्तरित हुआ है, आयकर आयकर को अन्तरित की जाएगी और वहाँ उन पर कार्यवाही करेगा।

[मं. 3090 (फा० मं. 261/6/79-आई०टी०ज०)]

New Delhi, the 10th December, 1979

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 234.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 121A of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and in partial modification of notification No. 2897 (F. No. 261/6/79-ITJ) dated 26-6-79 the Central Board of Direct Taxes, hereby directs that the Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals) of the Charges specified in column (1) of the Schedule below, shall perform their functions in respect of such persons assessed to income-tax or surtax or interest-tax in the Income-tax, Madras Circle, Districts and Ranges specified in the corresponding entries in column (2) and column (3) thereof as are aggrieved by any of the orders mentioned in clauses (a) to (h) of sub-section (2) of Section 246 of the Income-tax Act, 1961, in sub-section (1) of Section 11 of Companies (Profits) Surtax Act, 1964 (7 of 1964) and in sub-section (1) of Section 15 of the Interest tax Act, 1974 (45 of 1974) and also in respect of such persons or clauses of persons as the Board has directed or may direct in future in accordance with the provisions of clause (1) of sub-section (2) of Section 246 of the Income-tax Act, 1961.

SCHEDULE

| Commissioner (Appeals) Charges with Head Quarters | Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts | Ranges of Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Commissioner (Appeals)-IV Madras | 1. Central Circles I to XVII, Madras 2. Special Investigation Circles A & B, Madras 3. Special Investigation Circle-J, Madras 4. Special Investigation Circle-II, Madras 5. All Central Circles at Coimbatore 6. Special Investigation Circle, Coimbatore | |
| Commissioner (Appeals) Madurai | 1. Tiruchirappally Circle 2. City Circle-I, Tiruchirapalli (all sections) 3. City Circle II, Tiruchirapalli (all sections) 4. Companies Circle, Tiruchirapalli. 5. Karur Circles (all sections). 6. Pudukkottai Circle (all sections). 7. Companies Circle, Madurai 8. Madurai Circle | Tiruchirapalli Range |
| | 9. Special Circle, Madurai (new Circle formed w.e.f. 2-12-74) | Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax (Assessment) Madurai. |
| | 10. Special Survey Circle, Madurai | |
| | 11. Special Circle, Madurai (Erstwhile Circle dealing with EPT cases). | |
| | 12. Dindigul Circle (all sections). | |
| | 13. Karaikudi Circle (all sections) | |
| | 14. Ramanthapuram Circle | |
| | 15. Tirunelveli Circle | |
| | 16. Nagercoil Circle | |
| | 17. Tuticorin Circle | |
| | 18. Virudhunagar Circle | |
| | 19. All Central Circles at Madurai. | |

This notification will take effect from 1-12-1979.

Whereas an Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof stands transferred by this notification from one charge to another charge, appeals arising out of assessments made in that income-tax circle, ward or district or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Commissioner of Income-tax of the charge from whom the Income-tax Circle Ward or district or part thereof is transferred shall, from the date of this notification takes effect, be transferred to and dealt with by the Commissioner of Income-tax of the charge to whom the said circle, ward or district or part thereof is transferred.

[No. 3090 (F. No. 261/6/79-ITJ)]

का० आ० 235.—केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त और इस सम्बन्ध में उसे समर्थ करने वाली अन्य सभी शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, अधिसूचना सं० 2475 (का० सं० 261/27/78-प्राई० टी० जे०), तारीख 22 अगस्त, 1978 से उपादान अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करता है, अथवा:—

कम संघाक 2 (म आ प्र इचौर रेंज, इन्दौर) के सामने निम्नलिखित जोड़ा जाएगा:—

“29. प्रथम अपर आयकर अधिकारी, थ-वार्ड, सर्किल II, इन्दौर
30. द्वितीय अपर आयकर अधिकारी, थ-वार्ड, सर्किल-II, इन्दौर
31. अपर आयकर अधिकारी, ड-वार्ड, सर्किल-II, इन्दौर”।

यह अधिसूचना 10-12-1979 से प्रभावी होगी।

[सं० 3091(का० सं० 261/27/78-प्राई० टी० जे०)]

S.O. 235.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and all other enabling powers in this behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes, New Delhi hereby make the following amendment in the Schedule appended to Notification No. 2475 (F. No. 261/27/78-IT) dated 22nd August, 1978:—

Against Sl. No. 2 (A.A.C., Indore Range, Indore the following shall be added:—

“29. 1st Addl. LT.O., Q-Ward, Circle-II, Indore
30. 2nd Addl. I.T.O., Q-Ward, Circle-II, Indore
31. Addl. I.T.O., M-Ward, Circle-II, Indore.”

This notification shall be operative with effect from 10th December, 1979.

[No. 3091 (F. No. 261/27/78-IT)]

नई दिल्ली, 11 दिसम्बर, 1979

आयकर

का० आ० 236.—केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस सम्बन्ध में सभी पूर्वतन अधिसूचनाओं को अधिनालत करते हुए, निम्न देता है कि नीचे अनुसूची के स्तम्भ 1 में विनिर्विष्ट रेंजों के सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील), उसके स्तम्भ 2 में तत्सम्बन्धी प्रविधियों में विनिर्विष्ट आयकर सर्किलों, वार्डों और जिलों में आयकर से निर्धारित ग्रामीण शक्तियों और आयों से भिन्न जिन पर आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) की अधिकारिता है, सभी व्यक्तियों और आयों के बारे में ग्रामीण शक्तियों का पालन करें।

अनुसूची

| रेंज | आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड और जिले |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (1) | (2) |
| 1. सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील), 'क' रेंज, नई दिल्ली | 1. कम्पनी सर्किल 2, 3, 7, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 20 और 23, नई दिल्ली 2. विशेष सर्किल-2, 2 (अपर), 3, 4, 4 (अपर), नई दिल्ली 3. विशेष सर्किल 15 और 15 (अपर), नई दिल्ली 4. चार्टर्ड एकाउन्टेन्ट का सर्किल, नई दिल्ली 5. घ-1, जिला, नई दिल्ली 6. न्यास जिला, नई दिल्ली 7. सभी सरकारी बेतन सर्किल, नई दिल्ली |

| (1) | (2) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 8. सभी प्राइवेट बेतन सर्किल, नई दिल्ली | |
| 9. आयकर अधिकारी, विशेष कार्य अधिकारी, कृषि, धनकर शाखा, नई दिल्ली | |
| 10. आयकर और धनकर सर्किल 7, नई दिल्ली | |
| 11. आयकर अधिकारी, टी० ई० (बेतन) सर्किल 1, 2, 3, 4 और उसके अधीन सर्जित पश्चात्यर्ती वार्ड | |
| 12. केन्द्रीय सर्किल 1 से 12 तक, नई दिल्ली | |

यह अधिसूचना 17-12-1979 से प्रभावी होगी।

जहाँ कोई आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका भाग इस अधिसूचना द्वारा एक रेंज से किसी अन्य रेंज को अन्तरित हो जाता है, वहाँ उस आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसके भाग में किए गए निर्धारणों से उत्पन्न होने वाली और उस रेंज के, जिससे वह आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका भाग अन्तरित हुआ है, सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) के समक्ष इस अधिसूचना की तारीख के ठीक पूर्व लम्बित अपील उस तारीख से जिस तारीख को यह अधिसूचना प्रभावी होती है, उस रेंज के, जिसको उक्त सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका भाग अन्तरित हुआ है, सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) को अन्तरित की जाएगी और वही उन पर कार्यवाही करेगा।

[सं० 3096 (का० सं० 261/19/79-प्राई० टी० जे०)]

New Delhi, the 11th December, 1979

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 236.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and in supersession of all the previous notifications in this regard, the Central Board of Direct Taxes, hereby directs that Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax of the Ranges specified in column (1) of the Schedule below, shall perform their functions in respect of all persons and incomes assessed to Income-tax in the Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) thereof excluding all persons and incomes assessed to Income-tax over which the jurisdiction vests in Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals).

SCHEDULE

| Range | Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 |
| 1. Appellate Asstt. Commissioner of Income-tax, 'A' Range, New Delhi. | (i) Company Circles II, III, VII, X, XI, XII, XIII, XIV, XV, XVI, XVII, XX and XXIII, New Delhi. (ii) Special Circles-II, II (Addl.), III, IV, IV (Addl.), New Delhi. (iii) Special Circles-XV and XV (Addl.), New Delhi. (iv) Chartered Accountants' Circle, New Delhi. (v) D-I, District, New Delhi. (vi) Trust Circles, New Delhi. (vii) All Government Salary Circles, New Delhi. (viii) All Private Salary Circles, New Delhi. |

1

2

- (ix) Income-tax Officer, Officer on Special duty, Agricultural, Wealth-tax Branch, New Delhi.
- (x) Income-tax-cum-Wealth-tax Circle-VII, New Delhi.
- (xi) I.T.O.T.D.S. (Salaries) Circles-I, II, III, IV and subsequent creation of Ward thereunder.
- (xii) Central Circles I to XXII, New Delhi.

Whereas the Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof stands transferred by this notification from one Range to another Range, Appeals arising out of the amendments made in that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this Notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range from whom that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred shall from the date of this Notification takes effect be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Asstt. Commissioner of the Range to whom the said Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred.

This notification shall take effect from 17-12-1979.

[No. 3096/(F. No. 261/19/79-ITJ)]

नई दिल्ली, 14 दिसम्बर, 1979

आयकर

का० आ० 237:- केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड, आय कर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए अधिसूचना सं० 2383 (का० सं० 261/7/78-आई०टी०जे०), तारीख 7 जुलाई, 78 से उपायद अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करता है, अर्थात् :—

“अमृतसर रेंज, अमृतसर और जम्मू रेंज, जम्मू” के नामने स्तम्भ (1) और (2) में विद्यामान प्रविष्टियों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियों की जाएगी, अर्थात् :—

| | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| रेंज | आयकर संकाल, वार्ड और जिला |
| अमृतसर रेंज, अमृतसर | ऐसे सभी आयकर संकाल, वार्ड या जिले जिनके मुख्यालय अमृतसर, बटाला, और तरण-तारण में थे या हैं। |
| जम्मू रेंज, जम्मू | ऐसे सभी आयकर संकाल, वार्ड या जिले जिनके मुख्यालय जम्मू, श्रीनगर, गुरुदासपुर, पठानकोट और ऊधमपुर में थे या हैं। |

जहाँ कोई आयकर संकाल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका भाग हम अधिसूचना द्वारा एक रेंज से किसी अन्य रेंज को अन्तरित हो जाता है, वहाँ उस आयकर संकाल वार्ड या जिले या उसके भाग में किंग गए विवरणों से उत्पन्न होने वाली और उस रेंज के, जिससे वह आयकर संकाल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका भाग अन्तरित हुआ है, सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) के समक्ष इस अधिसूचना की तारीख के ठीक पूर्व लम्बित अपीलें उन तारीख से जिस तारीख को यह अधिसूचना प्रभावी होती है, उस रेंज के, जिसको उक्त संकाल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका भाग अन्तरित हुआ है, सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील) को अन्तरित की जाएगी और वही उन पर कार्य बाही करेगा।

यह अधिसूचना 17-12-1979 से प्रभावी होगी।

[सं० 3100 (का० सं० 261/21/79-आई०टी०जे०)]

New Delhi, the 14th December, 1979

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 237.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendments to the schedule appended to its notification No. 2383 (F. No. 261/7/78-ITJ) dated 7th July, 1978:

“Existing entries under column (1) and (2) against “Amritsar Range, Amritsar” and Jammu range, Jammu, shall be substituted by the following entries.

| Range | Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Amritsar Range, Amritsar. | All Income-tax circles, wards or districts which had or have their headquarters at Amritsar, Batala and Tarn Taran. |
| Jammu Range, Jammu. | All Income-tax circles, wards or districts which had or have their headquarters at Jammu, Srinagar, Gurudaspur, Pathankot and Udhampur. |

Where any Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof stands transferred by this notification from one Range to another Range, appeals arising out of the assessment made in that Income-tax Circle, Ward or Districts or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range from whom that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred shall from the date of this notification take effect be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range to whom the said Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred.

This notification shall take effect from 17-12-1979.

[No. 3100 (F. No. 261/21/9-ITJ)]

का० आ० 238.—केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड, नई दिल्ली, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए निम्नित उसे समर्थ बनाने वाली सभी अन्य शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, समय-समय पर यथा संशोधन अधिसूचना सं० 2741 (का० सं० 261/19/78-आई०टी०जे०), तारीख 1-3-79 से उपायद अनुसूची में से निम्नलिखित संशोधन करता है, अर्थात् :—

उक्त अनुसूची में, स्तम्भ 2 में, प्रविष्टि,—

“3. सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील), ग-रेंज, हैदराबाद,” के सामने निम्नलिखित जोड़ा जाएगा :—

“6. सर्वेक्षण संकाल, हैदराबाद।”

यह अधिसूचना तारीख 17-12-1979 से प्रभावी होगी।

[सं० 3098 (का० सं० 261/11/79-आई०टी०जे०)]

S.O. 238.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes, New Delhi, hereby makes the following amendments in the schedule appended to its Notification No. 2741 ((F. No. 261/19/78-ITJ) dated 1-3-1979, as amended from time to time :—

In the said schedule under Column 2 against entry—

“3. Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, C-Range, Hyderabad,” the following shall be added :—

“6 Survey Circle, Hyderabad.”

This notification shall take effect from 17-12-1979.

[No. 3098/F. No. 261/11/79-ITJ]

का. ग्रा 239—केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्षकर बोर्ड, नई दिल्ली आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की उपधारा 121-क की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का और इस नियम उसे समर्थ बनाने वाली सभी अन्य शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए सभी समय पर यथा संशोधित अधिसूचना में 3018 (का० सं० 216/11/79-आई०टी०ज०), नारीका 279-79 में उपायदू अनुसूची में निम्ननिर्दित संशोधन करता है, अर्थात्।

उक्त अनुसूची में, प्रविन्दि 2 [अर्थात् आयुक्त (प्रधील)-II, हैदराबाद] के मामने स्तम्भ 2 में, "मद सं० 27, सर्वेश्वर सकिल, हैदराबाद," और स्तम्भ 3 में, "मद सं० 11 आई०टी०ज० (सर्वेश्वर), हैदराबाद," जोड़े।

यह अधिसूचना नारीका 17-12-79 से प्रभावी होगी।

[सं 3099(का० सं० 261/11/79-आई०टी०ज०)]

S.O. 239.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 121-A of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes, New Delhi hereby makes the following amendments in the Schedule appended to its notification No. 3018 (F. No. 261/11/79-ITJ) dated 21-9-1979 as amended from time to time.

In the said schedule against entry 2 [viz., Commissioner (Appeals)-II, Hyderabad] under Col. 2, add item No. 33, Survey Circle, Hyderabad; under Col. 3 add item No. 11 I.A.C. (Survey), Hyderabad.

This notification shall take effect from 17-12-1979.

[No. 3099 (F. No. 261/11/79-ITJ)]

नई दिल्ली, 18 जिसम्बर, 1979

आयकर

का० ग्रा० 240.—केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्षकर बोर्ड आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 121-क की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और इस नियम उसे समर्थ बनाने वाली सभी अन्य शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, अपनी अधिसूचना सं० 2471 (का० सं० 261/22/78-आई०टी०ज०), नारीका 18-8-78 अधिसूचना सं० 2581 (का० सं० 261/22/78-आई०टी०ज०), नारीका 15-11-78 और अधिसूचना सं० 2637 (का० सं० 261/22/78-आई०टी०ज०), नारीका 4-1-1979 से सरकार अनुसूची में निम्ननिर्दित संशोधन करता है:—

उक्त अनुसूची में, कम सं० 1 के मामने स्तम्भ 3 मांग 4 में निम्ननिर्दित प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा:—

| क्र० मुख्यालय सकिल आयकर सकिल और आयकर अधीन आयुक्त सं० प्रभार | वार्ड | (सर्वेश्वर) की रेंज | रेंज |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 आयकर आयुक्त (प्रधील)-I | मुख्यालय सकिल, अहमदाबाद | | |
| 2. वृन्तिक सकिल 1 से 3 तक अहमदाबाद | | | |
| 3. मेहराना सकिल | | | |
| 4. पटना सकिल | | | |
| 5. पलनीपुर सकिल | | | |
| 6. सकिल I, अहमदाबाद | 2 प्रभार० I, अहमदाबाद | | |
| 7. गांधीगढ़ सकिल | 3 प्रभार० II, अहमदाबाद | | |
| 8. हिमत नगर सकिल | 4 प्रभार० III, अहमदाबाद | | |
| 9. मोरुदा सकिल | वार्ड | | |
| 10. सर्वेश्वर सकिल, अहमदाबाद वार्ड | 5 सर्वेश्वर, अहमदाबाद | | |

यह अधिसूचना 17-12-1979 से प्रभावी होगी।

[सं० 3104 (का० सं० 261/7/79-आई०टी०ज०)]

New Delhi, the 18th December, 1979
INCOME-TAX

S.O. 240.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 121A of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and of all other powers enabling it in that behalf the Central Board of Direct Taxes, hereby makes the following amendments in the schedule appended to its notification No. 2471 (F. No. 261/22/78-ITJ) dated 18-8-78 & in notification No. 2581 (F. No. 261/22/78-ITJ) dated 15-11-78 and notification No. 2637 (F. No. 261/22/78-ITJ) dated 4-1-1979.

In the said schedule the following shall be substituted in column 3 and 4 against serial No. 1.

| Sl. No. | Charge with Head-quarters | Income-tax Circles and Wards | Ranges of I.A.C. of Income-tax |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1. | Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals)-I Ahmedabad. | 1. E.D. Cum I.T. Circle, A'bad. | 1. A.R.X, Ahmedabad |
| 2. | | 2. Professionals Cir. I to III, Ahmedabad | |
| 3. | | 3. Mehsana Circle | |
| 4. | | 4. Patan Circle | |
| 5. | | 5. Palanpur Circle | |
| 6. | | 6. Cir. I, Ahmedabad | 2. A.R. I, Ahmedabad |
| 7. | | 7. Godhra Circle | 3. A.R. II, Ahmedabad |
| 8. | | 8. Himatnagar Circle | 4. A.R. III, Ahmedabad |
| 9. | | 9. Modasa Circle | |
| 10. | | 10. Survey Circle, A'bad | 5. Survey, Ahmedabad |

This notification shall take effect from 17-12-1979.

[No. 3104 (F. No. 261/7/79-ITJ)]

का. ग्रा० 241—केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्षकर बोर्ड आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 122 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए सभी पूर्ववर्ती अधिसूचनाओं और जिसके अन्तर्गत इस सम्बन्ध में अधिसूचना सं० 3061 नारीका 6-11-79 भी है, अधिकात करने हुए, यह निवेश करता है कि नीचे अनुसूची वें स्तम्भ (1) में विनियोगित रेंजों के महायक आयकर आयुक्त, उसके स्तम्भ (2) की नस्तशनी प्रक्रिया में विनियोगित प्रायकर सकिलों, वार्डों और जिलों में निर्धारित सभी व्यक्तियों और आय की बाबत, ऐसे आयकर के लिए निर्धारित सभी व्यक्तियों और आय को छाइकर जिमपर आधिकारिता प्रायकर आयुक्त (प्रधील) में निहित है, अपने कृत्यों का पालन करेंगे:—

| रेंज | आयकर सकिल वार्ड और जिले |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | 1. महायक आयकर आयुक्त (प्रधील) फेन्द्रीय सकिल, जयपुर |
| 4 | 2. विशेष अन्वेषण सकिल, जयपुर |
| 5 | 3. चान्द मस्किल, जयपुर |
| 6 | 4. कम्पती सकिल, जयपुर |
| 7 | 5. अलवर स्पिति सभी वार्ड/सकिल |

| 1 | 2 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 2 सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील), 1 थ, इ०, छ, ७, ज ब्रोड ट वार्ड, ज रेंज, जयपुर | जयपुर |
| | 2 विशेष सर्वेक्षण सर्किल, जयपुर |
| | 3 भरतपुर स्थित सभी वार्ड/सर्किल |
| 3. सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील), 1 सहायक आयुक्त (अपील), क-रेंज, जयपुर, सहायक आयुक्त (अपील), ब्ल-रेंज जयपुर और सहायक आयुक्त (अपील), कोटा रेंज, कोटा के सामने विनियिव्व वार्ड/सर्किलों से विशेष जयपुर स्थित सभी वार्ड/सर्किल । | जयपुर |
| | 2 अजमेर म्यित सभी वार्ड/सर्किल |
| | 3 बीकाड़ स्थित सभी वार्ड |
| | 4 सिकार स्थित सभी वार्ड, |
| | 5 सुनकुन स्थित सभी वार्ड |
| 4. सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील), कोटा रेंज, कोटा | 1 कोटा स्थित सभी वार्ड/सर्किल |
| | 2 बूदी रित्यत गमी वार्ड |
| | 3 भानवाड़ स्थित सभी वार्ड |
| | 4 सदाई माधोपुर स्थित सभी वार्ड |
| | 5 वेनन सर्किल, जयपुर |
| | 6 सम्पदा शुल्क-ग्रन्थ-आयकर सर्किल, जयपुर |
| 5. सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील), 1 जोधपुर जोधपुर रेंज, जोधपुर | 2 सम्पदा शुल्क-ग्रन्थ-आयकर सर्किल, जोधपुर |
| | 3 आडमेर |
| | 4 पाली |
| | 5 मुमेरपुर |
| | स्थित सभी वार्ड/सर्किल, |
| 6. सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील), 1 उदयपुर उदयपुर रेंज, उदयपुर | 2 चिन्नीड़गढ़ |
| | 3 भीलवाड़ा |
| | 4 सिरोही |
| | 5 जलौर |
| | स्थित सभी वार्ड/सर्किल |
| 7. सहायक आयकर आयुक्त (अपील), 1 बीकानेर बीकानेर रेंज, बीकानेर | 2 श्री गंगा नगर |
| | 3 हतुमानगढ़ |
| | 4 नागोर |
| | 5 चुरु |
| | स्थित सभी वार्ड/सर्किल |

जहाँ कोई आयकर सर्किल वार्ड या जिला या उसका भाग इस अधिसूचना द्वारा एक रेंज से किसी अन्य रेंज को अन्तरित हो जाता है, वहाँ उस आयकर सर्किल/वार्ड या जिले या उसके भाग में किए गए निर्धारणों से उत्पन्न होने वाली और उस रेंज के, जिसमें वह आयकर सर्किल, वार्ड या जिला या उसका भाग अन्तरित हुआ है, सहायक आयुक्त (अपीलों) के समक्ष इस अधिसूचना की तारीख के ठीक पूर्व लम्बित अपीलें उस तारीख से जिस तारीख को यह अधिसूचना प्रभावी होती है, उस रेंज के, जिसको उक्त सर्किल/वार्ड या जिला या उसका भाग अन्तरित हुआ है, सहायक आयुक्त (अपील) को अन्तरित की जाएगी और वही उन पर कार्यवाही करेगा।

यह अधिसूचना 1-11-1979 से प्रभावी होगी।

[मं० 3105(फा०सं० 261/20/79-आई०जे०)]
एस० के० भट्टाचार्य, अवर सचिव

S.O. 241.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 122 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and in supersession of all the previous notifications including notification No. 3061 dated 6-11-1979 in this regard, the Central Board of Direct Taxes, hereby directs that Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax of the Ranges specified in column (1) of the Schedule below, shall perform their functions in respect of all persons and income assessed to income-tax in the Income-tax Circles, Wards and Districts specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) thereof excluding all persons and income assessed to Income-tax over which the jurisdiction vests in Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals):

SCHEDULE

| Range | Income-tax Circles/Ward & Districts |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 |
| 1. Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, A-Range, Jaipur. | 1. Central Circle, Jaipur. 2. Special Investigation Circles, Jaipur. 3. Trust Circle, Jaipur. 4. Company Circles, Jaipur. 5. All Wards/Circles at Alwar. |
| 2. Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, B-Range, Jaipur. | 1. D,E,F,G,H, J & K Wards, Jaipur. 2. Special Survey Circles, Jaipur. 3. All Wards/Circles at Bharatpur. |
| 3. Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, Ajmer Range, Ajmer. | 1. All Wards/Circles at Jaipur other than those specified against AAC, A-Range, Jaipur, AAC, B-Range, Jaipur and AAC, Kota Range, Kota. 2. All Wards/Circles at Ajmer. 3. All wards at Beawar. 4. All wards at Sikar. 5. All wards at Jhunjhunu. |
| 4. Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, Kota Range, Kota. | 1. All Wards/Circles at Kota. 2. All wards at Bundi. 3. All wards at Jhalawar. 4. All wards at Sawaimadhopur. 5. Salary Circle, Jaipur. 6. Estate Duty-cum-Income-tax Circle, Jaipur. |
| 5. Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, Jodhpur Range, Jodhpur. | All Wards/Circles at: 1. Jodhpur. 2. Estate Duty-cum-I.T. Circle, Jodhpur. 3. Barmer. 4. Pali. 5. Sumerpur. |
| 6. Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, Udaipur Range, Udaipur. | All Wards/Circles at: 1. Udaipur. 2. Chittorgarh. 3. Bilwara. 4. Sirohi. 5. Jalore. |

| 1 | 2 |
|---|---|
|---|---|

7. Appellate Assistant All Wards/Circles at:
 Commissioner of 1. Bikaner
 Income-tax, Bikaner 2. Sriganganagar
 Range, Bikaner. 3. Hanumangarh
 4. Nagaur
 5. Churu.

Whereas the Income-tax Circle, Wards or Districts or part thereof stands transferred by this Notification from one Range to

another Range, appeals arising out of the assessments made in that Income-tax Circle, Wards or districts or part thereof and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range from whom that Income-tax Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred shall from the date of this notification takes effect be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range to whom the said Circle, Ward or District or part thereof is transferred.

This notification shall take effect from 1-11-1979.

[No. 3105 (F. No. 261/20/79-ITJ)]
 S. K. BHATNAGAR, Under Secy.

नई विस्ती, 30 अक्टूबर, 1979

(आयकर)

का० आ० 242.—केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 126 द्वारा प्रदत्त गतिविधियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, समय-समय पर यथा संशोधित अपनी अधिसूचना सं० 1 (का० सं० 55/233/63/आई० टी० तारीख 18 मई, 1964) से उपरान्त अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित परिवर्धन करता है :—

उक्त अनुसूची में, क्रम सं० 90 के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित जोड़ा जाएगा :

| अनुसूची | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| क्रम संख्या 91 | मद्रास नगर में निम्नलिखित निगम खण्डों में, आयकर अधिकारी उपरान्त (21) से (23ग) तक और धारा 11, 12 (10), मद्रास और 13 के अधीन वाका करने वाले और हक्कदार सभी अधिकारी | महायक आयकर ए.ए.सी. 'क' रेंज आयुक्त (निरीक्षण) मद्रास जिलों रेंज मद्रास जिलों स्तरम् 3 में उल्लिक स्तरम् 3 में उल्लिक-खित सर्किल पर अधिकारिता है | आयकर आयुक्त आयकर आयुक्त (प्रो०)३-III, तमिन्नताडु-III मद्रास जिलों स्तरम् 3 में उल्लिक- खित सर्किल पर अधिकारिता है | आयकर आयुक्त (प्रो०)३-III, तमिन्नताडु-III मद्रास जिलों स्तरम् 3 में उल्लिक- खित सर्किल पर अधिकारिता है | आयकर आयुक्त (प्रो०)३-III, तमिन्नताडु-III मद्रास जिलों स्तरम् 3 में उल्लिक- खित सर्किल पर अधिकारिता है | आयकर आयुक्त (प्रो०)३-III, तमिन्नताडु-III मद्रास जिलों स्तरम् 3 में उल्लिक- खित सर्किल पर अधिकारिता है |

निगम खण्ड का नाम

| खण्ड सं० |
|--------------------------|
| 1 न्यू बांशरमेन पेट |
| 3 प्रेस गार्डन |
| 4 संजीवराया पेट |
| 5 मोट्टूर्ह गार्डन |
| 6 नारायनप्पा गार्डन |
| 7 निगारा गार्डन |
| 9 भीनाशीथम्मनपेट |
| 10 ओल्ड बांशरमेनपेट |
| 11 सेवन बेल्स-नार्थ |
| 12 अम्मन कोइल-नार्थ |
| 13 मुथियलपेट |
| 14 हारकर |
| 15 मध्राढी |
| 16 कम्मनकोइल—साउथ |
| 17 सेवन बेल्स—साउथ |
| 18 सोकारपेट |
| 19 कोयाकल चवाडी |
| 20 कचालीमवारेर |
| 21 कोशपेट |
| 22 कुम्पारास्वामी नगर |
| 23 डा० विजयारागीबेलू नगर |
| 24 बेसिन चिल |
| 25 फोडी थोप |
| 26 पेहुनाइकन पेट |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|----|------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 27 | पेशमल कोयल गाड़न | | | | | |
| 28 | ट्रेन्यन बेसिन | | | | | |
| 29 | ऐलिफ्रेंट रोट | | | | | |
| 30 | ऐडोगल्यम् | | | | | |
| 39 | चूल्हे | | | | | |
| 52 | फिलार्क (केवल पुरुषावाकम राजमार्ग) | | | | | |
| 64 | पार्क टाउन (निम्नलिखित 17 स्ट्रीटों को छोड़कर) | | | | | |
| 1 | स्थामी मुवाली स्ट्रीट | | | | | |
| 2 | मेवफर लेन | | | | | |
| 3 | कट्टर सदायप्पन स्ट्रीट | | | | | |
| 4 | इरामप्पन स्ट्रीट | | | | | |
| 5 | मिडनहम्स रोड़ | | | | | |
| 6 | गमा पिल्लई स्ट्रीट | | | | | |
| 7 | शेख अली सुवेदार स्ट्रीट | | | | | |
| 8 | पटनूल सरदार चिह्न स्ट्रीट | | | | | |
| 9 | थोपार्ट पिल्लई स्ट्रीट | | | | | |
| 10 | एनवारम् वीरास्तामी चेट्टी स्ट्रीट | | | | | |
| 11 | पटनूल स्नाइज़ा स्ट्रीट | | | | | |
| 12 | लाला कुट्टी स्ट्रीट | | | | | |
| 13 | नारायण चेट्टी स्ट्रीट | | | | | |
| 14 | मित्ती विनायकर कोइल स्ट्रीट | | | | | |
| 15 | कुपूर चेट्टी स्ट्रीट | | | | | |
| 16 | पूतमली राजमार्ग | | | | | |
| 17 | पेनिटिंशनरी रोड़ | | | | | |
| 65 | आडी केमवपुरम् | | | | | |
| 66 | निटजरिपेट | | | | | |
| 67 | एगमोर | | | | | |
| 68 | पुडुपेट | | | | | |
| 69 | कोमलीवाराम्पेट | | | | | |
| 70 | नरमिहपुरम् | | | | | |
| 72 | थिरुवर्तीम वारनपेट | | | | | |
| 75 | ट्रिपलिकेन | | | | | |
| 81 | टिनम्पेट | | | | | |
| 87 | भमनपेट | | | | | |

टिप्पणी—उन्न नियम खण्ड में से खण्डों के प्रति निर्देश करते हैं जो कोर्ट सेन्ट जार्ज राजाक्र अभावारण, तारीख 21 अप्रैल, 1969 के भाग 2, खण्ड 2 में प्रकाशित तमिलनाडु सरकार की अधिनूचना जी० ओ० एम० सं० 828 एन० ए०, तारीख 22 अप्रैल, 1968 के पूर्व विद्यमान थे।

यह आवेदन 15-11-1979 से प्रभावी होगा।

[सं० 3059/का० सं० 185/211/79-आई० टी० (४०-I)]

New Delhi, the 30th October, 1979

(INCOME-TAX)

S.O. 242.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 126 of the Income-tax Act, 1961, the Central Board of Direct Taxes, hereby makes the following additions to the Schedule annexed to its Notification No. 1 (F. No. 55/233/63/IT dated 18th May, 1964 as amended from time to time.

In the said schedule after S.No. 90 the following shall be added.

SCHEDULE

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S. No. | All persons in the following Corporation Divisions in the city of Madras claiming or entitled to exemption under Sub-Section (21) to (23C) of Section 10 and under Sections 11, 12 & 13 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961). | Income-tax Officer, City Circle II(10), Madras. | Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, Range-V, Madras who has got jurisdiction over the circle mentioned in Column 3. | AAC 'A' Range Madras who has got jurisdiction over the Circle mentioned in Col. 3. | Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals) III, Madras who has got jurisdiction over the Circle mentioned in Col. 3. | Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals) III, Madras who has got jurisdiction over the Circle mentioned in Col. 3. |
| Corporation Division No. | | Name of the Divisions | | | | |
| 1. New Washermanpet. 3. Grace Garden. 4. Sanjeevaroyapet. 5. Mottai Garden. 6. Narayanappa Garden. 7. Singara Garden. 9. Meenakshiammanpet. 10. Old Washermanpet. 11. Seven Wells-North. 12. Amman Koil-North. 13. Muthialpet. 14. Harbour. 15. Mannady. 16. Ammankoil-South 17. Seven Wells-South. 18. Sowcarpet. 19. Kothaval Chavadi. 20. Kachaleeswarer. 21. Korukkupet. 22. Kumaraswamy Nagar. 23. Dr. Vijayaragavelu Nagar. 24. Basin Bridge. 25. Kondithope. 26. Peddunaickenpet. 27. Perumal Koil Garden. 28. Travelyan Basin. 29. Elephant Gate. 30. Edapalayam. 39. Choolai. 52. Kilpauk (Purasawalkam High Road only) 64. Park Town (Except the following 17 Streets). 1. Suami Mudali Street. 2. Macfur Lane. 3. Kattur Sadayappan Street. 4. Irasappan Street. 5. Sydenhams Road. 6. Rama Pillai Street. 7. Sheik Ali Subedar Street. 8. Patnool Sardar Singh St. 9. Thoppai Pillai Street. 10. Elwaram Veeraswamy Chetty St. 11. Patnool Snaduza Street. 12. La la Kutty Street. 13. Narayana Chetty Street. 14. Sidhi Vinayakar Koil Street. 15. Kuppu Chetty Street. | | | | | | |

1 2

16. Poonamallee High Road.
17. Penitentiary Road.
65. Audikesavapuram.
66. Chintadripet.
67. Egmore.
68. Pudupet.
69. Komalecewarampet.
70. Narasingapuram.
72. Thiruvateeswaranpet.
75. Triplicane.
81. Teynampet.
87. Bheemampet.

Note:-The Corporation Divisions mentioned above refer to the Divisions as they existed prior to the Notification by the Government of Tamilnadu in G.O.Ms. No. 828 LA dated the 22nd April, 1968 published in Part II Section 2 of the Forth St. George Gazette, Extra-ordinary dated the 24th April, 1969.

This order shall take effect from 15-11-1979.

[No. 3059 (F. No. 185/211/79-IT(AI)]

नई विल्सो, 11 नवम्बर, 1979

प्रायकर

का.पा 243.—केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड, आयकर अधिनियम 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 121 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, समय समय पर यथा संशोधित अपनी अधिसूचना सं० 679 (फा० सं० 187/2/74-आई धी (ए आई), तारीख 20 जुलाई, 1974 से उपायद अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करता है, अर्थात् :-

“क्रम संख्यांक 1 के सामने स्तम्भ (1), (2) और (3) के अधीन विद्यमान प्रविलियों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित रखा जाएगा :—

| आयकर श्रावक | मुख्यालय | प्रधिकारिता |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 1. अमृतसर | अमृतसर | अमृतसर के केन्द्रीय सर्किलों को छोड़कर पंजाब राज्य के अमृतसर और गुरुदासपुर जिलों के किसी भी स्थान पर स्थित सभी आयकर सर्किल, वाहं या जिले। |
| 2. जम्मू और काश्मीर राज्य के किसी भी स्थान पर स्थित सभी आयकर सर्किल, वाहं या जिले। | | |

2. यह अधिसूचना 11-12-79 से प्रभावी होगी।

[सं० 3092 (फा० सं० 187/39/79-आई टी० (ए० आई०)]
बी० एम० सिंह, प्रब्रह्म सचिव

New Delhi, the 11th December, 1979

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 244.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 121 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Board of Direct Taxes, hereby makes the

following amendments to the schedule appended to its Notification No. 679 (F. No. 187/2/74-IT(AI) dated 20th July, 1974 as amended from time to time :—

“Existing entries under Column (1), (2) and (3) against Sl. No. 1 shall be substituted by the following entries :—

| Commissioner of Income-tax | Head-quarters | Jurisdiction |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 1. Amritsar | Amritsar | 1. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts located at any place in the Districts of Amritsar and Gurdaspur of the States of Punjab, excluding the Central Circle at Amritsar. |
| 2. Jammu & Kashmir | | 2. All Income-tax Circles, Wards or Districts located at any place in the State of Jammu & Kashmir. |

2. This Notification shall come into effect from 11-12-1979.

[No. 3092 (F. No. 187/39/79-IT(AI)]

B. M. SINGH, Under Secy.

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क समाहृतालिय

कलकत्ता, 16 नवम्बर, 1979

का० पा० 244.—कोपरा सेस अधिविधयम, 1979 (1979 का 4) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के बंद (ब) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, [केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, कलकत्ता का समाहृत में इसके [द्वारा केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधीक्षक को उक्त अधिनियम तथा उसके अन्तर्गत बने नियमों के, जिनका संबंध [कोपरा से संबंधित उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) में निर्दिष्ट सेस की उगाही और संग्रह से है, प्रावधानों के अन्तर्गत, इस समाहृतालिय के अंतर्विकार के भीतर समाहृत के कर्तव्य निष्पादन करने के लिए नियुक्त करता है।

[अधिसूचना सं० 1/1979/पा० सं० 4(16) 4-के०उ०/79]

बी० एन० रंगबांधी, समाहृत

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CENTRAL EXCISE

Calcutta, the 16th November, 1979

S.O. 244.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 2 of the Copra Cess Act, 1979 (4 of 1979), I, the Collector of Central Excise, Calcutta, hereby appoint the Superintendent of Central Excise, within the jurisdiction of this Collectorate to perform the duties of a Collector under the provisions of the said Act and the rules made thereunder in so far as they relate to the levy and collection of Cess referred to in sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act in respect of Copra.

[Notification No. 1/1979/C.No.IV(16)4-CE/79]
B. N. RANGWANI, Collector

कार्यालय समाहर्ता, केंद्रीय उत्पाद एवं सीमा शुल्क

जयपुर, 29 दिसम्बर, 1979

सीमा शुल्क

S.O. 245.—सीमा शुल्क अधिनियम, 1962 की धारा 8(ख) के अन्तर्गत सीमा शुल्क समाहर्ता को प्रदत्त शक्तियों को उपयोग करते हुए मैं, एम० एस० कंवल, समाहर्ता, सीमा शुल्क व केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, जयपुर एवं द्वारा सांगानेर नियत जयपुर हवाई अड्डे के संबंध में सीमा शुल्क क्षेत्र को नीचे दिए अनुसार विशिष्टीकृत करता हूँ:—

टर्मिनल काम्पलेक्स, एयर पोर्ट, केन्द्रीय टावर, दौड़ पट्टियाँ (रनवेज), टर्मीन, पार्किंग बैंग, एयर लाइन कार्यालय, विभिन्न भवन, लेन प्रसिद्धान (आपाय इन्स्टीलेशन), बायु कारों काम्पलेक्स और सभी बैंगें जो कि एयर पोर्ट के प्रतालन क्षेत्र की तारबन्दी (फॉर्म्सग)/वीवारों से नीचे दिए अनुसार विरोध होने, को शामिल करते हुए सम्पूर्ण हवाई अड्डा क्षेत्र—

उत्तर : गेटोर ग्राम व बाटी

दक्षिण : सांगानेर-जयपुरा सड़क

पूर्व : जयपुरा, माधोपुरा, बाद सिंह पुरा गांव

पश्चिम : सांगानेर-जयपुर सड़क

[सं 1/79/सीमा शुल्क/सी०म० VIII (मुद्दा०) 9/28/79/सी०ण०]
एस० एस० कंवल, समाहर्ता

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CUSTOMS AND
CENTRAL EXCISE

Jaipur, the 29th December, 1979

CUSTOMS

S.O. 245.—In exercise of the powers conferred upon the Collector of Customs under Section 8(b) of the Customs Act, 1962 (I. M. S. Kanwal, Collector of Customs and Central Excise, Jaipur hereby specify the customs area in respect of Jaipur Airport at Sanganer as under:—

The whole of the Aerodrome Area including the terminal complex, Airport, Central Tower, Runways, Tarmas, parking bays, Airline offices, buildings, oil installations, air Cargo Complex and all other structures within operational fencing/walls of the Airport bounded by the following:—

North : Village Gatore and Ghati.

SOUTH : Sanganer Jagatpura Road

EAST : Jagatpura, Madhopura, Baadsingh pura villages

WEST : Sanganer-Jaipur Road

[No. I/79/Customs/C.No. VIII(Hqrs)9/28/79-Cus]

M. S. KANWAL, Collector.

व्याणिज्य एवं नागरिक पति मंत्रालय

(नागरिक पूति विभाग)

भारतीय मानक संघ

नई दिल्ली 1980-1-10

S.O. 246.—समय पर मंत्रीषित भारतीय मानक संघ (प्रमाण व विह) विनियम 1955 के विनियम 8 के उपविनियम (1) के अनुसार भारतीय मानक संस्था द्वारा प्रतिवृद्धि किया जाता है कि जिन 298 लाइसेंस के ब्यौरे नीचे अधिकारी में विषय गण हैं, उनका 1978 में नवीकरण किया गया है।

अनुसूची

| श्रम संख्या | सीमा/गांव संघर्ष | वर्ष तक | भारतीय मानक प्रतिवृद्धि का पद | |
|-------------|---------------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | (3) | (4) |
| (1) | (2) | | | |
| 1. | 36 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 303 (भाग 1 और 2) -- 1976 |
| 2. | 37 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 431 (भाग 1 और 2) -- 1964 |
| 3. | 82 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 10 (भाग 2) — 1976 |
| 4. | 216 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 431 (भाग 1 और 2) -- 1964 |
| 5. | 339 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 325 — 1970 |
| 6. | 361 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 916 -- 1966 |
| 7. | 389 | 79-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 694 — 1977 |
| 8. | 529 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 774 — 1971 |
| 9. | 591 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1580 — 1969 |
| 10. | 683 | 78-08-16 | 79-08-15 | IS : 226 — 1979 |
| 11. | 696 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1554 (भाग 1) -- 1976 |
| 12. | 755 | 78-09-01 | 79-03-31 | IS : 2404 — 1972 |
| 13. | 788 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1135 -- 1975 |
| 14. | 792 | 78-01-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 398 (भाग 2) -- 1976 |
| 15. | 839 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1221 -- 1971 |
| 16. | 853 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943 -- 1964 IS : 2566 -- 1965 IS : 3751 -- 1965 |
| 17. | 869 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2513 -- 1971 IS : 3790 -- 1971 |
| 18. | 870 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943 -- 1964 IS : 2874 -- 1964 IS : 2875 -- 1964 IS : 2566 -- 1965 |
| 19. | 871 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2318 -- 1971 IS : 3790 -- 1971 |
| 20. | 872 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943 -- 1964 IS : 2874 -- 1964 IS : 2875 -- 1964 IS : 2566 -- 1965 |
| 21. | 882 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943 -- 1964 IS : 2874 -- 1964 IS : 2566 -- 1965 IS : 2875 -- 1964 IS : 2566 -- 1965 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|-----|------|----------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|----------|----------|------------------------------------|
| 22. | 886 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1963--1964 IS : 2566--1965 | 54. | 2104 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 10 (भाग 4)--1976 |
| 23. | 898 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943--1964 IS : 2566--1965 | 55. | 2116 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 2556--1972 |
| 24. | 906 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943--1964 IS : 2566--1965 | 56. | 2121 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 1363--1967 IS : 1364--1967 |
| 25. | 934 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943--1964 IS : 2566--1965 | | | | | IS : 1365--1968 IS : 1366--1968 |
| 26. | 946 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943--1964 IS : 2566--1965 IS : 2874--1964 | 57. | 2175 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 694--1977 |
| 27. | 977 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 220--1972 | 58. | 2194 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 10 (भाग 4)--1976 |
| 28. | 1094 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 226--1975 | 59. | 2393 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 4031--1968 |
| 29. | 1095 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1977--1975 | 60. | 2395 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 774--1971 |
| 30. | 1105 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1554 (भाग 1 और 2)--1976 | 61. | 2427 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 692--1973 |
| 31. | 1149 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 694 (भाग 1 और 2) —1964 | 62. | 2436 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 2593--1964 |
| 32. | 1182 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1554 (भाग 1) —1976 | 63. | 2457 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 226--1975 |
| | | | | IS : 1554 (भाग 2) —1970 | 64. | 2458 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1977--1975 |
| 33. | 1209 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 398 (भाग 2) —1976 | 65. | 2498 | 78-01-16 | 79-01-15 | IS : 561--1972 |
| 34. | 1237 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 692--1973 | 66. | 2513 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1554 (भाग 1) —1976 |
| 35. | 1304 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 561--1972 | 67. | 2544 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 691--1966 |
| 36. | 1317 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 434 (भाग 2) —1964 | 68. | 2583 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 325--1970 |
| | | | | IS : 3975--1967 | 69. | 2634 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 694 (भाग 2) —1964 |
| 37. | 1432 | 78-11-16 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1596--1977 | 70. | 2672 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 4900--1969 |
| 38. | 1438 | 78-11-16 | 80-05-15 | IS : 561--1972 | 71. | 2673 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2580--1965 |
| 39. | 1544 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1729--1964 | | | | | IS : 3966--1967 |
| 40. | 1558 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 398 (भाग 1 और 2) —1966 | 72. | 2740 | 78-08-16 | 79-08-15 | IS : 1786--1966 |
| 41. | 1576 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 10 (भाग 3)--1974 | 73. | 2776 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 7452--1974 |
| 42. | 1649 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1786--1966 | 74. | 2807 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 226--1975 |
| 43. | 1703 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 2037--1962 | 75. | 2808 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1977--1975 |
| 44. | 1707 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 398--1976 | 76. | 2814 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1310--1974 |
| 45. | 1715 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 226--1975 | 77. | 2825 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943--1964 |
| 46. | 1716 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1977--1975 | 78. | 2838 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 2566--1965 |
| 47. | 1734 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 417--1974 | 79. | 2853 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2794--1964 |
| 48. | 1848 | 78-06-01 | 79-05-31 | IS : 398--1961 | 80. | 2860 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1786--1966 |
| 49. | 1950 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS : 1307--1973 | 81. | 2966 | 78-08-01 | 79-07-31 | IS : 398--1961 |
| 50. | 2008 | 78-11-16 | 79-12-31 | IS : 3035 (भाग 1 और 2)--1969 | 82. | 2970 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 398--1961 |
| | | | | IS : 3035 (भाग 3) —1967 | 83. | 3039 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 4581--1971 |
| 51. | 2070 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 226--1975 | 84. | 3065 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1392--1971 |
| 52. | 2097 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 398 (भाग 1 और 2)--1976 | 85. | 3101 | 78-07-01 | 79-06-30 | IS : 434 (भाग 1 और 2)--1964 |
| 53. | 2101 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 2052--1968 | 86. | 3104 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2082--1965 |
| | | | | | 87. | 3105 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1601--1960 |
| | | | | | 88. | 3126 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 398 (भाग 1 और 2)--1976 |
| | | | | | 89. | 3190 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 398 (भाग 1 और 2)--1976 |
| | | | | | 90. | 3196 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 2400--1963 |
| | | | | | 91. | 3199 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 694 (भाग 1 और 2) —1964 |
| | | | | | 92. | 3207 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|------|------|----------|----------|----------------------------------|------|------|----------|----------|---------------------------------|
| 93. | 3216 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2082--1965 | 140. | 4089 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 335--1972 |
| 94. | 3222 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943--1964 | 141. | 4089 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1554 (भाग 1) —1964 |
| 95. | 3223 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 561--1972 | 142. | 4090 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1786--1966 |
| 96. | 3224 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 562--1972 | 143. | 4121 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 6914--1973 |
| 97. | 3225 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 564--1975 | 144. | 4122 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 6915--1973 |
| 98. | 3236 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2567--1978 | 145. | 4123 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 5950--1971 |
| 99. | 3242 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 335--1972 | 146. | 4183 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 5950--1971 |
| 100. | 3294 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 4288--1967 | 147. | 4297 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 1221--1971 |
| 101. | 3402 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 398--1961 | 148. | 4306 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 10 (भाग 3) -- —1974 |
| 102. | 3430 | 78-11-76 | 80-05-31 | IS : 4322--1967 | 149. | 4516 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 10 (भाग 4) —1976 |
| 103. | 3448 | 78-11-16 | 80-06-30 | IS : 562--1972 | 150. | 4610 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 10 (भाग 4) —1976 |
| 104. | 3525 | 78-11-16 | 80-05-31 | IS : 564--1975 | 151. | 4701 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 1848--1971 |
| 105. | 3576 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 226--1975 | 152. | 4703 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1848--1971 |
| 106. | 3577 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 1977--1975 | 153. | 4715 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 1848--1971 |
| 107. | 3589 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-30 | IS : 205--1966 | 154. | 4747 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 10 (भाग 3) —1974 |
| 108. | 3593 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 1786--1966 | 155. | 4772 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 829--1965 |
| 109. | 3596 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1726--1974 | 156. | 4780 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 5101--1969 |
| 110. | 3605 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1879 (भाग 1 और 2) --1975 | 157. | 4785 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 561--1972 |
| 111. | 3606 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 6914--1973 | 158. | 4786 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1660 (भाग 1) —1976 |
| 112. | 3607 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 6915--1973 | 159. | 4795 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 916--1975 |
| 113. | 3615 | 78-08-01 | 79-05-31 | IS : 1989--1973 | 160. | 4796 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1221--1971 |
| 114. | 3635 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 325--1970 | 161. | 4797 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 916--1975 |
| 115. | 3640 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1786--1966 | 162. | 4799 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 2141--1968 |
| 116. | 3683 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 226--1975 | 163. | 4800 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1660 (भाग 1) —1967 |
| 117. | 3684 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1977--1975 | 164. | 4810 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 204--1974 |
| 118. | 3710 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 2214--1977 | 165. | 4811 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 208--1972 |
| 119. | 3820 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1786--1966 | 166. | 4812 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2692--1964 |
| 120. | 3827 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1239--1968 | 167. | 4813 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 10 (भाग 3) —1974 |
| 121. | 3848 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1554 (भाग 1) —1964 | 168. | 4815 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 335--1972 |
| 122. | 3889 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 325--1970 | 169. | 4824 | 78-08-16 | 79-08-15 | IS : 1786--1966 |
| 123. | 3948 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 2148--1968 | 170. | 4825 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1848--1971 |
| 124. | 3957 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 303--1976 | 171. | 4828 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1848--1971 |
| 125. | 3959 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 3035 (भाग 3) —1967 | 172. | 4833 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 564--1975 |
| 126. | 3983 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 21--1975 | 173. | 4834 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 269--1976 |
| 127. | 3985 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 1786--1966 | 174. | 4837 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 4323--1967 |
| 128. | 4006 | 78-11-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2509--1973 | 175. | 4842 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 6914--1973 |
| 129. | 4020 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 694 (भाग 1 और 2) --1964 | 176. | 4844 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1977--1975 |
| 130. | 4030 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 4663--1968 | 177. | 4845 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 961--1975 |
| 131. | 4041 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 6914--1973 | 178. | 4846 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1079--1973 |
| 132. | 4059 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1165--1975 | 179. | 4847 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2002--1962 |
| 133. | 4060 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 398 (भाग 1) —1976 | 180. | 4848 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2062--1962 |
| 134. | 4061 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 398--1976 | 181. | 4850 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 226--1975 |
| 135. | 4073 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 419--1967 | 182. | 4851 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 8054--1976 |
| 136. | 4075 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 694 (भाग 2) —1964 | 183. | 4852 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 398 (भाग 1 और 2) --1976 |
| 137. | 4076 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1554 (भाग 1) —1964 | 184. | 4855 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 6003--1970 |
| 138. | 4077 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1596--1978 | | | | | |
| 139. | 4979 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 4246--1972 | | | | | |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|------|------|----------|----------|-------------------------------|------|------|----------|----------|---------------------------------|
| 185. | 4858 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 7406—1974 | 234 | 5690 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 458—1971 |
| 186. | 4862 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1848—1971 | 235. | 5691 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 8052—1976 |
| 187. | 4865 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 325—1970 | 236. | 5694 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 3903—1975 |
| 188. | 4872 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 7406—1974 | 237. | 5695 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 171—1973 |
| 189. | 4876 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 4964 (भाग 2) —1975 | 238. | 5705 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 4588—1977 |
| 190. | 4877 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 4964 (भाग 2) —1975 | 239. | 5706 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 5430—1969 |
| 191. | 4894 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1180—1964 | 240. | 5716 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 633—1975 |
| 192. | 4904 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 633—1975 | 241. | 5740 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 7175—1974 |
| 193. | 4905 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 4323—1967 | 242. | 5741 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 5430—1969 |
| 194. | 4906 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 3903—1975 | 243. | 5743 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 4964 (भाग 2) —1975 |
| 195. | 4907 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 5281—1969 | 244. | 5793 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 4654—1974 |
| 196. | 4908 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 2567—1973 | 245. | 5805 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1601—1960 |
| 197. | 4923 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2403—1975 | 246. | 5898 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 4654—1974 |
| 198. | 4971 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1026—1966 | 247. | 5926 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS : 4246—1972 |
| 199. | 5079 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 565—1975 | 248. | 5939 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 6914—1973 |
| 200. | 5253 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 2347—1974 | 249. | 5956 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 2593—1964 |
| 201. | 5272 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 633—1956 | 250. | 5993 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 4288—1967 |
| 202. | 5279 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 2148—1968 | 251. | 6018 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 3195—1975 |
| 203. | 5469 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 398—1976 | 252. | 6097 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 171—1973 |
| 204. | 5470 | 78-09-16 | 7—09-15 | IS : 2567—1973 | 253. | 6363 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS : 1786—1966 |
| 205. | 5509 | 78-10-01 | 79-08-31 | IS : 398—1961 | 254. | 6364 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS : 226—1975 |
| 206. | 5552 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 561—1972 | 255. | 6365 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS : 1977—1975 |
| 207. | 5587 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 1536—1976 | 256. | 6391 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 1879 (भाग 1 से 10)—1975 |
| 208. | 5588 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 280—1972 | 257. | 6410 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 1161—1969 |
| 209. | 5593 | 78-12-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 2906—1969 | 258. | 6418 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 1161—1968 |
| 210. | 5607 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 8054—1976 | 259. | 6420 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 1729—1961 |
| 211. | 5608 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 8051—1976 | 260. | 6422 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 458—1971 |
| 212. | 5615 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 398—1961 | 261. | 6426 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 694 (भाग 2) —1964 |
| 213. | 5619 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1475—1971 | 262. | 6476 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 1222—1973 |
| 214. | 5628 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 325—1970 | 263. | 6477 | 78-11-01 | 80-02-15 | IS : 1977—1975 |
| 215. | 5629 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 633—1975 | 264. | 6479 | 78-01-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 6595—1972 |
| 216. | 5630 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 419—1967 | 265. | 6481 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 810—1974 |
| 217. | 5632 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 3747—1966 | 266. | 6510 | 78-11-01 | 80-02-29 | IS : 7121—1973 |
| 218. | 5633 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1239 (भाग 1) —1973 | 267. | 6513 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 633—1975 |
| 219. | 5640 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 325—1970 | 268. | 6516 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 561—1972 |
| 220. | 5642 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 10 (भाग 4) —1976 | 269. | 6520 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 2580—1965 |
| 221. | 5643 | 79-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1977—1975 | 270. | 6523 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 6914—1973 |
| 222. | 5649 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2567—1973 | 271. | 6524 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 6915—1973 |
| 223. | 5650 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 916—1975 | 272. | 6531 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 5557—1969 |
| 224. | 5657 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1601—1960 | 273. | 6533 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 6914—1973 |
| 225. | 5660 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 4323—1967 | 274. | 6534 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 6915—1973 |
| 226. | 5661 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 4223—1967 | 275. | 6535 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 6914—1973 |
| 227. | 5663 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 325—1970 | 276. | 6536 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 6915—1973 |
| 228. | 5668 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 398 (भाग 1 और 2)—1976 | 277. | 6538 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1239 (भाग 1) —1973 |
| 229. | 5677 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 7371—1977 | 278. | 6541 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1601—1960 |
| 230. | 5679 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 10 (भाग 4)—1976 | 279. | 6544 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 4989—1974 |
| 231. | 5683 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 7932—1976 | 280. | 6545 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 7538—1975 |
| 232. | 5686 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 3930—1966 | 281. | 6546 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 4964 (भाग 2) —1975 |
| 233. | 5687 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 8051—1976 | 282. | 6547 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1404—1970 |
| | | | | | 283. | 6548 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 6914—1973 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|------|------|----------|----------|----------------------------------------------------|-----|------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 284. | 6549 | 78-11-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 6915—1973 | | | | | IS : 2874—1974 |
| 285. | 6550 | 78-12-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 8291—1976 | | | | | IS : 2875—1964 |
| 286. | 6551 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 4964 (भाग 2) —1975 | 19. | 871 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2566—1965 IS : 818—1971 IS : 3790—1971 |
| 287. | 6552 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 4961 (भाग 2) —1975 | 20. | 872 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943—1964 IS : 2874—1964 |
| 288. | 6555 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 8249—1976 | | | | | IS : 2875—1964 |
| 289. | 6556 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1786—1966 | 21. | 882 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2566—1965 IS : 1943—1964 |
| 290. | 6558 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2834—1964 | | | | | IS : 2874—1964 |
| 291. | 6560 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 226—1975 | | | | | IS : 2875—1964 |
| 292. | 6561 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1977—1975 | 22. | 886 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2566—1965 IS : 1943—1964 |
| 293. | 6563 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 226—1975 | 23. | 898 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1963—1964 IS : 1943—1964 |
| 294. | 6565 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1786—1966 | 24. | 906 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2566—1965 IS : 1943—1964 |
| 295. | 6567 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 4964 (भाग 2) —1975 | 25. | 934 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2566—1965 IS : 1943—1964 |
| 296. | 6583 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 21—1975 | 26. | 946 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2566—1965 IS : 1943—1964 |
| 297. | 6604 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 6595—1972 | | | | | IS : 2874—1964 |
| 298. | 6649 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 916—1975 | 27. | 977 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 220—1972 |
| | | | | [सं. सी. ० प्रम. ३०/१३:१२] | 28. | 1094 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 226—1975 |
| | | | | | 29. | 1095 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1977—1975 |
| | | | | | 30. | 1105 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1554 (Pt I & II)—1976 |
| | | | | | 31. | 1149 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 694 (Pt I & II)—1964 |
| | | | | | 32. | 1182 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1554 (Pt I)—1976 IS : 1554 (Pt II)—1970 |
| | | | | | 33. | 1209 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 398 (Pt II)—1976 |
| | | | | | 34. | 1237 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 692—1973 |
| | | | | | 35. | 1304 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 561—1972 |
| | | | | | 36. | 1317 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 434 (Pt II)—1964 IS : 3975—1967 |
| | | | | | 37. | 1432 | 78-11-16 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1596—1977 |
| | | | | | 38. | 1438 | 78-11-16 | 80-05-15 | IS : 561—1972 |
| | | | | | 39. | 1544 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1729—1964 |
| | | | | | 40. | 1558 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 398 (Pt I & II)—1976 |
| | | | | | 41. | 1576 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 10 (Pt III)—1974 |
| | | | | | 42. | 1649 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1786—1966 |
| | | | | | 43. | 1703 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 2037—1962 |
| | | | | | 44. | 1707 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 398—1976 |
| | | | | | 45. | 1715 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 226—1975 |
| | | | | | 46. | 1716 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1977—1975 |
| | | | | | 47. | 1734 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 417—1974 |
| | | | | | 48. | 1848 | 78-06-01 | 79-05-31 | IS : 398—1961 |
| | | | | | 49. | 1950 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS : 1307—1973 |
| | | | | | 50. | 2008 | 78-11-16 | 79-12-31 | IS : 3035 (Pt I & II)—1969 |
| | | | | | | | | | IS : 3035 (Pt III)—1967 |
| 1. | 36 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 398 (Pt I & II)—1976 | 51. | 2070 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 226—1975 |
| 2. | 37 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 434 (Pt I & II)—1964 | 52. | 2097 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 398 (Pt I & II)—1976 |
| 3. | 82 | 78-11-01 | 79-01-31 | IS : 10 (Pt II)—1976 | 53. | 2101 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 2052—1968 |
| 4. | 216 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 434 (Pt I & II)—1964 | 54. | 2104 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 10 (Pt IV)—1976 |
| 5. | 339 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 325—1970 | 55. | 2116 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 2556—1972 |
| 6. | 361 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 916—1966 | 56. | 2121 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 1363—1967 |
| 7. | 389 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 694—1977 | | | | | IS : 1364—1967 |
| 8. | 529 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 774—1971 | | | | | IS : 1365—1968 |
| 9. | 591 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1580—1969 | | | | | IS : 1366—1968 |
| 10. | 683 | 78-08-16 | 79-08-15 | IS : 226—1979 | | | | | IS : 2585—1968 |
| 11. | 696 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1554 (Pt I)—1976 | | | | | IS : 2609—1964 |
| 12. | 755 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS : 2404—1972 | | | | | |
| 13. | 788 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1135—1975 | | | | | |
| 14. | 792 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 398 (Pt II)—1976 | | | | | |
| 15. | 839 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1221—1971 | | | | | |
| 16. | 853 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943—1964 IS : 2566—1965 IS : 3751—1965 | | | | | |
| 17. | 869 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2818—1971 IS : 3790—1971 | | | | | |
| 18. | 870 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943—1964 | | | | | |

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & CIVIL SUPPLIES
(Department of Civil Supplies)
INDIAN STANDARDS INSTITUTION

New Delhi, the 1980-01-10

S.O. 246.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations 1955, as amended from time to time, the Indian Standards Institution, hereby, notifies that 298 licences, particulars of which are given in the following Schedule, have been renewed during the month of December, 1978 :

SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | CM/L No. | Valid From | Valid To | Indian Standard Specification No. |
|---------|----------|------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. | 36 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 398 (Pt I & II)—1976 |
| 2. | 37 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 434 (Pt I & II)—1964 |
| 3. | 82 | 78-11-01 | 79-01-31 | IS : 10 (Pt II)—1976 |
| 4. | 216 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 434 (Pt I & II)—1964 |
| 5. | 339 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 325—1970 |
| 6. | 361 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 916—1966 |
| 7. | 389 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 694—1977 |
| 8. | 529 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 774—1971 |
| 9. | 591 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1580—1969 |
| 10. | 683 | 78-08-16 | 79-08-15 | IS : 226—1979 |
| 11. | 696 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1554 (Pt I)—1976 |
| 12. | 755 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS : 2404—1972 |
| 13. | 788 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1135—1975 |
| 14. | 792 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 398 (Pt II)—1976 |
| 15. | 839 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1221—1971 |
| 16. | 853 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943—1964 IS : 2566—1965 IS : 3751—1965 |
| 17. | 869 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2818—1971 IS : 3790—1971 |
| 18. | 870 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1943—1964 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|------|-------|----------|----------|----------------------------|------|------|----------|----------|---------------------------|
| 57. | 2175 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 694—1977 | 115. | 3640 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1786—1966 |
| 58. | 2194 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 10 (Pt IV)—1976 | 116. | 3683 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 226—1975 |
| 59. | 2392 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS: 4031—1968 | 117. | 3684 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1977—1975 |
| 60. | 2395 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 774—1971 | 118. | 3710 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 2214—1977 |
| 61. | 2427 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 692—1973 | 119. | 3820 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1786—1966 |
| 62. | 2436 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 2593—1964 | 120. | 3827 | 78-11-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1554 (Pt. I)—1964 |
| 63. | 2457 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 226—1975 | 121. | 3848 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 325—1970 |
| 64. | 2458 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1977—1975 | 122. | 3889 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 2148—1968 |
| 65. | 2498 | 78-01-16 | 79-01-15 | IS: 561—1972 | 123. | 3948 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 303—1976 |
| 66. | 2513 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1554 (Pt. I)—1976 | 124. | 3957 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 3035 (Pt. III)—1967 |
| 67. | 2544 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 691—1966 | 125. | 3959 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 21—1975 |
| 68. | 2583 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 325—1970 | 126. | 3983 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS: 1786—1966 |
| 69. | 2634 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 694 (Pt. II)—1964 | 127. | 3985 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS: 2509—1973 |
| 70. | 2672 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4900—1969 | 128. | 4006 | 78-11-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 694 (Pt. I & II)—1964 |
| 71. | 2678 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 2580—1965 | 129. | 4020 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 694 (Pt. I & II)—1964 |
| | | | | IS: 3966—1967 | 130. | 4030 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 4663—1968 |
| | | | | IS: 3984—1967 | 131. | 4041 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 6914—1973 |
| 72. | 2740 | 78-08-16 | 79-08-15 | IS: 1786—1966 | 132. | 4059 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1165—1975 |
| 73. | 2776 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 7452—1974 | 133. | 4060 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 398 (Pt. I)—1976 |
| 74. | 2807 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 226—1975 | 134. | 4061 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 398—1976 |
| 75. | 2808 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1977—1975 | 135. | 4073 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 419—1967 |
| 76. | 2814 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1310—1974 | 136. | 4075 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 694 (Pt. II)—1964 |
| 77. | 2825 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1943—1964 | 137. | 4076 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1554 (Pt. I)—1964 |
| | | | | IS: 2566—1965 | 138. | 4077 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1596—1978 |
| | | | | IS: 2794—1964 | 139. | 4079 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4246—1972 |
| 78. | 2838 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1786—1966 | 140. | 4080 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 335—1972 |
| 79. | 2853 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 565—1975 | 141. | 4089 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1554 (Pt. I)—1964 |
| 80. | 2860 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 398—1961 | 142. | 4090 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1786—1966 |
| 81. | 2966 | 78-08-01 | 79-07-31 | IS: 5604—1970 | 143. | 4121 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 6914—1973 |
| 82. | 2970 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 1223 (Pt. I)—1975 | 144. | 4122 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 6915—1973 |
| 83. | 3039 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS: 398—1976 | 145. | 4123 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 5950—1971 |
| 84. | 3065 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 4581—1971 | 146. | 4188 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 5950—1971 |
| 85. | 3101 | 78-07-01 | 79-06-30 | IS: 1392—1971 | 147. | 4297 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 1221—1971 |
| 86. | 3104 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 434 (Pt. I & II)—1964 | 148. | 4306 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS: 10 (Pt. III)—1974 |
| 87. | 3105 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1011—1964 | 149. | 4516 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 10 (Pt. IV)—1976 |
| 88. | 3126 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 2082—1965 | 150. | 4610 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 10 (Pt. IV)—1976 |
| 89. | 3190 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 1601—1960 | 151. | 4701 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 1848—1971 |
| 90. | 3196 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 398 (Pt. I & II)—1976 | 152. | 4703 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1848—1971 |
| 91. | 3199 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 2400—1963 | 153. | 4715 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 1848—1971 |
| 92. | 3207 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 694 (Pt. I & II)—1964 | 154. | 4747 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 10 (Pt. III)—1974 |
| 93. | 3216 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 2082—1965 | 155. | 4772 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 829—1965 |
| 94. | 3222 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1943—1964 | 156. | 4780 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 5101—1969 |
| 95. | 3223 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 561—1972 | 157. | 4785 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 561—1972 |
| 96. | 3224 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 562—1972 | 158. | 4786 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1660 (Pt. I)—1976 |
| 97. | 3225 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 564—1975 | 159. | 4795 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 916—1975 |
| 98. | 3236 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 2567—1978 | 160. | 4796 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1221—1971 |
| 99. | 3242 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 335—1972 | 161. | 4797 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 916—1975 |
| 100. | 3294 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4288—1967 | 162. | 4799 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 2141—1968 |
| 101. | 3402 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 398—1961 | 163. | 4800 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1660 (Pt. I)—1967 |
| 102. | 3430 | 78-11-16 | 80-05-31 | IS: 4322—1967 | 164. | 4810 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 204—1974 |
| 103. | 3448 | 78-11-16 | 80-06-30 | IS: 562—1972 | 165. | 4811 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 208—1972 |
| 104. | 3525 | 78-11-16 | 80-05-31 | IS: 564—1975 | 166. | 4812 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 2692—1964 |
| 105. | 3576 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS: 226—1975 | 167. | 4813 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 10 (Pt. III)—1974 |
| 106. | 3577 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS: 1977—1975 | 168. | 4815 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 335—1972 |
| 107. | 3589. | 78-11-16 | 79-11-30 | IS: 205—1966 | 169. | 4824 | 78-08-16 | 79-08-15 | IS: 1786—1966 |
| 108. | 3593 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS: 1786—1966 | 170. | 4825 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1848—1971 |
| 109. | 3596 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1726—1974 | 171. | 4828 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1848—1971 |
| 110. | 3605 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1879 (Pt. I & II)—1975 | 172. | 4833 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 564—1975 |
| | | | | | 173. | 4834 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 269—1976 |
| 111. | 3606 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS: 6914—1973 | 174. | 4837 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4323—1967 |
| 112. | 3607 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS: 6915—1973 | 175. | 4842 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 6914—1973 |
| 113. | 3615 | 78-06-01 | 79-05-31 | IS: 1989—1973 | 176. | 4844 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1977—1975 |
| 114. | 3635 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 325—1970 | 177. | 4845 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 961—1975 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|------|------|----------|----------|---------------------------|------|------|----------|----------|---------------------------|
| 178. | 4846 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1079—1974 | 243. | 5743 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 4964 (Pt II)—1975 |
| 179. | 4847 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 2002—1962 | 244. | 5793 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 4654—1974 |
| 180. | 4848 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 2062—1962 | 245. | 5805 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1601—1960 |
| 181. | 4850 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 226—1975 | 246. | 5898 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 4654—1974 |
| 182. | 4851 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 8054—1976 | 247. | 5926 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS: 4246—1972 |
| 183. | 4852 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 398 (Pt. I & II)—1976 | 248. | 5939 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 6914—1973 |
| 184. | 4855 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 6003—1970 | 249. | 5956 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 2593—1964 |
| 185. | 4858 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 7406—1974 | 250. | 5993 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 4288—1967 |
| 186. | 4862 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1848—1971 | 251. | 6018 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 3195—1975 |
| 187. | 4865 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 325—1970 | 252. | 6097 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 171—1973 |
| 188. | 4872 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 7406—1974 | 253. | 6363 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS: 1786—1966 |
| 189. | 4876 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 4964 (Part II)—1975 | 254. | 6364 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS: 226—1975 |
| 190. | 4877 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 4964 (Part II)—1975 | 255. | 6365 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS: 1977—1975 |
| 191. | 4894 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1180—1964 | 256. | 6394 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS: 1879 (Pt I to X)—1975 |
| 192. | 4904 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 633—1975 | 257. | 6410 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 1161—1968 |
| 193. | 4905 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 4323—1967 | 258. | 6418 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 1161—1968 |
| 194. | 4906 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 3903—1975 | 259. | 6420 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 1729—1964 |
| 195. | 4907 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 5281—1969 | 260. | 6422 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 458—1971 |
| 196. | 4908 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 2567—1972 | 261. | 6426 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 694 (Pt II)—1964 |
| 197. | 4923 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 2403—1975 | 262. | 6476 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 1222—1973 |
| 198. | 4971 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1026—1966 | 263. | 6477 | 78-11-01 | 80-02-15 | IS: 1977—1975 |
| 199. | 5079 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 563—1975 | 264. | 6479 | 78-01-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 6595—1972 |
| 200. | 5253 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 2347—1974 | 265. | 6481 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 810—1974 |
| 201. | 5272 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 633—1956 | 266. | 6510 | 78-11-01 | 80-02-29 | IS: 7121—1973 |
| 202. | 5279 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 2148—1968 | 267. | 6513 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 633—1975 |
| 203. | 5469 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS: 398—1976 | 268. | 6516 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 561—1972 |
| 204. | 5470 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS: 2567—1973 | 269. | 6520 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 2580—1965 |
| 205. | 5509 | 78-10-01 | 79-08-31 | IS: 398—1961 | 270. | 6523 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 6914—1973 |
| 206. | 5552 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 561—1972 | 271. | 6524 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 6915—1973 |
| 207. | 5587 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 1536—1976 | 272. | 6531 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 5557—1969 |
| 208. | 5588 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 280—1972 | 273. | 6533 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 6914—1973 |
| 209. | 5593 | 78-12-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 2906—1969 | 274. | 6534 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 6915—1973 |
| 210. | 5607 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 8054—1976 | 275. | 6535 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 6914—1973 |
| 211. | 5608 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 8051—1976 | 276. | 6536 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 6915—1973 |
| 212. | 5615 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 398—1961 | 277. | 6538 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1239 (Pt I)—1973 |
| 213. | 5619 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1475—1971 | 278. | 6541 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1601—1960 |
| 214. | 5628 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 325—1970 | 279. | 6544 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4989—1974 |
| 215. | 5629 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 633—1975 | 280. | 6545 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 7538—1975 |
| 216. | 5630 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 419—1967 | 281. | 6546 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4964 (Pt II)—1975 |
| 217. | 5632 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 3747—1966 | 282. | 6547 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1404—1970 |
| 218. | 5633 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1239 (Pt)—1973 | 283. | 6548 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 6914—1973 |
| 219. | 5640 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 325—1970 | 284. | 6549 | 78-11-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 6915—1973 |
| 220. | 5642 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 10 (Pt IV)—1976 | 285. | 6550 | 78-12-01 | 80-01-31 | IS: 8291—1976 |
| 221. | 5643 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1977—1975 | 286. | 6551 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4964 (Pt II)—1975 |
| 222. | 5649 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 2567—1973 | 287. | 6552 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4964 (Pt II)—1975 |
| 223. | 5650 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 916—1975 | 288. | 6555 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 8249—1976 |
| 224. | 5657 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1601—1960 | 289. | 6556 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1786—1966 |
| 225. | 5660 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 4323—1967 | 290. | 6558 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 2834—1964 |
| 226. | 5661 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 4223—1967 | 291. | 6560 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 226—1975 |
| 227. | 5663 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 325—1970 | 292. | 6561 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1977—1975 |
| 228. | 5668 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 398 (Pt I & II)—1976 | 293. | 6563 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 226—1975 |
| 229. | 5677 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 7371—1977 | 294. | 6565 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1786—1966 |
| 230. | 5679 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 10 (Pt IV)—1976 | 295. | 6567 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 4964 (Pt II) 1975 |
| 231. | 5683 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 7932—1976 | 296. | 6583 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 21—1975 |
| 232. | 5686 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 3930—1966 | 297. | 6604 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 6595—1972 |
| 233. | 5687 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 8051—1976 | 298. | 6649 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 916—1975 |
| 234. | 5690 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 458—1971 | | | | | |
| 235. | 5691 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 8052—1976 | | | | | |
| 236. | 5694 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 3903—1975 | | | | | |
| 237. | 5695 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 171—1973 | | | | | |
| 238. | 5705 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4588—1977 | | | | | |
| 239. | 5706 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 5430—1969 | | | | | |
| 240. | 5716 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 633—1975 | | | | | |
| 241. | 5740 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 7175—1974 | | | | | |
| 242. | 5741 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 5430—1969 | | | | | |

[No. CMD/13: 12]

नई दिल्ली, 1980-01-11

का० आ० 247.—समय-समय पर संशोधित भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रमाणन चिह्न) विनियम, 1985 के विनियम 8 के उपरिविनियम (1) के अनुसार भारतीय मानक संस्था द्वारा अधिकृत किया जाता

है कि जिस 276 साइरेंसों के ब्योरे नीचे घनसूची में दिए गए हैं, उनका अनवरी 1979 में तबोकरण किया गया है।

घनसूची

| क्रम एमार्न/एल संख्या संख्या | वैध से | भारतीय मानक विशिष्टि की पद संख्या | तक | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. 2 | 78-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 398--1961 | |
| 2. 6 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 434(भाग 1 प्रौर 2) --1964 | |
| 3. 85 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 10(भाग 2)--1976 | |
| 4. 149 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 10(भाग 2)--1976 | |
| 5. 187 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 692--1973 | |
| 6. 251 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1221--1971 | |
| 7. 317 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 692--1973 | |
| 8. 366 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 916--1975 | |
| 9. 369 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 916--1975 | |
| 10. 462 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 253--1970 | |
| 11. 553 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 694--1977 | |
| 12. 559 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 694(भाग 1) --1964 | |
| 13. 592 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1838--1961 | |
| 14. 598 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 694--1977 | |
| 15. 650 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2243--1971 | |
| 16. 665 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 226--1975 | |
| 17. 666 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1977--1975 | |
| 18. 684 | 78-08-16 | 79-08-15 | IS : 1977--1975 | |
| 19. 782 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 1785--(भाग 1 प्रौर 2)--1966 | |
| 20. 860 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2818(भाग 2) --1971 | |
| | | | IS : 3790--1966 | |
| 21. 865 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2818(भाग 2) --1971 | |
| | | | IS : 3790--1966 | |
| 22. 866 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1943--1964 | |
| | | | IS : 2566--1965 | |
| | | | IS : 2874--1964 | |
| | | | IS : 2875--1964 | |
| | | | IS : 3794--1966 | |
| 23. 876 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1943--1964 | |
| | | | IS : 2566--1965 | |
| | | | IS : 2874--1964 | |
| | | | IS : 2875--1964 | |
| | | | IS : 3794--1966 | |
| 24. 958 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2566--1965 | |
| | | | IS : 1943--1964 | |
| 25. 980 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1554(भाग 1) --1976 | |
| | | | IS : 1554(भाग 1) --1970 | |
| 26. 981 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1040--1960 | |
| 27. 1100 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1596--1977 | |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|-----|------|----------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| 28. | 1162 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 398(भाग 1 प्रौर 2) --1976 |
| 29. | 1196 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2556(भाग 1) --1974 |
| 30. | 1282 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 2553--1971 |
| 31. | 1287 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 781--1977 |
| 32. | 1359 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1596--1977 |
| 33. | 1372 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 10(भाग 4)--1976 |
| 34. | 1380 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1596--1977 |
| 35. | 1433 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1596--1970 |
| 36. | 1487 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 916--1975 |
| 37. | 1505 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 398(भाग 2) --1976 |
| 38. | 1516 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 10(भाग 4)--1976 |
| 39. | 1575 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 10(भाग 4)--1976 |
| 40. | 1581 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 3564--1970 |
| 41. | 1606 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 10(भाग 4)--1976 |
| 42. | 1613 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2567--1973 |
| 43. | 1759 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 3975--1967 |
| 44. | 1762 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2266--1977 |
| | | | | IS : 2365--1977 |
| | | | | IS : 2581--1977 |
| 45. | 1767 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 1703--1968 |
| 46. | 1778 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 384--1971 |
| 47. | 1827 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2465--1969 |
| 48. | 1867 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 57--1965 |
| 49. | 1881 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 398--1976 |
| 50. | 1919 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2593--1964 |
| 51. | 1954 | 78-01-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 123--1962 |
| 52. | 2017 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 774--1971 |
| 53. | 2152 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 10(भाग 4)--1976 |
| 54. | 2167 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 21--1975 |
| 55. | 2177 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 398(भाग 1 प्रौर 2) --1976 |
| 56. | 2213 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 10(भाग 4)--1976 |
| 57. | 2272 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 3829--1966 |
| | | | | IS : 4510--1968 |
| 58. | 2294 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 2480--1973 |
| 59. | 2323 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 10(भाग 3)--1974 |
| 60. | 2370 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 10(भाग 3)--1974 |
| 61. | 2371 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 10(भाग 2)--1976 |
| 62. | 2442 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 561--1972 |
| 63. | 2555 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 4900--1969 |
| 64. | 2556 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 4900--1969 |
| 65. | 2586 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 3224--1971 |
| 66. | 2587 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 3224--1971 |
| 67. | 2674 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 4900--1969 |
| 68. | 2703 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 3224--1971 |
| 69. | 2738 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1554(भाग 1) --1964 |
| 70. | 2787 | 78-08-16 | 79-08-15 | IS : 1596--1977 |
| 71. | 2835 | 79-09-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 269--1976 |
| 72. | 2851 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 2566--1965 |
| | | | | IS : 1943--1964 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|------|------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|-----|------|----------|----------|----------------------------|
| 73. | 2861 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 6595--1972 | 124 | 4463 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 781-1977 |
| 74. | 2862 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2580--1965 | 125 | 4583 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS : 419-1967 |
| 75. | 2869 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 4900--1969 | 126 | 4587 | 78-09-01 | 79-09-15 | IS : 6915-1973 |
| 76. | 2903 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 774--1971 | 127 | 4609 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 1601-1960 |
| 77. | 2951 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 863--1969 | 128 | 4627 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 4985-1968 |
| 78. | 3015 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 694--1977 | 129 | 4655 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 419-1967 |
| 79. | 3106 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1322--1970 | 130 | 4691 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1795-1974 |
| 80. | 3156 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1554(भाग 1) --1976 | 131 | 4720 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 8054-1976 |
| 81. | 3244 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 10(भाग 2)--1976 | 132 | 4721 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 8053-1976 |
| 82. | 3248 | 79-01-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 398(भाग 1 और 2) —1976 | 133 | 4761 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 5676-1970 |
| 83. | 3255 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 325--1970 | 134 | 4849 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 6240-1971 |
| 84. | 3265 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 3145--1965 | 135 | 4857 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 778-1971 |
| 85. | 3266 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 3131--1965 | 136 | 4863 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1322-1970 |
| 86. | 3281 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 10(भाग 4)--1976 | 137 | 4875 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 7406-1974 |
| 87. | 3310 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 398(भाग 1 और 2) --1976 | 138 | 4879 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 1925-1974 |
| 88. | 3491 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 6003--1970 | 139 | 4880 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 419-1967 |
| 89. | 3546 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 758--1975 | 140 | 4882 | 78-12-16 | 79-11-30 | IS : 774-1971 |
| 90. | 3561 | 79-01-01 | 79-08-15 | IS : 1786--1966 | 141 | 4887 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 4892-1968 |
| 91. | 3600 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-13 | IS : 564--1975 | 142 | 4892 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 7193-1974 |
| 92. | 3602 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 1601--1960 | 143 | 4893 | 78-12-16 | 79-09-30 | IS : 5135(भाग 2)--1977 |
| 93. | 3609 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 3455--1969 | 144 | 4895 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1161-1968 |
| 94. | 3628 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 6003--1970 | 145 | 4900 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 362-1975 |
| 95. | 3639 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1601--1960 | 146 | 4909 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1341-1970 |
| 96. | 3643 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2148--1968 | 147 | 4914 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 5346-1975 |
| 97. | 3653 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2925--1975 | 148 | 4916 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 7107-1974 |
| 98. | 3658 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 4892--1968 | 149 | 4918 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 624-1975 |
| 99. | 3687 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 6915--1973 | 150 | 4922 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 1283-1968 |
| 100. | 3688 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 6914--1973 | 151 | 4924 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 335-1972 |
| 101. | 3698 | 79-02-01 | 80-06-30 | IS : 1601--1960 | 152 | 4937 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 226-1975 |
| 102. | 3856 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 6595--1972 | 153 | 4941 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 2802-1964 |
| 103. | 3874 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 226--1975 | 154 | 4957 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 1520-1972 |
| 104. | 3875 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1977--1975 | 155 | 4968 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 398(भाग 1 और 2)—1976 |
| 105. | 4002 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 3829--1966 | 156 | 4987 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 10 (भाग 3)—1974 |
| | | | | IS : 4510--1968 | 157 | 5003 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 398 (भाग 1 और 2)—1976 |
| 106. | 4020 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 694(भाग 1)-1964 | 158 | 5146 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 3906 (भाग 1)—1974 |
| 107. | 4062 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 10(भाग 4)--1976 | 159 | 5280 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 691-1966 |
| 108. | 4066 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 4984--1972 | 160 | 5302 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1875-1971 |
| 109. | 4096 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 3224-1974 | 161 | 5315 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 7406-1974 |
| 110. | 4099 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 5676-1970 | 162 | 5338 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 6914-1973 |
| 111. | 4108 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 4246-1972 | 163 | 5339 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 6915-1973 |
| 112. | 4111 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 303-1960 | 164 | 5359 | 78-07-16 | 79-07-15 | IS : 1601-1960 |
| 113. | 4132 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 561-1972 | 165 | 5401 | 78-08-01 | 79-07-31 | IS : 1977-1975 |
| 114. | 4133 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 564-1975 | 166 | 5501 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 226-1975 |
| 115. | 4134 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 1601-1960 | 167 | 5502 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1977-1975 |
| 116. | 4135 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 5346-1975 | 168 | 5626 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 10 (भाग 2) 1976 |
| 117. | 4153 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 1165-1975 | 169 | 5627 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 7406-1974 |
| 118. | 4176 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 1165-1975 | 170 | 5631 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 1308-1974 |
| 119. | 4199 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 1165-1973 | 171 | 5638 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 10 (भाग 4)--1976 |
| 120. | 4258 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 5959-1971 | 172 | 5639 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 10 (भाग 2)--1976 |
| 121. | 4369 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 781-1977 | 173 | 5641 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 7407-1974 |
| 122. | 4387 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1703-1977 | 174 | 5658 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 1739-1965 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|-----|------|----------|----------|------------------------------|-----|------|----------|----------|--------------------------|
| 175 | 5672 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS:778-1971 | 226 | 6469 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS:10 (भाग 2)-1976 |
| 176 | 5675 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS:226-1975 | 227 | 6470 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS:933-1976 |
| 177 | 5676 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS:1977-1975 | 228 | 6511 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS:934-1976 |
| 178 | 5681 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS:4989-1974 | 229 | 6524 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS:6915-1973 |
| 179 | 5682 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS:4654-1974 | 230 | 6527 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS:3564-1975 |
| 180 | 5685 | 78-12-16 | 80-03-31 | IS:1070-1973 | 231 | 6537 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS:780-1969 |
| 181 | 5693 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:171-1973 | 232 | 6540 | 78-12-01 | 80-03-31 | IS:4654-1974 |
| 182 | 5701 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS:781-1967 | 233 | 6543 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS:2878-1978 |
| 183 | 5709 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS:398 (भाग 1 और 2) —1976 | 234 | 6566 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:4174-1967 |
| 184 | 5710 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS:1891 (भाग 1) 1969 | 235 | 6569 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS:6914-1973 |
| 185 | 5721 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS:1547-1968 | 236 | 6570 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS:6915-1973 |
| 186 | 5724 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:4283-1967 | 237 | 6573 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS:10 (भाग 4)-1976 |
| 187 | 5729 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:226-1975 | 238 | 6578 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:3601-1966 |
| 188 | 5730 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:1239 (भाग 1) —1973 | 239 | 6581 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:3074-1964 |
| 189 | 5743 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS:458-1977 | 240 | 6582 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS:1011-1968 |
| 190 | 5754 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:774-1971 | 241 | 6588 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:828-1966 |
| 191 | 5756 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:562-1978 | 242 | 6592 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:10 (भाग 3)-1974 |
| 192 | 5757 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:171-1973 | 243 | 6595 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS:1223 (भाग 1) —1970 |
| 193 | 5758 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:171-1973 | 244 | 6598 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS:1601-1969 |
| 194 | 5780 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:2580-1965 | 245 | 6597 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:3748-1966 |
| 195 | 5790 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:4654-1974 | 246 | 6599 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:226-1975 |
| 196 | 5799 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:612-1971 | 247 | 6601 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:1925-1974 |
| 197 | 5801 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:171-1973 | 248 | 6602 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:4964 (भाग 2) —1975 |
| 198 | 5809 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:1601-1960 | 249 | 6615 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:2596-1964 |
| 199 | 5811 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:1307-1973 | 250 | 6623 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:772 (भाग 3) —1977 |
| 200 | 5812 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:1695-1974 | 251 | 6624 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:6595-1972 |
| 201 | 5813 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:1696-1974 | 252 | 6629 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:7538-1975 |
| 202 | 5815 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:3865-1966 | 253 | 6630 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:5676-1970 |
| 203 | 5818 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:3906 (भाग 1)-1974 | 254 | 6637 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:6595-1972 |
| 204 | 5820 | 79-01-16 | 79-09-30 | IS:1786-1966 | 255 | 6639 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:3625-1971 |
| 205 | 5821 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS:1601-1960 | 256 | 6640 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:2325-1963 |
| 206 | 5827 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:2580-1965 | 257 | 6642 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:7092 (भाग 1)-1976 |
| 207 | 5828 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:6595-1972 | 258 | 6645 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:916-1975 |
| 208 | 5829 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:171-1973 | 259 | 6646 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:6595-1972 |
| 209 | 5830 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:171-9173 | 260 | 6647 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:1161-1968 |
| 210 | 5834 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:2580-1965 | 261 | 6650 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:920-1972 |
| 211 | 5855 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:4964 (भाग 2)—1975 | 262 | 6651 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:1506-1977 |
| 212 | 5862 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:226-1975 | 263 | 6654 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:3523-1974 |
| 213 | 5863 | 79-01-15 | 80-01-15 | IS:834-1975 | 264 | 6673 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS:1601-1961 |
| 214 | 5864 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:6914-1975 | 265 | 6678 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS:1943-1964 |
| 215 | 5865 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:6915-1975 | 266 | 6679 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS:2566-1965 |
| 216 | 6285 | 78-08-01 | 79-01-31 | IS:8028-1976 | 267 | 6683 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:2818 (भाग 2) |
| 217 | 6372 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:1868-1968 | 268 | 6684 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | —1971 |
| 218 | 6392 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS:133-1975 | 269 | 6685 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:3625-1971 |
| 219 | 6429 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:1786-1966 | 270 | 6686 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:3790-1966 |
| 220 | 6439 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS:427-1965 | 271 | 6687 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | —1971 |
| 221 | 6440 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS:427-1965 | 272 | 6688 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:2818 (भाग 2) |
| 222 | 6441 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS:427-1965 | 273 | 6689 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | —1971 |
| 223 | 6442 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS:2645-1975 | 274 | 6690 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:2818 (भाग 2) |
| 224 | 6447 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS:143-1975 | 275 | 6691 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:3790-1966 |
| 225 | 6468 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS:774-1971 | 276 | 6692 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | —1971 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|-----|------|----------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|----------|----------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 268 | 6684 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:3966-1967 IS: 3984-1967 | | | | | IS: 2874—1964 IS: 2875—1964 IS: 3794—1966 |
| 269 | 6685 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS:7407-1974 | 24. | 958 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 2566—1965 IS: 1943—1964 |
| 270 | 6698 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS:7122-1973 | 25. | 980 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1554(Pt I)—1976 IS: 1554 (Pt II)—1970 |
| 271 | 6701 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS:5312 (भाग 1) —1969 | 26. | 981 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1040—1960 |
| 272 | 6705 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:4832 (भाग 1 भाग 2)—1969 | 27. | 1100 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1596—1977 |
| 273 | 6752 | 79-02-16 | 80-02-15 | IS:5312 (भाग 2) —1969 | 28. | 1162 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 398(Pt I & II)—1976 |
| 274 | 6844 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:1547-1948 | 29. | 1196 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 2556 (Pt I)—1974 |
| 275 | 6845 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:2791-1972 | 30. | 1282 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 2553—1971 |
| 276 | 6875 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS:1166-1973 | 31. | 1287 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 781—1977 |
| | | | | [मंत्री भूमिका / 13 : 12] पं. पं. शनजी, उपमहानिवेशक | 32. | 1349 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1596—1977 |
| | | | | | 33. | 1372 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 10 (Pt IV)—1976 |
| | | | | | 34. | 1380 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1596—1977 |
| | | | | | 35. | 1433 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1596—1970 |
| | | | | | 36. | 1487 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS: 916—1975 |
| | | | | | 37. | 1505 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 398 (Pt II)—1976 |
| | | | | | 38. | 1516 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 10 (Pt IV)—1976 |
| | | | | | 39. | 1575 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 10 (Pt IV)—1976 |
| | | | | | 40. | 1591 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 3564—1970 |
| | | | | | 41. | 1606 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 10 (Pt IV)—1976 |
| | | | | | 42. | 1613 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 2567—1973 |
| | | | | | 43. | 1759 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 3975—1967 |
| | | | | | 44. | 1762 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 2266—1977 IS: 2365—1977 IS: 2581—1977 |
| | | | | | 45. | 1767 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 1703—1968 |
| | | | | | 46. | 1778 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 384—1971 |
| | | | | | 47. | 1827 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 2465—1969 |
| | | | | | 48. | 1867 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 57—1965 |
| | | | | | 49. | 1881 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 398—1976 |
| | | | | | 50. | 1919 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 2593—1964 |
| | | | | | 51. | 1954 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 123—1962 |
| | | | | | 52. | 2017 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 774—1971 |
| | | | | | 53. | 2152 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 10 (Pt IV)—1976 |
| | | | | | 54. | 2167 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 21—1975 |
| | | | | | 55. | 2177 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 398(Pt I & II)—1976 |
| | | | | | 56. | 2213 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 10 (Pt IV)—1976 |
| | | | | | 57. | 2272 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 3829—1966 IS: 4510—1968 |
| | | | | | 58. | 2294 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 2480—1973 |
| | | | | | 59. | 2323 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 10(Pt III)—1974 |
| | | | | | 60. | 2370 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 10 (Pt III)—1974 |
| | | | | | 61. | 2371 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 10 (Pt II)—1976 |
| | | | | | 62. | 2442 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 561—1972 |
| | | | | | 63. | 2555 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 4900—1969 |
| | | | | | 64. | 2556 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 4900—1969 |
| | | | | | 65. | 2586 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS: 3224—1971 |
| | | | | | 66. | 2587 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS: 3224—1971 |
| | | | | | 67. | 2674 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4900—1969 |
| | | | | | 68. | 2703 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 3224—1971 |
| | | | | | 69. | 2738 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1554 (Pt I)—1964 |
| | | | | | 70. | 2787 | 78-08-16 | 79-08-15 | IS: 1596—1977 |
| | | | | | 71. | 2835 | 79-09-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 269—1976 |
| | | | | | 72. | 2851 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 2566—1965 IS: 1943—1964 |
| | | | | | 73. | 2861 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 6595—1972 |
| | | | | | 74. | 2862 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 2580—1965 |
| | | | | | 75. | 2869 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4900—1969 |
| | | | | | 76. | 2903 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 774—1971 |
| | | | | | 77. | 2951 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 863—1969 |
| | | | | | 78. | 3015 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 694—1977 |
| | | | | | 79. | 3106 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1322—1970 |
| | | | | | 80. | 3156 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1554 (Pt I)—1976 |

New Delhi, the 1980-01-11

S. O. 247:—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended from time to time, the Indian Standards Institution, hereby, notifies that 276 licences, particulars of which are given in the following Schedule, have been renewed during the month of January 1979.

SCHEDULE

| Sl. No. | CM/L No. | Valid | | Indian Standard Specification No. |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | From | To | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. | 2 | 78-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 398—1961 |
| 2. | 6 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 434 (Pt I & II)—1964 |
| 3. | 85 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 10 (Pt II)—1976 |
| 4. | 149 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 10(Pt II)—1976 |
| 5. | 187 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 692—1973 |
| 6. | 251 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1221—1971 |
| 7. | 317 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 692—1973 |
| 8. | 366 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 916—1975 |
| 9. | 369 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 916—1975 |
| 10. | 462 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 253—1970 |
| 11. | 553 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 694—1977 |
| 12. | 559 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 694(Pt I)—1964 |
| 13. | 592 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1838—1961 |
| 14. | 598 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 694—1977 |
| 15. | 650 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 2243—1971 |
| 16. | 665 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 226—1975 |
| 17. | 666 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1977—1975 |
| 18. | 684 | 78-08-16 | 79-08-15 | IS: 1977—1975 |
| 19. | 782 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS: 1785 (Pt I & II)—1966 |
| 20. | 860 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 2818(Pt II)—1971 IS: 3790—1966 |
| 21. | 865 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 2818 (Pt II)—1971 IS: 3790—1966 |
| 22. | 866 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1943—1964 IS: 2566—1965 IS: 2874—1964 IS: 2875—1964 IS: 3794—1966 |
| 23. | 876 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1943—1964 IS: 2566—1965 |

| | | | | |
|-----|------|----------|----------|----------------------|
| 74. | 2862 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4900—1969 |
| 75. | 2869 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4900—1969 |
| 76. | 2903 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 774—1971 |
| 77. | 2951 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 863—1969 |
| 78. | 3015 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 694—1977 |
| 79. | 3106 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1322—1970 |
| 80. | 3156 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1554 (Pt I)—1976 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|------|------|----------|----------|--------------------------|------|------|----------|----------|--------------------------|
| 81. | 3244 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 10 (Pt II)—1976 | 145. | 4900 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 362—1975 |
| 82. | 3248 | 79-01-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 398 (Pt I & II)—1976 | | | | | IS: 1341—1970 |
| 83. | 3255 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 325—1970 | 146. | 4909 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 5346—1975 |
| 84. | 3265 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 3145—1965 | 147. | 4914 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 7407—1974 |
| 85. | 3266 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 3131—1965 | 148. | 4916 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 624—1975 |
| 86. | 3281 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS: 10 (Pt IV)—1976 | 149. | 4918 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1283—1968 |
| 87. | 3310 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS: 398 (Pt I & II)—1976 | 150. | 4922 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 335—1972 |
| 88. | 3491 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS: 6003—1970 | 151. | 4924 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 226—1975 |
| 89. | 3546 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 75—1975 | 152. | 4937 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 2802—1964 |
| 90. | 3561 | 79-01-01 | 79-08-15 | IS: 1786—1966 | 153. | 4941 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 10 (Pt II)—1976 |
| 91. | 3600 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 564—1975 | 154. | 4957 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 1520—1972 |
| 92. | 3602 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 1601—1960 | 155. | 4968 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 398 (Pt I & II)—1976 |
| 93. | 3609 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 3455—1969 | 156. | 4987 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS: 10 (Pt III)—1974 |
| 94. | 3628 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 6003—1970 | 157. | 5003 | 79-01-01 | 79-21-31 | IS: 398 (Pt I & II)—1976 |
| 95. | 3639 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1601—1960 | 158. | 5146 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 3906 (Pt I)—1974 |
| 96. | 3643 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 2148—1968 | 159. | 5280 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 691—1966 |
| 97. | 3653 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 2925—1975 | 160. | 5302 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1875—1971 |
| 98. | 3658 | 79-01-16 | 80-10-15 | IS: 4892—1968 | 161. | 5315 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 7406—1974 |
| 99. | 3687 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS: 6915—1973 | 162. | 5338 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 6914—1973 |
| 100. | 3688 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS: 6914—1973 | 163. | 5339 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 6915—1973 |
| 101. | 3698 | 79-02-01 | 80-06-30 | IS: 1601—1960 | 164. | 5359 | 78-07-16 | 79-07-15 | IS: 1601—1960 |
| 102. | 3856 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 6595—1972 | 165. | 5401 | 78-08-01 | 79-07-31 | IS: 1977—1975 |
| 103. | 3874 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 226—1975 | 166. | 5501 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 226—1975 |
| 104. | 3875 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1977—1975 | 167. | 5502 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1977—1975 |
| 105. | 4002 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS: 3829—1966 | 168. | 5626 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 10 (Pt II)—1976 |
| | | | | IS: 4510—1968 | 169. | 5627 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 7406—1974 |
| 106. | 4020 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 694 (Pt I)—1964 | 170. | 5631 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 1308—1974 |
| 107. | 4062 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 10 (Pt IV)—1976 | 171. | 5638 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 10 (Pt IV)—1976 |
| 108. | 4066 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 4984—1972 | 172. | 5639 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 10 (Pt II)—1976 |
| 109. | 4096 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 3224—1974 | 173. | 5641 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 7407—1974 |
| 110. | 4099 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 5676—1970 | 174. | 5658 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1379—1965 |
| 111. | 4108 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 4246—1972 | 175. | 5672 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 778—1971 |
| 112. | 4111 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 303—1960 | 176. | 5675 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 226—1975 |
| 113. | 4132 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 561—1972 | 177. | 5676 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 1977—1975 |
| 114. | 4133 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 564—1975 | 178. | 5681 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 4989—1974 |
| 115. | 4134 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 1601—1960 | 179. | 5682 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 4654—1974 |
| 116. | 4135 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 5346—1975 | 180. | 5685 | 78-12-16 | 80-03-31 | IS: 1979—1973 |
| 117. | 4153 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS: 1165—1975 | 181. | 5693 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 171—1973 |
| 118. | 4176 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS: 1165—1975 | 182. | 5701 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 781—1967 |
| 119. | 4199 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS: 1165—1973 | 183. | 5709 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 398 (Pt I & II)—1976 |
| 120. | 4258 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 5959—1971 | | | | | |
| 121. | 4369 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 781—1977 | 184. | 5710 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1891 (Pt I)—1969 |
| 122. | 4387 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1703—1977 | 185. | 5721 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1547—1968 |
| 123. | 4451 | 78-07-01 | 79-06-30 | IS: 694—1977 | 186. | 5724 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 4283—1967 |
| 124. | 4463 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 781—1977 | 187. | 5729 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 226—1975 |
| 125. | 4583 | 78-09-01 | 79-08-31 | IS: 419—1967 | 188. | 5730 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 1239 (Pt I)—1973 |
| 126. | 4587 | 78-09-01 | 79-09-15 | IS: 6915—1973 | 189. | 5744 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 4588—1977 |
| 127. | 4609 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 1601—1960 | 190. | 5754 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 774—1971 |
| 128. | 4627 | 78-09-16 | 69-09-15 | IS: 4985—1968 | 191. | 5756 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 562—1978 |
| 129. | 4655 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS: 419—1967 | 192. | 5757 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 171—1973 |
| 130. | 4691 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1795—1974 | 193. | 5758 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 171—1973 |
| 131. | 4720 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 8054—1976 | 194. | 5780 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 2580—1965 |
| 132. | 4721 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS: 8053—1976 | 195. | 5790 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 4654—1974 |
| 133. | 4761 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 5676—1970 | 196. | 5799 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 612—1971 |
| 134. | 4849 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 6240—1971 | 197. | 5801 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 171—1973 |
| 135. | 4857 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 778—1971 | 198. | 5809 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 1601—1960 |
| 136. | 4863 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1322—1970 | 199. | 5811 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 1307—1973 |
| 137. | 4875 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 7406—1974 | 200. | 5812 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 1695—1974 |
| 138. | 4879 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 1925—1974 | 201. | 5813 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 1696—1974 |
| 139. | 4880 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 419—1967 | 202. | 5815 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 3865—1966 |
| 140. | 4882 | 78-12-16 | 79-11-30 | IS: 774—1971 | 203. | 5818 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS: 3906 (Pt I)—1974 |
| 141. | 4887 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 4892—1968 | 204. | 5820 | 79-01-16 | 79-09-30 | IS: 1786—1966 |
| 142. | 4892 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS: 7193—1974 | 205. | 5821 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS: 1601—1960 |
| 143. | 4893 | 78-12-16 | 79-09-30 | IS: 3135 (Pt II)—1977 | 206. | 5827 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS: 2580—1965 |
| 144. | 4895 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS: 1161—1968 | | | | | |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|------|------|----------|----------|----------------------------|
| 207 | 5828 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 6595—1972 |
| 208 | 5829 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 171—1973 |
| 209 | 5830 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 171—1973 |
| 210 | 5834 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2580—1965 |
| 211 | 5855 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 4964 (Pt II)—1975 |
| 212 | 5862 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 226—1975 |
| 213 | 5863 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 834—1975 |
| 214 | 5864 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 6914—1975 |
| 215 | 5865 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 6915—1975 |
| 216 | 6285 | 78-08-01 | 79-07-31 | IS : 8028—1976 |
| 217 | 6372 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1868—1968 |
| 218 | 6392 | 78-09-16 | 79-09-15 | IS : 133—1975 |
| 219 | 6429 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1786—1966 |
| 220. | 6439 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 427—1965 |
| 221. | 6440 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 427—1965 |
| 222. | 6441 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 427—1965 |
| 223. | 6442 | 78-10-01 | 79-09-30 | IS : 2645—1975 |
| 224. | 6447 | 78-10-16 | 79-10-15 | IS : 133—1975 |
| 225. | 6468 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 774—1971 |
| 226. | 6469 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 10 (Pt II)—1976 |
| 227. | 6470 | 78-11-01 | 79-01-31 | IS : 933—1976 |
| 228. | 6511 | 78-11-01 | 79-10-31 | IS : 934—1976 |
| 229. | 6524 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 6915—1973 |
| 230. | 6527 | 78-11-16 | 79-11-15 | IS : 3564—1975 |
| 231. | 6537 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 780—1969 |
| 232. | 6540 | 78-12-01 | 80-03-31 | IS : 4654—1974 |
| 233. | 6543 | 78-12-01 | 79-11-30 | IS : 2878—1978 |
| 234. | 6566 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 4174—1967 |
| 235. | 6569 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 6914—1973 |
| 236. | 6570 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 6915—1973 |
| 237. | 6573 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 10 (Pt IV)—1976 |
| 238. | 6578 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 3601—1966 |
| 239. | 6581 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 3074—1964 |
| 240. | 6582 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1011—1968 |
| 241. | 6588 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 828—1966 |
| 242. | 6592 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 10 (Pt III)—1974 |
| 243. | 6595 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1223 (Pt I)—1970 |
| 244. | 6596 | 78-12-16 | 79-12-15 | IS : 1601—1960 |
| 245. | 6597 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 3748—1966 |
| 246. | 6599 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 226—1975 |
| 247. | 6601 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1925—1974 |
| 248. | 6602 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 4964 (Pt II)—1975 |
| 249. | 6615 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2596—1964 |
| 250. | 6623 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 772 (Pt III)—1977 |
| 251. | 6624 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 6595—1972 |
| | | | | IS : 7538—1975 |
| 252. | 6629 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 5676—1970 |
| 253. | 6630 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 7092 (Pt I)—1976 |
| 254. | 6637 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 2300—1968 |
| 255. | 6639 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 3625—1971 |
| 256. | 6640 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 2325—1963 |
| 257. | 6642 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 1223 (Pt II)—1972 |
| 258. | 6645 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 916—1975 |
| 259. | 6646 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 6595—1972 |
| 260. | 6647 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 1161—1968 |
| 261. | 6650 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 920—1972 |
| 262. | 6651 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 1506—1977 |
| 263. | 6654 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 3523—1974 |
| 264. | 6673 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 1601—1960 |
| 265. | 6678 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 1943—1964 |
| | | | | IS : 2566—1965 |
| 266. | 6679 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 2818 (Pt II)—1971 |
| 267. | 6683 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 2818 (Pt II)—1971 |
| | | | | IS : 3790—1966 |
| 268. | 6684 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 3966—1967 |
| | | | | IS : 3984—1967 |
| 269. | 6685 | 79-01-16 | 80-01-15 | IS : 7407—1974 |
| 270. | 6698 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 7122—1973 |
| 271. | 6701 | 79-02-01 | 80-01-31 | IS : 5312 (Pt I)—1969 |
| 272. | 6705 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 4832 (Pt I & II)—1969 |
| 273. | 6752 | 79-02-16 | 80-02-15 | IS : 5312 (Pt I)—1969 |
| 274. | 6844 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1547—1949 |
| 275. | 6845 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 2791—1972 |
| 276. | 6875 | 79-01-01 | 79-12-31 | IS : 1166—1973 |

સંસ્કૃત સભા પિતુંબક ભાગ્યાત કણ લિર્ણાન કા કાર્યાલા

४८५

मध्यास, 11 जनवरी, 1980

का० आ० 248।—सर्वथ्री साउथ इन्डिया फालाबर मिल्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड
1-2, रायपुरम बीच रोड, मद्रास-13 को, प्रप्रैल-मार्च 79 की व्रतविधि
के सिए उपरिलिखित मर्दों का प्रयात करने के लिए रुपये 9,89, 800
का आधात लाइसेंस संज्ञा पी० सी० जी० 2075245-सी० एक्स-
एक्स 73-एम-79 दिनांक 10-10-79 जारी किया गया था। बाद
में यह देखा गया कि उनको यह लाइसेंस प्रसावधानी से जारी किया गया
है।

इसलिए, आवेदक को जारी किये गये लाइसेंस को वापस मांगा गया और 20-10-79 में वैयक्तिक सुनवाई के लिए भवकाश देते हुए, यह बताते के लिए कि उनसे प्राप्त किये गये लाइसेंस को क्यों रद्द न किया जाय, कारण बताधी नोटिस जारी किया गया। लाइसेंस वापस भाने के बाद यह देखा गया कि उपरोक्त लाइसेंस के प्रति, साथ पन स्थापित करने पाए जाएं किसी भी वक्तव्य बद्धता में आवेदक प्रवेश नहीं किया था।

आयात (नियन्त्रण) आवैश 1955 के धारा 9 में प्रदत्त प्रविकार से, सर्वेषो सारथ इनिया कलावर मिल्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड 1-2, रायपुरम बीच रोड, मद्रास-13 को अप्रैल-मार्च 79 अवधि के लिए आरी किये गये, इपये 9,89,800 का आयात साईर्स संस्थापी सी.जी.0-2075245 सी.एस.एस-73 एम-79 विनाक 10-10-79 एतद्वारा रद्द किया जाता है।

[सं० पाई०टी०सी० सी०जी०/टी०जी०डी०/25०/ए० एम०-७९/ए०य०II] टी०ए० बैकटेक्सरन, उप-मुख्य नियंत्रक, प्रायात तथा निर्यात

OFFICE OF THE JOINT CHIEF CONTROLLER OF
IMPORTS & EXPORTS

ORDER

Sub.—Cancellation of import licence No. P/CG/2075245/C/XX/73/M/79 dated 10-10-79 for Rs. 9,89,800 for the period A.M. 79 for the import of (1) Aspiration Channel type MVSE-100-1 No. (2) Free Swinging Sifter pianostar type MPAD-622-1-No. (3), Impact Finisher type MKLA-30/80-1 No. (4) Centrifugal sifter type MKZA 30/70-1 No. to M/s. South India Flour Mills (P) Ltd., 1/2, Royapuram Beach Road, Madras-13.

S.O. 248.—M/s. The South India Flour Mills (P) Ltd., 1/2, Royapuram Beach Road, Madras-13 were issued with an import licence No. P/CG/2075245/C/XX/73/M/79 dated 10-10-79 for Rs. 9,89,800 for the import of the above mentioned items for the period April-March 1979. It was subsequently noticed that the licence had been issued to them inadvertently.

The licence issued to the firm was, therefore, called back and a Show Cause Notice was issued on 29-10-79 asking them as to why the licence obtained by them should not be cancelled giving an opportunity for a personal hearing. On return of the licence it is found that the firm have not made any firm commitment by way of opening L/C, against the above said licence.

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Clause 9 of the Imports (Control) Order 1955, the licence No. P/CG/2075245/C/XX/73/M/79 dated 10-10-79 for Rs. 9,89,800 for April-March 79 issued in favour of M/s. The South India Flour Mills (P) Ltd., 1/2, Royapuram Beach Road, Madras-13 is hereby cancelled.

[ITC/CG/DGTD/256/AM. 79/AU. II]
T. N. VENKATESWARAN, Dy. Chief Controller
of Imports & Exports

मुख्य नियंत्रक, आपात-नियंत्रित का कार्यालय

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 14 जनवरी 1980

का० आ० 249.—सर्वेशी सशस्त्र सेना, कृतिम अंग केन्द्र-पूना को 5,28,005 रुपए (5 लाख अट्टार्ही रुपार धारा धारा रुपार मात्र) के लिए १२ अप्रृत्यक्त लाइसेंस मं. जी०/ग०/13920/11 दिनांक 4-12-1974 प्रदान किया गया था। उन्होंने उपर्युक्त लाइसेंस की अनुलिपि सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति जारी करने के लिए इस आधार पर आवेदन किया है कि मूल सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन/मुद्रा विनियम नियंत्रण प्रयोजन प्रति खो गई है। आगे यह कि मूल सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन की पास पंजीकृत थी और उसका पूरा उपयोग हो चुका था। इसका पूरे मूल्य 5,28,005 रुपए (पाँच लाख अट्टार्ही रुपार पाँच रुपए मात्र) के लिए उपयोग कर दिया गया था।

2. इस नक्के के समर्थन में आवेदक ने न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट पूना द्वारा विधिवत् प्रमाणित एक शपथ पत्र दाखिल किया है। मैं नदनुमार्ग मन्तुष्ट हूं कि उपर्युक्त लाइसेंस की मूल सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन/मुद्रा विनियम नियंत्रण प्रयोजन प्रति खो गई है। इसलिए, यथासंशोधित आयात (नियंत्रण) आदेश, 1955 दिनांक 7-12-55 की उपधारा 9 (ग) के अन्तर्गत प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग कर, सर्वेशी सशस्त्र सेना, कृतिम अंग केन्द्र, पूना को जारी किए गए लाइसेंस मं. जी०/ग०/13920/11 दिनांक 4-12-1974 की उक्त सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन/मुद्रा विनियम नियंत्रण प्रयोजन प्रति एतद्वारा रद्द की जाती है।

3. उपर्युक्त लाइसेंस की अनुलिपि सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन/मुद्रा विनियम नियंत्रण प्रयोजन प्रतिया लाइसेंसधारी की अलग से जारी की जा रही है।

[मं. सेट/211] 74-75/पी०एल०एस(बी)]

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF CONTROLLER OF IMPORTS AND EXPORTS ORDER

New Delhi, the 14th January, 1980

S.O. 249.—M/s. Armed Forces, Artificial Limb Centre-Poona, were granted an import licence No. G/A/13920/11 dated 4-12-1974 for Rs. 5,28,005 (Rupees Five lakhs, twenty eight thousand and five only). They have applied for the issue of a duplicate Customs Purposes/Exchange-Control Purposes copy of the said licence on the ground that the original Customs Purposes/Exchange Control Purposes copy has been lost. It is further stated that the original Customs Purposes/Exchange Control Copy was registered with the Customs authorities at Bombay Port and utilised fully. It was utilised for full value of Rs. 5,28,005 (Rupees Five lakhs twenty eight thousand and five only).

2. In support of this contention the applicant has filed an affidavit duly certified by the Judicial Magistrate-Poona. I am accordingly satisfied that the original Customs Purposes/Exchange Control Purposes copy of the said licence has been lost. Therefore in exercise of the powers conferred under Sub-clause 9(cc) of the Import (Control) Order, 1955 dated 7-12-55 as amended the said original Customs Purposes/Exchange Control Purposes copies of licence No. G/A/13920/11 dated 4-12-74 issued to M/s. Armed Forces, Artificial Limb Centre-Poona is hereby cancelled.

3. A duplicate Customs Purposes/Exchange Control Purposes copies of the said licence is being issued separately to the licensee.

[No. CENT/211/74-75/PLS(B)]

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 17 जनवरी, 1980

का० आ० 250.—सर्वेशी नेशनल स्माल इण्डस्ट्रीज कारपोरेशन लि०, ओखला इण्डस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, नई दिल्ली-20 को 4,00,00,000 रुपए (चौबल चार करोड़ रुपए) का आयात लाइसेंस संख्या आई०/ए०/1083090, दिनांक 26-6-78 प्रदान किया गया था। उन्होंने उक्त लाइसेंस की अनुलिपि सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति जारी करने के लिए इस आधार पर आवेदन किया है कि मूल सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति खो गई है। आगे यह बताया गया है कि मूल सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति कलकत्ता पत्रक सीमा शुल्क प्राधिकारियों के पास पंजीकृत थी और उसका आंशिक स्पष्ट से उपयोग हो चुका था। इसका 1,33,78,014 रुपए तक उपयोग हो चुका था और इसमें 23-11-79 तक 2,66,21,986 रुपए की राशि शेष थी।

2. इस तर्क के समर्थन में आवेदक ने कलकत्ता के महानगरीय जिला-धीश द्वारा विधिवत् सत्यापित एक शपथ-पत्र दाखिल किया। तद्वारा, मैं सत्युष्ट हूं कि उक्त लाइसेंस की मूल सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति खो गई है। इसलिए, आयात (नियंत्रण), आदेश, 1955 दिनांक 7-12-55 के उपर्युक्त 9 (सी सी) के अन्तर्गत प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए सर्वेशी नेशनल स्माल इण्डस्ट्रीज कारपोरेशन लि०, नई दिल्ली को जारी किए गए लाइसेंस संख्या आई०/ए०/1083090, दिनांक 26-6-78 की उक्त मूल सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति एतद्वारा रद्द की जाती है।

लाइसेंसधारी को उक्त लाइसेंस की अनुलिपि सीमा शुल्क प्रयोजन प्रति अलग से जारी की जा रही है।

[संख्या पं०/39-77-78/पी०एल०एस०ए०]
राजेन्द्र सिंह, उप-मुख्य नियंत्रक, आपात-नियंत्रित

ORDER

New Delhi, the 17th January, 1980

S.O. 250.—M/s. National Small Industries Corporation Ltd., Okhla Industrial Estate, New Delhi-20, were granted an import licence No. I/A/1083090, dated 26-6-78 for Rs. 4,00,00,000 (Rupees Four Crores only). They have applied for the issue of a duplicate Customs Purposes/Exchange-Control Purposes copy of the said licence on the ground that the original Customs Purposes/Exchange Control Purposes copy has been lost. It is further stated that the original Customs Purposes/Exchange Control Copy was registered with the Customs Authorities at Calcutta Port and utilised partly. It was utilised for Rs. 1,33,78,014 and the balance available on it was Rs. 2,66,21,986 as on 23-11-79.

2. In support of this contention the applicant has filed an affidavit duly certified by the Metropolitan Magistrate, Calcutta. I am accordingly satisfied that the original Customs Purposes copy of the said licence has been lost. Therefore in exercise of the powers conferred under Sub-clause 9(cc) of the Import (Control) Order, 1955 dated 7-12-55 as amended the, said original Customs Purposes Copy of licence No. I/A/1083090 dated 26-6-78 issued to M/s. National Small Industries Corporation Ltd., New Delhi is hereby cancelled.

A duplicate Customs Purposes Copy of the said licence is being issued separately to the licence.

[No. N/39-77-78/PLSA]
RAJENDER SINGH, Dy. Chief Controller of
Imports & Exports

आवेदन

नई दिल्ली, 15 जनवरी, 1980

कांग्रेस 251.—श्री राजविद नन्दा, 129, फैयर ब्रिज रोड, लंदन-एन-19 (11 रेलवे कॉलीनी, सरदार पटेल मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110021) को अल्फा रोमी अल्फासूप्रिंट 1.3 वेसिस नं. 5008301, इंजन नं. ३००८४०२, ३०१८४००८०९०४ के आवाल के लिए ५०,००० रुपए का सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट संख्या-पी०-ज०/३०५८४०२/एन०एम०पी००७३/एन०/७९, दिनांक २२-११-७९ प्रवाल किया गया था। उन्होंने सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट की अनुलिपि प्रति के लिए आवेदन किया है, क्योंकि मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट अस्थानस्थ हो गया है। आगे यह बताया गया है कि मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट किसी भी सीमा शुल्क कार्यालय में पंजीकृत नहीं था और उसका उपयोग नहीं किया गया था।

इस तर्क के समर्थन में, श्री अर्विद नन्दा ने एक शपथ-पत्र दाखिल किया है। उन्होंने बताया है कि यदि बाद में सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट उन्हे मिल गया तो इस कार्यालय को रिकार्ड के लिए लौटा देंगे। मैं संतुष्ट हूँ कि मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट संख्या-पी०-ज०/३०५८४०२, दिनांक २२-११-७९ खो गया है अथवा अस्थानस्थ हो गया है और निवेश देता हूँ कि उन्हे अनुलिपि सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट जारी किया जाए। मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट रह किया गया समझा जाए।

[मिसिल संख्या 2 (बी०-१२८)/७९-८०/बी० एन० एम०/२६९]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 15th January, 1980

S.O. 251.—Mr. Arvind Nanda, 129, Fairbridge Road, London N-19 (11, Railway Colony, Sardar Patel Marg, New Delhi-110021) was granted Customs Clearance Permit No. P/J/3058402/N/MP/73/H/79, dated 22-11-1979 for Rs. 50,000 for import of a Alfa Romeo Alfasud Sprint 1.3 Chasis No. 5008301, Engine No. AS 30184/008904 has applied for a duplicate copy of the Customs Clearance Permit as the original Customs Clearance Permit has been misplaced. It is further stated that the original Customs Clearance Permit was not registered with any Customs House and utilised.

In support of this contention Mr. Arvind Nanda has filed an affidavit. He has undertaken to return the Customs Clearance Permit if traced later to this office for record. I am satisfied that the original Customs Clearance Permit No. P/J/3058402, dated 22-11-1979 has been lost or misplaced and direct that a duplicate Customs Clearance Permit should be issued to him. The original Customs Clearance Permit may be treated as cancelled.

[File No. 2(B-128)/79-80/BLS/269]

आवेदन

कांग्रेस 252 श्रीमती लक्ष्मी मुखर्जी जिन्हें २७,००० रुपए का सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट संख्या-पी०-ज०/३०५७७५७/एन०एम०पी००७०/एन०/७८, दिनांक १५-३-७९ प्रदान किया गया था, सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट की अनुलिपि प्रति के लिए आवेदन किया है, क्योंकि मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट खो गया है। आगे यह बताया गया है कि मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट विली सीमा शुल्क कार्यालय में पंजीकृत नहीं था और उसका उपयोग नहीं हुआ था।

इस तर्क के समर्थन में श्रीमती लक्ष्मी मुखर्जी ने एक शपथ-पत्र दाखिल किया है। उन्होंने बताया है कि यदि श्रीमती सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट बाद में मिल गया तो उसे इस कार्यालय को रिकार्ड के लिए लौटा दिया जाएगा। मैं संतुष्ट हूँ कि मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट संख्या-पी०-ज०/३०५७७५७/एन०एम०पी००७०/एन०/७८, दिनांक १५-३-७९ खो गया है और निवेश देता हूँ कि उन्हे अनुरिपि सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट जारी

किया जाए। मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट रह किया गया समझ जाए।

[मिसिल संख्या 2 (बी०-१२९)/७९-८०/बी० एन० एम०/२७०]

बी०सी० भट्टनागर,

उप-मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयतन-नियंत्रित

ORDER

S.O. 252.—M/s. Lakshmi Mukherji who was granted Customs Clearance Permit No. P/J/3057757/N/MP/70/H/78, dated 15-3-79 for import of a Austin Maxi-1750/1500/1974 Model car has applied for a duplicate copy of the Customs Clearance Permit as the original Customs Clearance Permit has been lost. It is further stated that the original Customs Clearance Permit was not registered with any Cutoms House and utilised.

In support of this contention Mrs. Lakshmi Mukherji has filed an affidavit. She has undertaken to return the Customs Clearance Permit it traced later to this office for record. I am satisfied that the original Customs Clearance Permit No. P/J/3057757/N/MP/70/H/78, dated 15-3-79 has been lost and direct that a duplicate Customs Clearance Permit should be issued to her. The original Customs Clearance Permit may be treated as cancelled.

[File No. 2(B-129)/79-80/BLS/270]
P. C. BHATNAGAR, Dy. Chief Controller of
Imports & Exports.

स्वास्थ्य और परिवार काल्याण बंचालज

(स्वास्थ्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 जनवरी, 1980

कांग्रेस 253.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा ३ की उपधारा (1) के अण्डे (क) के उपबन्धों के प्रनुसार में निष्पत्रित अविनियों को ६ फरवरी, 1980 से भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् का सदस्य मनोनीत किया है:—

१. डा० वाई० पी० श्रीपाला,
निदेशक, चिकित्सा शिक्षा,
कनिंघम सरकार,
बंगलौर-१।
२. डा० एन० पी० अम्बाल,
निदेशक,
अखिल भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान संस्थान,
नई दिल्ली (पदनाम से)।
३. लेपिटेनेंट जनरल डी० एन० गुप्ता,
महानिदेशक,
मशास्त्र सेना चिकित्सा सेवाएं,
नई दिल्ली (पदनाम से)।
४. डा० अली मोहम्मद (जान),
बाग मध्यमन, श्री नगर,
(कामीर) (पुनः मनोनीत)।
५. डा० बी० एन० मिन्हा,
१० पी० मेन रोड़,
लखनऊ (पुनः मनोनीत)।
६. डा० बी० शंकरन,
न्यास्थ सेवा महानिदेशक,
नई दिल्ली (पदनाम से)।

7. डा० बी० रामालिङ्गस्वामी,
महानिवेशक,
भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान अनुसंधान परिषद्,
नई दिल्ली (पदनाम से)।

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के उपबन्धों का पालन करने हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय की 9 जनवरी, 1960 की प्रधिसूचना सं० 5-13/58-एम-I में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अथवा :—

उक्त प्रधिसूचना में “धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (रु) ” के प्रधीत मनोनीत भीर्य” के अन्तर्गत वर्तमान प्रविष्टियों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियां रखी जाएँ :—

1. डा० वाई० पी० रुद्रपा,
चिकित्सा सेवा निवेशक,
कर्नाटक सरकार, बंगलोर।
2. डा० गृह० पी० ग्रवाल,
निवेशक,
प्रविल भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान संस्थान,
नई दिल्ली (पदनाम से)
3. नेपिटनेन्ट जनरल एन० एन० गुप्ता,
महानिवेशक,
सशस्त्र सेना चिकित्सा सेवाएं,
नई दिल्ली।
4. डा० धनी मोहम्मद (जान),
बाग मधरमल, श्रीनगर,
(कश्मीर)।
5. डा० बी० एन० सिंहा,
9, ए० पी० सेन रोड़,
लखनऊ।
6. डा० बी० शंकरन,
स्वास्थ्य सेवा महानिवेशक,
नई दिल्ली (पदनाम से)।
7. डा० बी० रामालिङ्गस्वामी,
महानिवेशक,
भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान अनुसंधान परिषद्,
नई दिल्ली।”

[सं० बी०-11013/29/79-एम०ई० (पी०)]

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 21st January, 1980

S.O. 253.—Whereas the Central Government have in pursuance of the provisions of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956) nominated the following persons to be members of the Medical Council of India with effect from the 6th February, 1980 :—

1. Dr. Y. P. Rudrappa,
Director of Medical Education,
Government of Karnataka,
Bangalore.
2. Dr. L. P. Aggarwal,
Director,
All India Institute of Medical Sciences,
New Delhi. (By Designation).
3. Lieutenant General D. N. Gupta,
Director General,
Armed Forces Medical Services,
New Delhi. (by Designation).

4. Dr. Ali Mohammed (Jan),
Bagh Magharmal, Srinagar,
(Kashmir) (Re-nominated).

5. Dr. B. N. Sinha,
9, A. P. Sen Road,
Lucknow (Re-nominated).

6. Dr. B. Sankaran,
Director General of Health Services,
New Delhi. (by Designation).

7. Dr. V. Ramalingaswami,
Director General,
Indian Council of Medical Research,
New Delhi. (by Designation).

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Health No. 5-13/58-MI, dated the 9th January, 1960, namely :—

In the said notification, under the heading “Nominated under clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 3”, for the existing entries the following entries shall be substituted, namely :—

1. Dr. Y. P. Rudrappa,
Director of Medical Education,
Karnataka,
Bangalore.

2. Dr. L. P. Aggarwal,
Director,
All India Institute of Medical Sciences,
New Delhi. (By Designation).

3. Lieutenant General D. N. Gupta,
Director General,
Armed Forces Medical Services,
New Delhi.

4. Dr. Ali Mohammad (Jan),
Bagh Magharmal, Srinagar,
(Kashmir).

5. Dr. B. N. Sinha,
9, A. P. Sen Road,
Lucknow.

6. Dr. B. Sankaran,
Director General of Health Services,
New Delhi. (by Designation).

7. Dr. V. Ramalingaswami,
Director General,
Indian Council of Medical Research,
New Delhi.”

[No. V-11013/29/79-M.E. (Policy)]

नई दिल्ली, 23 जनवरी, 1980

का०आ० 254.—यतः भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (रु) के उपबन्धों के अनुसरण में महाराजा शिवाजीराव विश्वविद्यालय, बडौदा के फैलो डा० टी० बी० पटेल, एम० डी० (बम्बई), एफ० आई० सी० एस० (चिकित्सा) को 6 फरवरी, 1980 से महाराजा शिवाजीराव विश्वविद्यालय ने भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् का सदस्य निवारित किया है;

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) का पालन करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा भूतपूर्व स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार की 9 जनवरी, 1980 की प्रधिसूचना सं० का०आ० 136 में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

उक्त अधिसूचना की "धारा (3) की उपधारा (1) के अधीन निर्वाचित" शीर्ष के अन्तर्गत क्रम संख्या 24 और उससे सम्बन्धित प्रविष्टि के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित क्रम संख्या और प्रविष्टि प्रतिस्थापित की जाए, अर्थात् :—

"24. श्री दीपेश एम.डी. (बम्बई), एफ.आई.सी.एस. (चिकित्सा),
'कल्पना क्लिनिक' राष्ट्रपुरा,
बड़ोदा।"

[सं. श्री 11013/30/79-एम.इ. (पी०)]
के० एम. भाटिया, प्रब्रह्म सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd January, 1980

S.O. 254.—Whereas in pursuance of the provisions of clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), Dr. T. V. Patel, M.D. (Bombay), F.I.C.S. (Chicago), Fellow Muharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, has been re-elected by the Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda to be member of the Medical Council of India with effect from the 6th February, 1980.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the late Ministry of Health No. S.O. 138 dated the 9th January, 1980, namely :—

In the said notification, under the heading "Elected under clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 3, for serial number 24 and the entry relating thereto, the following serial number and entry shall be substituted, namely :—

"24. Dr. T. V. Patel, M.D.(BOM), F.I.C.S. (Chicago),
'Kalpana Clinic' Raopura,
BARODA."

[No. V-11013/30/79-M.E.(Policy)]
K. L. BHATIA, Under Secy.

कृपया और प्रिय मंत्रालय

(हिन्दी विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 जनवरी, 1980

का०आ० 255.—वन्य प्राणि (सुरक्षा) अधिनियम, 1972 (1972 का 53) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केंद्रीय सरकार श्री पी० कन्नन को सहायक निवेशक, वन्य प्राणि परिवर्कण नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या 2-22/79-एफ.आर.वाई० (डब्ल्यू.एल०)]

**MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE AND IRRIGATION
(Department of Agriculture)**

New Delhi, the 21st January, 1980

S.O. 255.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 3 of the Wild Life (P) Act, 1972 (53 of 1972), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. Kannan as Assistant Director of Wild Life Preservation.

[No. 2-22/79-FRY(WL)]

का०आ० 256.—श्री पी० कन्नन, सहायक निवेशक, वन्य प्राणि परिवर्कण को एवं द्वारा वन्य प्राणि (सुरक्षा) अधिनियम, 1972 की धारा 50 के अन्तर्गत अधिकारों का उपयोग करते के लिए प्रधिकृत किया जाता है।

[संख्या 2-22/79-एफ.आर.वाई० (डब्ल्यू.एल०)]

S.O. 256.—Shri P. Kannan, Assistant Director, Wild Life Preservation, is hereby authorised to exercise powers under section 50 of the Wild Life (P) Act, 1972.

[No. 2-22/79-FRY(WL)]

का०आ० 257.—वन्य प्राणि (सुरक्षा) अधिनियम, 1972 (1972 का 53) की धारा 47 के अपांड (क) के अनुमति में निदेशक, वन्य प्राणि परिवर्कण श्री पी० कन्नन, सहायक निवेशक, वन्य प्राणि परिवर्कण को उक्त अपांड के लिए अधिकार प्रदान करते हैं।

[संख्या 2-22/79-एफ.आर.वाई० (डब्ल्यू.एल०)]
एम. श्री० जयाल, निवेशक, वन्य प्राणि परिवर्कण

S.O. 257.—In pursuance of sub-clause (i) of clause (a) of section 47 of the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972 (53 of 1972), the Director of Wild Life Preservation hereby authorises Shri P. Kannan, Assistant Director of Wild Life Preservation, for the purposes of the said section.

[No. 2-22/79-FRY(WL)]

N. D. JAYAL, Director, Wild Life Preservation

नौवहन और परिवहन मंत्रालय

(नौवहन सहायित्रेशालय)

बम्बई, 1 जनवरी, 1980

वाणिज्य नौवहन

का०आ० 258.—भारत सरकार, नौवहन और परिवहन मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं.एस. ई० (6)/77/एम. टी०, तारीख 13 जून, 1977 के माथा पड़ित भारतीय वाणिज्य पोत परिवहन (नाविक नियोजन भारतीय, बम्बई) नियम, 1954 के नियम 5 के उप-नियम (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए नौवहन सहायित्रेशक एवं द्वारा कमांडर एल० के० शर्मा को कल्पाना पी० डी० बेडेकर के स्थान पर बोर्ड के एक समस्य के रूप में नियुक्त करते हैं और भारत सरकार, नौवहन और परिवहन मंत्रालय, नौवहन सहायित्रेशालय की अधिसूचना सं. 24 (1) सी० आर० ए०/76, तारीख 17-4-1978 में नियम संशोधन करते हैं। उक्त अधिसूचना में कल्पाना 10 में विवरान प्रविष्ट "कल्पाना पी० डी० बेडेकर" के लिए "कमांडर एल० के० शर्मा" प्रतिस्थापित किया जाएगा।

[एक सं. 24 (1) सी० आर० ए०/76]
के० एम० सिधु, नौवहन उप-सहायित्रेशक

MINISTRY OF SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT

(Directorate General of Shipping)

Bombay, the 1st January, 1980

MERCHANT SHIPPING

S.O. 258.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-Rule (1) of rule 5 of the Indian Merchant Shipping (Seamen's Employment Office, Bombay) Rules, 1954 read with the Notification of the Govt. of India in the Ministry of Shipping and Transport, No. MSE(6)/77-MT, dated the 13th June, 1977 the Director General of Shipping hereby appoints, Comdr. L. K. Sharma to be a member of the Board vice Capt. P. D. Bedekar and make the following amendment in the notification No. 24(1)-CRA/76, dated 17-4-1978 of the Govt. of India, Ministry of Shipping & Transport, Directorate General of Shipping. In the said notification at S. No. 10 for the existing entry "Capt. P. D. Bedekar", "Comdr. L. K. Sharma" should be substituted.

[F. No. 24(1)-CRA/76]

K. S. SIDHU, Dy. Director General of Shipping

निर्माण और आवास मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 14 जनवरी, 1980

का०आ० 259.—यह कल्पना संशोधन जिन्हें केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं द्वारा के बारे में दिल्ली की वृहत योजना में करने का प्रस्ताव करती है। दिल्ली विकास अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 61) की धारा 44 के उपबन्धों

के अनुमार विनाक 31-3-79 के नोटिस मा० एफ० 20(5)/78-एम० ८० के साथ प्रकाशित की गई थी जिसमें उक्त नोटिस की तारीख के 30 दिन के प्रत्यक्ष उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 11क की उपधारा (3) द्वारा अनेक ग्रामीण/गुजार मांगे गए थे।

और यह उक्त मंशोंधनों के बारे में कोई ग्रामीण या गुजार प्राप्त नहीं हुए हैं।

अत., इव्वत् केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 11क की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त ग्रामीणों का प्रयोग करने हुए दिल्ली की बृहन योजना में जागत के राजपत्र में इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशन की तारीख में विनाकित उपालरण करनी है, नामत:—

संशीधन :

“लगभग ०.४४ हेक्टर (1.208 एकड़ि) का अंतर जिसे बृहन योजना/जोन डी०-०५ की खेतीय योजना में आवासीय भूमि के लिए निविष्ट किया गया था तथा जो उत्तर में ४५.७२ मीटर (150 फीट) चौड़ी पंचकुर्डिया रोड़, पश्चिम में प्रस्तावित ४५.७२ (150 फीट) चौड़े रामाकृष्ण आश्रम मार्ग तथा रामाकृष्ण पूर्व में आवासीय भूमि द्वारा खिंच हुए हैं उसे अब “व्यापक” उपयोग में परिवर्तित किया जाता है।”

(मा० के० 13011/10/79-कू० डी० ई०/IIA)
एम० बालाकृष्णन्, डेस्क अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF WORKS AND HOUSING

New Delhi, the 14th January, 1980

S.O. 239.—Whereas certain modifications, which the Central Government proposes to make in the Master Plan for Delhi regarding the areas mentioned hereunder, were published with Notice No. F. 20(5)/78-MP dated 31-3-79, in accordance with the provisions of section 44 of the Delhi Development Act, 1957 (61 of 1957) inviting objections/suggestions as required by sub-section (3) of section 11A of the said Act, within thirty days from the date of said Notice;

And whereas no objection or suggestion has been received with regard to the aforesaid modifications;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 11A of the said Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following modifications in the said Master Plan for Delhi with effect from the date of publication of this modification in the Gazette of India, namely:—

MODIFICATIONS .

“The land use of an area measuring about 0.44 hect. (1.208 acres) earmarked for ‘Residential’ land use in the Master Plan/Zonal Plan for D-5 and surrounded by 45.72 Mtrs. (150 ft.) Panchkuin Road on the North 45.72 Mtrs. (150 ft.) proposed Rama Krishna Ashram Marg on the West, residential land use on the South and East, is changed to ‘Commercial’.”

[No. K-13011/10/79-UDIA/IIA]
S. BALAKRISHNAN, Desk Officer

(सम्पदा निवेशालय)

नई दिल्ली, 16 जनवरी, 1980

का० आ० 260/1/80.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (मंष के शासकीय प्रयोगों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उपनियम (4) के प्रानुसरण में महायक सम्पदा प्रबन्धक, नागपुर और सम्पदा प्रबन्धक, वर्मई के कार्यालयों को ऐसे कार्यालय होने के कारण

जहाँ कर्मचारीबृद्ध ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, प्रधिसूचित करनी है।

[स० ई० 12012(3)/80-हिन्दी]
दीना नाथ असीजा, अपर सम्पदा निवेशक

(Directorate of Estates)

New Delhi, the 16th January, 1980

S.O. 260/1/80.—In pursuance of Sub-rule (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Languages (Use for official purposes of the Union) Rules, 1976, the Central Government hereby notifies the offices of the Asstt. Estate Manager, Nagpur and the Estate Manager, Bombay as being offices where the staff have acquired a working knowledge of Hindi.

[No. E-12012(3)/80-Hindi]
D. N. ASIJA, Addl. Director of Estate

संचार मंत्रालय

(आक तार बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 17 जनवरी, 1980

का० आ० 261.—स्थायी आदेश संख्या 637, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए भारतीय तार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के खंड III के पैरा (क) के अनुमार आक-तार महानिदेशक ने गंगीपल्ली, डोडीपल्ला, येल्लामाचिल्ली व पोंडुरु टेलीफोन केन्द्र में दिनांक 16-2-80 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[संख्या 5-6/80-पी एच बी]

MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS

(P & T Board)

New Delhi, the 17th January, 1980

S.O. 261.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627, dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies 16-2-1980 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Achanta, Doddipatala, Yellamachilli and Poduru Telephone Exchanges, Andhra Pradesh Circle.

[No. 5-6/80-PHB]

का० आ० 262.—स्थायी आदेश संख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए भारतीय तार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के खंड III के पैरा (क) के अनुमार आक-तार महानिदेशक ने गंगीपल्ली टेलीफोन केन्द्र से दिनांक 16-2-80 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[संख्या 5-6/80-पी एच बी]

S.O. 262.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies 16-2-1980 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Gangipalli Telephone Exchange, Andhra Pradesh Circle.

[No. 5-6/80-PHB]

का० आ० 263.—स्थायी आदेश संख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए भारतीय तार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के खंड III के पैरा (क) के अनुमार आक-तार महानिदेशक ने गंगावरम व गंगापलीनगरम टेलीफोन केन्द्र में दिनांक 16-2-80 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[संख्या 5-6/80-पी एच बी]

S.O. 263.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies 16-2-1980 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Gantyada, Singavaram and Gajapathinagaram SAXs, Andhra Pradesh Circle.

[No. 5-6/80-PHB]

नई दिल्ली, 18 जनवरी, 1980

का० आ० 264.—स्थायी आदेश संख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए भारतीय नार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के खंड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक-नार महानिदेशक ने धर्मगांव टेलीफोन केन्द्र में दिनांक 16-2-80 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[संख्या 5-8/80 पी पंच बी]

New Delhi, the 18th January, 1980

S.O. 264.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies 16-2-1980 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Dharamsala Telephone Exchange, N.W. Circle.

[No. 5-8/80-PHB]

का० आ० 265.—स्थायी आदेश संख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए भारतीय नार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के खंड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक-नार महानिदेशक ने दृहाना टेलीफोन केन्द्र में दिनांक 16-2-80 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[संख्या 5-8/80 पी पंच बी]

S.O. 265.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies 16-2-1980 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Tohana Telephone Exchange, N.W. Circle.

[No. 5-8/80-PHB]

का० आ० 266.—स्थायी आदेश संख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए भारतीय नार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के खंड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक-नार महानिदेशक ने बालामपुरम टेलीफोन केन्द्र में दिनांक 16-2-80 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[संख्या 5-7/80 पी पंच बी]

S.O. 266.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies 16-2-1980 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Balaramapuram Telephone Exchange, Kerala Circle.

[No. 5-7/80-PHB]

नई दिल्ली, 19 जनवरी, 1980

का० आ० 267.—स्थायी आदेश संख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किए गए भारतीय नार नियम, 1951 के नियम 434 के खंड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक-नार महानिदेशक ने बांदा टेलीफोन केन्द्र में दिनांक 16-2-80 से प्रमाणित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[संख्या 5-21/79-पी पंच बी]

आरा० सी० कटारिया, महापक संस्थानिदेशक (पी०ए०च०बी०)

New Delhi, the 19th January, 1980

S.O. 267.—In pursuance of para (a) of Section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies 16-2-1980 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in Banda Telephone Exchange, U.P. Circle.

[No. 51-21/79-PHB]

R. C. KATARIA, Asst. Director General (PHB)

रेल भवालय

(रेलवे बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 16 जनवरी, 1980

का० आ० 268.—गंगाजामा (संघ के गाम्यतार प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उपनियम (2) और (4) के अनुसार भवति में रेल भवालय, (रेलवे बोर्ड) नियमितिभिन्न रेल कार्यालयों का, जहां के कम्बलियों ने हिन्दी का कार्यालय जात प्राप्त कर लिया है, अधिसूचित करना है:—

(1) रेलवे स्टाफ कारेज, बड़ोदा।

रेल सेवा आयोग, कलकत्ता।

(2) शाका कार्यालय, दानापुर।

(3) शाका कार्यालय, रायगढ़।

उपर निम्नवे:

(4) उप संचय यांत्रिक हंजीनियर, जगाधरी।

(5) जिला भण्डार नियंत्रक, जोधपुर।

(6) मूद्य इंजीनियर (नियमण) कम्पीगी एट, दिल्ली।

(7) नियमण प्रबन्धक, बीकानेर।

(8) चिकित्सा अधीक्षक, केंद्रीय ग्रन्थालय, नयी दिल्ली।

(9) मूद्य जन गम्भीर अधिकारी, स्टेट एंड्रो रोड, नयी दिल्ली।

(10) मूद्य ब्राह्मी तथा बेनदाता, दिल्ली।

(11) श्रीक प्रोवेस्ट्रल, मरापानी, मसूरी।

(12) भिस्टम बकनीयी मूद्य, लखनऊ।

(13) भोरीय प्रशिक्षण स्कूल, चट्टौरी।

[म० हिन्दी-79/गा० आ०-15/36]

MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS

(Railway Board)

New Delhi, the 16th January, 1980

S.O. 268.—In pursuance of sub-rules (2) & (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Language (Use for the Official Purposes of the Union) Rules, 1976, the Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) hereby notify the following Railway Offices, the staff whereof have acquired the working knowledge of Hindi:—

1. Railway Staff College, Baroda.

Railway Service Commission, Calcutta :

2. Branch Office, Dhanapur.

3. Branch Office, Ranchi.

Northern Railway :

4. Dy. Chief Mechanical Engineer, Jagadhari.

5. District Controller of Stores, Jodhpur.

6. Chief Engineer (Const.), Kashmere Gate, Delhi.

7. Works Manager, Bikaner.

8. Medical Superintendent, Central Hospital, New Delhi.

9. Chief Public Relation Officer, State Entry Road, New Delhi.

10. Chief Cashier & Pay Master, Delhi.

11. Oak Grove School, Jharipani, Mussoorie.

12. System Technical School, Lucknow.

13. Zonal Training School, Chandausi.

[No. Hindi-79/OL-15/36]

नई विल्ली, 19 जनवरी, 1980

शुद्धि-पत्र

का० आ० 269.—इस मत्रालय का 21-11-79 का समस्यावक अधिसूचना की मद में 93 पर अफिल “भारतीय मट्टी खाजारी, जयपुर” गढ़ों के स्थान पर “भारतीय मुख्या प्रधिकारी, का कार्यालय, रत्नालय” पर्ते।

[मं० हिन्दी-7/ग०भा०-15/7]
के० बालचन्द्रन, मर्जिव, रेलवे डोचै
गव पदन मयूर मर्जिव, भारत मर्कार

New Delhi, the 19th January, 1980

CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 269.—In the Ministry's Notification of even number dated 21st November, 1979, for the words “Aysti, Divisional Cashier, Jaipur” mentioned at item No. 93 of the said Notification may be read as “Office of the Assistant Security Officer, Ratlam”.

[No. Hindi-78/OL-15/7]

K. BALACHANDRAN, Secy., Railway Board & Ex-Officio Lt. Secy. to the Govt. of India

अम भवालय

प्रादेश

नई विल्ली, 29 दिसम्बर, 1979

का० आ० 270.—केन्द्रीय मरकार की राय है कि इसमें उपायक अनुसूची में विनियोग विषयों के बारे में भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम, प्रभागीय कार्यालय, अहमदाबाद के प्रबन्धालय से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच एक औद्योगिक विवाद विभान है;

और यह केन्द्रीय मरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णय के लिए निर्देशित करना चाहिए य समझती है;

अतः, आव, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-क और धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (प) द्वारा प्रदल गवितों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय मरकार एक औद्योगिक अधिकरण गठित करती है जिसके पाठासीन प्रधिकारी श्री आर०नी० इसरानी हैंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय अहमदाबाद में होगा और उक्त विवाद को उक्त औद्योगिक अधिकरण को न्यायनिर्णय के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

अनुसूची

क्या भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम, प्रभागीय कार्यालय, अहमदाबाद के प्रबन्धालय और या उनके अधिकारी श्री प्रशोक श्रम भट्ट, कैन्टीन लिंगिक की सेवाओं को 6 दिसम्बर, 1978 से समाप्त करने की कार्यवाही न्यायोचित है? यदि नहीं, तो समर्थित कर्मकार किस अनुसूची का द्रुक्वार है?

[संज्ञा ए०-17012/15/79-डी० 4 (ग)]
नन्दलाल, डेस्क अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

ORDER

New Delhi, the 29th December, 1979

S.O. 270.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Life Insurance Corporation of India, Divisional Office, Ahmedabad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10

of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri R. C. Israni shall be the Presiding Officer with headquarters at Ahmedabad and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of Life Insurance Corporation of India, Divisional Officer, Ahmedabad and or their agent in terminating the services of Shri Ashok Bhambhatt, Canteen Clerk, with effect from the 6th December, 1978 is justified? If not, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled?

[No. L-17012/15/79-D.IV(A)]
NAND LAL, Desk Officer.

New Delhi, the 16th January, 1980

S.O. 271.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal cum-Labour Court, New Delhi, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Beas Sutlej Link Project, Sundernagar and their Workmen namely Shri Jairam, Shri Surat Singh and Shri Krishan Dev which was received by the Central Government on the 8th January, 1980.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI

I. D. No. 70, 72 & 92 of 1978

In re :

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Shri Jai Ram, Driver Token No. 568-L | Versus Chief Engineer, BSI & Ors |
| 2. Surat Singh F. M. Msc Token No. 853-AI. | Versus Chief Engineer, BSL & Ors |
| 3. Krishan Dev, Painter Token No. 721-AI | Versus Chief Engineer, BSL & Ors |

AWARD

By this award I propose to dispose of three matters registered as I.D. No. 70 of 1978, Jai Ram Versus BSL, I.D. No. 72 of 1978, Surat Singh Versus BSL and I.D. No. 92/78 Krishan Dev Versus BSL inasmuch as similar question of law and fact are involved in all these petitions. Since these petitions are proposed to be disposed of by the same order, a copy of the same be placed on the other two files.

2. These petitions have been filed by the respective workmen u/s 33-A under the I.D. Act against BSL, Sunder Nagar, Mandi, Himachal Pradesh challenging the termination of their services by retrenchment as illegal on the ground that it was in contravention of u/s 33-A of I.D. Act, 1947.

3. The applications are opposed on behalf of the Project and a preliminary objection regarding the maintainability of these petitions has been raised in which it is contended that the petitions were not maintainable u/s 33-A of the I.D. Act, 1947. In view thereof arguments were heard on the question of maintainability of these petitions and I have gone through the respective statements of claim and the written statements and after giving my considered thought to the matter before me I have come to the conclusion that certainly these petitions are not maintainable u/s 33-A of the I.D. Act, 1947.

4. According to these petitions the Central Government as appropriate Govt. had made a reference No. 2-C of 1971 to Chandigarh Industrial Tribunal in which the award was given on 15th May, 1974 by that Tribunal and award was implemented to some extent of the said award. The Central Government vide its order No. L-42011/4/76/D-II

(9B) dated the 23rd April, 1976 made a reference u/s 36-A for clarification of the said award and it was thereafter that the workmen in these petitions were retrenched and these petitions have been filed u/s 33-A on the grounds that on account of the pendency of subsequent reference u/s 36-A the retrenchment was invalid as no permission or sanction or approval was obtained from the Tribunal.

5. These facts have not been denied. Only question to be considered for the purposes of disposal of objection of maintainability is as to whether the retrenchment during the pendency of reference u/s 36-A of I.D. Act is valid or not.

6. The contention of the Management is that the original reference having already been disposed of by an award by the Industrial Tribunal, Chandigarh the provisions of Section 33-2(b) were not attracted and were not violated and pendency of a reference u/s 36-A would not attract the provisions of Section 33-2(b) and in consequence there was no need for any permission, sanction or approval of the Industrial Tribunal before services of these workmen could be terminated.

7. In so far as the reference u/s 36-A of I.D. Act is pending before this Tribunal now and is registered as No. 10-C/76/177 of 1977 the said file was summoned for the purposes of disposal of this application. The original file of the award is also available this Tribunal alongwith the reference No. 10-C/76/177 of 1977.

8. It is in the light of these admissions of the parties representatives that this petition has to be determined.

9. From the perusal of the petitions I find that the contention of the workmen in the applications is that he was a workman under the Industrial Dispute Act employed with the Beas Sutlej Link Project and his services were terminated by way of retrenchment without any concurrence having been previously obtained from the Industrial Tribunal, Chandigarh before whom a reference u/s 36-A I.D. Act was pending and as such it was in violation of Section 33(2)(b) of I.D. Act and hence this application.

10. It is contended by the Management that the original reference had already been disposed of by an award by Industrial Tribunal Chandigarh and it was only a reference with regard to scope of the said award which was pending u/s 36-A before the Industrial Tribunal, Chandigarh and that did not attract the provisions of Section 33(2)(b) of the I.D. Act before the services of the workman were terminated by retrenchment and hence this petition was no maintainable.

11. It may be mentioned here that the reference u/s 36-A of the I.D. Act is pending before this Tribunal now and is registered as No. 10-C/76/177 of 77 and as such the said file was summoned for the purposes of disposal of this application. The original file of the award was also available to this Tribunal alongwith the reference No. 10-C/76/177 of 77.

12. I have heard the representative of the workman as also that of the Management and have gone through the original file of reference No. 2-C of 1971 as also of reference No. 10-C/76/177 of 77 and after giving my considered thought to the matter before me I have come to the following findings.

13. In order to appreciate the arguments addressed at the bar it would be appropriate to narrate the events in sequence starting with the original reference. The Central Government in the Ministry of Labour and Employment and Rehabilitation, Department of Labour and Employment as appropriate Government referred an Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the Beas Sutlej Link Project and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the schedule to the said order No. 4/86/70/I.R. III dated the 4th March, 1971 u/s 10 of the I.D. Act to Shri P. P. R. Sahney, the Industrial Tribunal Chandigarh. The said schedule read as under :

1. Revision of pay scales of work charged employees.
2. Regularisation of the services of the work charged employees.
3. Accident and retrenchment compensation to the workmen drawing over Rs. 500/- P.M.
4. Gratuity Scheme.

14. The said reference was registered as reference No. 2-C of 1971 by the Tribunal—Shri H. R. Sodhi, the successor of Industrial Tribunal, Chandigarh gave an award in the said reference vide his order dated the 15th May, 1974 which was duly published by the appropriate Government. Thereafter some dispute arose between the workmen and the Management on the scope of the said award and as a result thereof the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its order No. L. 42011/4/76/D, II(B) dated the 23rd April, 1976 made a reference u/s 36-A of the I.D. Act, 1947 to Industrial Tribunal, Dehi in the following terms :

‘Whether the direction given by the Industrial Tribunal, Chandigarh in the award in reference No. 2-C of 1971 governed the case of the category of employees discussed in the award only or the said award is in respect of all categories of employees of the Project wherever either of the principles enunciated in the award was lacking?’

15. This subsequent reference u/s 36-A was registered as No. 10 of 1976 by the Industrial Tribunal, Delhi. This reference was however later on transferred to this Tribunal and has been registered as No. 177 of 1977 and is still under determination.

16. During the pendency of this subsequent reference made subuqesntre-O.

on 23rd April, 1976 number of workmen have been retrenched by the Project and the present workmen are some of those. It would not be inappropriate to mention here that the said retrenchment has been effected in consequence of a settlement arrived at between the representatives of the workman and the Management in this behalf and that necessary retrenchment compensation and other dues to which the retrenched employees were entitled are stated to have been paid before retrenchment in accordance with the said settlement.

17. The contention of the workman now is that in so far as Industrial Dispute No. 10/76/177/77 was pending at the time of retrenchment and in as much as the Management had not sought the concurrence of the Industrial Tribunal u/s 33(2)(b) of the I.D. Act the said retrenchment is invalid and hence this petition.

18. In view of the circumstances narrated above the maintainability of this petition would depend on the answer to the question as to whether the provisions of Section 33(2)(b) are attracted. Section 33 of the I.D. Act, provides as under :

‘Conditions of service, etc. to remain UNCHANGED UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES. (1) During the pendency of any conciliation officer or a Board of any proceeding or Tribunal or National Tribunal in respect of an industrial dispute, no employer shall—

- (a) in regard to any matter connected with the dispute, after, to the prejudice of the workmen concerned in such dispute, the condition of service applicable to them immediately before the commencement of such proceeding; or
- (b) for any misconduct connected with the dispute, discharge or punish, whether by dismissal or otherwise, any workman concerned in such dispute, save with the express permission in writing of the authority before which the proceeding is pending.

(2) During the pendency of any such proceeding in respect of any industrial dispute, the employer may, in accordance with the standing orders applicable to a workman concerned in such dispute, (or, where there are no such standing orders, in accordance with the terms of the contract, whether express or implied, between him and the workman)—

- (a) alter, in regard to any matter not connected with the dispute, the conditions of service applicable to that workman immediately before the commencement of such proceeding; or
- (b) for any misconduct not connected with the dispute, discharge or punish, whether by dismissal or otherwise, that workman:

Provided that no such workman shall be discharged or dismissed, unless he has been paid wages for one month and an application has been made by the employer to the authority before which the proceeding is pending for approval of the action taken by the employer.

(3) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (2), no employer shall, during the pendency of any such proceeding in respect of an industrial dispute, take any action against any protected workman concerned in such dispute—

(a) by altering, to the prejudice of such protected workman, the conditions of service applicable to him immediately before the commencement of such proceedings; or

(b) by discharging or punishing, whether by dismissal or otherwise such protected workman.

save with the express permission in writing of the authority before which the proceeding is pending.

Explanation.—For the purposes of this sub-section a (Protected workman), in relation to an establishment means a workman who, being (a member of the executive or other office bearer) of a registered trade union connected with the establishment, is recognised as such in accordance with rules made in this behalf.

(4) In every establishment, the number of workmen to be recognised as protected workmen for the purposes of sub-section (3) shall be one per cent, of the total number of workmen employed therein subject to a minimum number of five protected and a maximum number of one hundred protected workmen and for the aforesaid purpose, the appropriate Government may take rules providing for the distribution of such protected workmen among various trade unions, if any, connected with the establishment and the manner which the workmen may be chosen and recognised as protected workmen.

(5) Where an employer makes an application to a Conciliation Officer, Board, (an arbitrator a) Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal under the proviso to sub-section (2) for approval of the action taken by him, the authority concerned shall, without delay, hear such application and pass, as expeditiously as possible, such order in relation thereto as it deems fit.

19. In order to attract the provision of Section 33 of the I.D. Act, it is essential for the workmen to establish that proceedings referred to in that section were pending in the instant case before the Industrial Tribunal and it is urged on behalf of the workman that this reference u/s 36-A is in continuation of reference u/s 10 of the I.D. Act and as such for the purpose of Section 33, the original reference No. 2-C/71 would be deemed to be pending and hence the retrenchment was invalid. I do not find much weight in this contention of the workman. The original reference No. 2-C/71 stood disposed off by the award dated 15-5-74 of Shri H. R. Sodhi, Industrial Tribunal, Chandigarh. The said award had been duly published. With the publication of the award the reference No. 2-C/77 had been determined finally. If some dispute regarding the extent or implication of the award was raised it would not revive the original dispute in which an award had already been made and the said award having been already published. Further more the subsequent reference is a reference u/s 36-A of the I.D. Act. Said Section 36-A is intended only for the purposes of removal of difficulties and it provides as under :

36A. Power remove difficulties.—(1) If, in the opinion of the appropriate Government, any difficulty or doubt arises as to interpretation of any provisions of any award of settlement, it may refer the question to such Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal as it may think fit.

(2) The Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal to which such question is referred shall, after giving the parties an opportunity of being heard, decide such question and its decision shall be final and binding on all such parties.)

20. Thus the scope of subsequent reference u/s 36-A is limited and is limited to interpretation of the provisions of the award dated 15-5-1974 and cannot travel beyond it. By no stretch of imagination the said reference opens the original reference so as to attract the provisions of Section 33 in the instant case. The reference u/s 36-A cannot be equated for all intents and purposes to a reference u/s 10 of the I.D. Act. The scope of reference u/s 36-A is limited by the words used in that section by the legislature.

21. In order to support their contention the representative of the workman has drawn my attention to a ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India entitled Ballarpur Colliery Company Versus Presiding Officer, Dhanbad and another reported as 1972 (2) LLJ-90. However the question of law involved, in that case was altogether different than the one which arises in these cases and as such this ruling is not attracted by the facts of these cases. Section 23-A is not in pari materia with Section 33 of the I.D. Act and as such it cannot be accepted that any industrial dispute was pending on the date of retrenchment of the workman so as to attract the provisions of Section 33 of the I.D. Act. Even otherwise right of strike can be equated with lock-out as has in fact been done under section 23 of the I.D. Act and it cannot extend to the right of retrenchment. Sec. 23 itself makes clear that it refers either to strikes or lock-outs and nothing else. As such reference u/s 36-A attracts section 23, it also would attract section 33. Section 23 and 33 are bases upon altogether different concepts. Section 36-A would not enable the Tribunal to review, modify or alter the original award. It is only to get the provisions clarified or the doubt removed and therefore it cannot be relegated to the position of original reference. Under no circumstances can it be accepted that the original reference No. 2C/71 under section 10 of the I.D. Act, 1947 was revived by the subsequent reference u/s 36-A and therefore I hold that provisions of Section 33 of I.D. Act have not been attracted or contravened in the instant cases.

22. In so far as I have held above that provisions of Section 33(2)(b) of the I.D. Act were not attracted at the time of termination of the services of these respective workmen it would follow that these petitions u/s 33-A of the I.D. Act are not maintainable and rather if at all the workmen feel aggrieved against the termination of their services they must raise an Industrial Dispute in the ordinary manner so that a proper reference is made by the appropriate Government and the questions raised cannot be considered in these petitions.

23. In view thereof all these petitions are dismissed. Parties are however left to bear their own costs.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer
[No. L-42014(1)/80-D. II(B)]
S. S. BHALLA, Desk Officer

Dated : the 22nd May, 1979

Further Awarded :

That requisite number of copies of this award may be sent to the appropriate Government for necessary action at their end.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer
Central Government Industrial Tribunal,
New Delhi.

Dated : the 22nd May, 1979

गई वित्ती, 23 अगस्त, 1980

प्राप्ति

प्रभाग शास्त्री 272—इंडियन एयर साइन्स, नई वित्ती से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, जिनका प्रतिनिधित्व इंडियन एयर काप्ट तकनीशियल एसोसिएशन करती है, एक शोधोगिक विद्यालय विद्यमान है;

और उनके नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों ने शोधोगिक विद्यालय विद्यमान, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10-क की उपधारा (1) के अधीन एक लिखित करार द्वारा उनके विवाद को माध्यस्थम के लिए निर्देशित करने का करार कर लिया है और उनके माध्यस्थम करार की एक प्रति केन्द्रीय सरकार को भेजी गई है;

परन्तु, यद्यपि उपधारा 10-क की उपधारा (3) के उपराख्यों के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार उनके करार को, जो 13 विसंवर, 1979 को मिला था, एतद्वारा प्रकाशित करती है।

(भारत)

(भौद्धोगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की वार्षा 10-के अधीन)
पक्षकारों के नामः

इण्डियन एयर लाइन्स,
113, गुरुद्वारा रकाबगंज रोड,
नई दिल्ली ।

इण्डियन एयरक्राफ्ट तकनीशियन एसोसिएशन,
केन्द्रीय कार्यालय, पी-9, दुर्गा रोड,
कलकत्ता ।

नियोजकों का प्रतिनिधित्व
करने वाले:

श्री जे० डी० जिजिना,
उपनिदेशक (हंजीनियरी),

इण्डियन एयर लाइन्स मुख्यालय,
113, गुरुद्वारा रकाबगंज रोड,
नई दिल्ली ।

श्री ग्र० पी० भसीन,
भौद्धोगिक सम्बन्ध प्रबन्धक,
इण्डियन एयर लाइन्स मुख्यालय,
113, गुरुद्वारा रकाबगंज रोड,
नई दिल्ली ।

कर्मकारों का प्रतिनिधित्व
करने वाले:

श्री के० डी० राध,
अध्यक्ष,
इण्डियन एयरक्राफ्ट तकनीशियन एसोसिएशन,
पी-9, दुर्गा रोड,
कलकत्ता ।

श्री ग्र० पी० गुहा,
महामंत्री,
इण्डियन एयरक्राफ्ट तकनीशियन एसोसिएशन,
पी-9, दुर्गा रोड,
कलकत्ता ।

पक्षकारों के दोच निम्नलिखित भौद्धोगिक विवाद को श्री पी० एन० राजवन, संयुक्त मुख्य अमायूक्त (केन्द्रीय), मुख्य अमायूक्त (केन्द्रीय), कार्यालय, नई दिल्ली के माध्यस्थम् के लिए निर्देशित करने का करार किया गया है।

(i) विनिविष्ट विवादप्रस्त विषयः

क्या इण्डियन एयरक्राफ्ट तकनीशियन एसोसिएशन की उनके द्वारा प्रतिनिधित्व किए जाने वाले कर्मचारियों के निम्नलिखित वर्गों के लिए पहली जनवरी, 1974 से तकनीकी वेतन में 50 प्रतिशत वृद्धि के भुगतान की मांग व्याप्तित है या नहीं?

कर्मचारियों का वर्ग

1-1-1974 को

तकनीकी वेतन

(1) 245-640 रुपये के भूतपूर्व मेड में तकनीशियन } 30 रुपये प्रतिमास
(2) 385-770 रुपये के भूतपूर्व मेड में वरिष्ठ तकनीशियन } 30 रुपये प्रतिमास

(3) 410-920 रुपये के भूतपूर्व मेड में चार्जेंहैंड 40 रुपये प्रतिमास
(4) 640-1170 रुपये के भूतपूर्व मेड में फोरमैन, 50 रुपये प्रतिमास
निरीक्षक

(ii) विवाद के पक्षकारों का विवरण, जिसमें अन्तर्वलित स्थापन या उपक्रम का नाम और पता भी सम्मिलित है:—

1. इण्डियन एयर लाइन्स,
113, गुरुद्वारा रकाबगंज रोड,
नई दिल्ली ।

2. इण्डियन एयरक्राफ्ट तकनीशियन एसोसिएशन,
केन्द्रीय कार्यालय : पी-9, दुर्गा रोड,
कलकत्ता ।

(iii) प्रभावित उपक्रम में नियोजित कर्मकारों

की कुल संख्या संग्रहग 16,000

(iv) विवाद द्वारा प्रभावित या सम्भाव्यतः

प्रभावित होने वाले कर्मकारों की प्राक्कलित लगभग 2,500
संख्या ।

माध्यस्थ अपना पंचाट लः मास की कालावधि या इन्हें और समय के भीतर जो हमारे बीच पारस्परिक लिखित करार द्वारा बढ़ाया जाए, देंगा । यदि पूर्ववर्णित कालावधि के भीतर पंचाट नहीं दिया जाता तो माध्यस्थम् के लिए निदेश स्वतः रद्द हो जाएगा और हम नए माध्यस्थम् के लिए बातचीत करने को स्वतन्त्र होंगे ।

कर्मकारों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले नियोजकों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

ह०/- जे० डी० जिजिना

प्रध्यक्ष, इण्डियन एयरक्राफ्ट तकनी- उपनिदेशक, हंजीनियरी, इण्डियन एयर

लाइन्स मुख्यालय

ह०/- ग्र० पी० गुहा

महामंत्री, इण्डियन एयरक्राफ्ट तकनी- प्रबन्धक, भौद्धोगिक सम्बन्ध, इण्डियन एयर

लाइन्स मुख्यालय

तारीखः

सालः

1. ह०/-

जे० कुमार,

महामंत्री, इण्डियन एयर तकनीशियन एसोसिएशन, दिल्ली ।

2. अपार्टमेंट,

ता० 11-12-79

[संख्या एल० 11025(1)/80-ही०-2(बी)]

New Delhi, the 23rd January, 1980

ORDER

S.O. 272.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Indian Airlines, New Delhi and their workmen represented by the Indian Aircraft Technicians' Association.

And whereas, the said employers and their workmen have by a written agreement under sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the said dispute to arbitration and have forwarded to the Central Government a copy of the said arbitration agreement;

Now the refore, in pursuance of sub-section (3) of section 10A of the said Act, the Central Government hereby publishes the said agreement which was received by it on the 13th December, 1979.

AGREEMENT

(Under Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)
BETWEEN

Name of the Parties :

Indian Airlines,
113, Gurdwara Rakabganj Road,
New Delhi.
Indian Aircraft Technicians'
Association, Central Office,
P-9, Durga Road, Calcutta.

Representing Employer : Shri J.D. Jijina, Dy. Director of Engineering, Indian Airlines, Headquarters, 113, Gurdwara Rakabganj, Road, New Delhi.
Shri O.P. Bhasin, Industrial Relations Manager, Indian Airlines, Headquarters, 113, Gurdwara Rakabganj Road, New Delhi.

Representing Workman : Shri K.B. Rao, President, Indian Aircraft Technicians' Association, P-9 Durga Road, Calcutta.
Shri I.P. Guha, General Secretary, Indian Aircraft Technicians' Association, P-9, Durga Road, Calcutta.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following dispute to the Arbitration of Shri P.N. Razdan, Joint Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), Office of the Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), New Delhi.

(i) Specific matter in dispute :

Where the demand of the IATA for payment of 50% increase in Technical Pay with effect from January 1, 1974 is justified or not for the following categories of employees represented by them :

| Categories of Staff | Technical Pay as on 1-1-1974 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| (i) Technicians in the erstwhile grade of Rs. 245—640 | |
| (ii) Sr. Technicians in the erstwhile grade of Rs. 385—770 | Rs. 30/- per month |
| (iii) Chargehands in the erstwhile grade of Rs. 410—920 | Rs. 40/- per month |
| (iv) Foremen/Inspectors in the erstwhile grade of Rs. 640—1170 | Rs. 50/- per month |
| (ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved :— | |
| (1) Indian Airlines, 113, Gurdwara Rakabganj Road, New Delhi. | |
| (2) Indian Aircraft Technicians' Association, Central Office, P-9, Durga Road, Calcutta. | |
| (iii) Total No. of workmen employed About 16,000 in the undertaking affected : | |
| (iv) Estimated No. of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute : | About 2,500 |

The Arbitrator shall make his award within a period of six months or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not given within the period aforementioned the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Representing workmen
Sd/-
K.B. RAO,
President, Indian Aircraft Technicians' Association.

Representing employer
Sd/-
J.D. JIJINA,
Dy. Director of Engineering, Indian Airlines, Headquarters.

Sd/-
I.P. GUHA,
General Secretary, Indian Aircraft Technicians' Association.

Sd/-
O.P. BHASIN,
Industrial Relations Manager, Indian Airlines, Headquarters.

Dated New Delhi this 11th day of December, 1979.
Witnesses :

1. Sd/-
G. KUMAR,
Reg. Sec. I.A.T.A.
Delhi.
2. Illegible
dt.11-12-79

[No. L-11025(i)/80-D. II(b)]

New Delhi, the 25th January, 1980

S.O. 273.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of the Food Corporation of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 20th November, 1979.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA
BENGALORE

Dated 15th November, 1979

PRESENT :

Sri H. S. Hanmukhappa, B.A., B.L.—Presiding Officer.
Central Reference No. 1 of 1979

I PARTY :

Workmen represented by the Regional Secretary, Food Corporation of India, Syndicate Bank Building Gandhinagar, Bangalore-9.

vs.

II PARTY :

The Regional Manager, Food Corporation of India, Syndicate Bank Building, Gandhinagar, Bangalore-9.

APPEARANCES :

For the I Party.—Sri K. V. Sreenivasan, Regional Secretary, Food Corporation of India Employees' Union, Bangalore.

For the II Party.—Sri Chamanlal, Regional Manager, Food Corporation of India, Bangalore-9.

REFERENCE

(Government Order No. L-42012(9)/79-D.II(B) dated 30-8-1979)

AWARD

As per Order No. L-42012(9)/79-D.II(B) dated 30-8-1979 issued in exercise of its powers under Section 7A and 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Central Government has referred an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of the Food Corporation of India and their workmen for adjudication to this Tribunal on the following points of dispute :—

"Whether the action of the management of the Food Corporation of India, Bangalore, in transferring Shri B. V. Nagaraja Rao, Technical Assistant—Grade I from Bangalore to Belgaum, is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. On receipt of the Reference, notices were taken out to both the parties to file their statement of demands on 25-10-1979.

3. When the case was called on 25-10-1979, the I Party Union's Regional Secretary, Sri K. V. Sreenivasan was present

and filed a memo praying for time to file the statement of demands. On behalf of the II Party, the Regional Manager, Sri Chamanlal filed a letter stating that the union has settled the dispute with the management and therefore the matter be treated as settled. But the I Party Union's Secretary was not ready to admit it. In the circumstances, the parties were directed to file their statements on 15-11-1979. When the case was called on 15-11-1979 i.e. today, Sri K. V. Sreenivasan, the Regional Secretary of the I Party was present, so also Sri Chamanlal, Regional Manager on behalf of the II Party. The I Party filed a Memo reporting settlement of the dispute in question. The II Party also has filed a similar memo reporting settlement.

4. Both the parties were heard. In the circumstances and as both parties have reported settlement of the dispute in question, the Reference is liable to be rejected and accordingly the following Award is passed :

AWARD

In view of the settlement Memos filed by both the parties, the Reference is rejected as settled. No order as to costs.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me).

H. SHANMUKHAPPA, Presiding Officer
[No. L-42012(9)/79-D.II(B)]
S. S. BHALLA, Desk Officer

धारेश

नई दिल्ली, 17 जनवरी, 1980

का०प्रा० 274.—केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपायद्र भनुसूची में विनिविष्ट विषयों के बारे में तेल और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग, देहरादून के प्रबन्धालय से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच एक ग्रौषोगिक विवाद विद्यमान है;

प्रीर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को व्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करता बाल्दीय समझौती है;

प्रतः, यद्य, ग्रौषोगिक विवाद विधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-के और धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के बांध (प) द्वारा प्रदत्त नियोजितों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एक ग्रौषोगिक विधिकरण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासीन विधिकारी श्री ग्रार०सी० इसरानी होंगे, और भूम्यालय भ्रहमवादाद में होगा और उक्त विवाद उक्त ग्रौषोगिक विधिकरण को व्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है;

प्रभुसूची

कथा ग्रो०ए०जी०सी०ग्राह०ग्रार०ए० एम्साइज एसोसिएशन, भ्रहमवादाद की ग्रो०ए०जी०सी० इस्टीट्यूट ग्राफ रिजिस्टर स्टडीज में नियोजित कर्मकारों और घर पर नियोजक (रिजिस्टर) द्वारा नियोजित कर्मकारों की विविध भर्ते की व्यायायी की भाग व्यायोचित है? यदि हां, तो कर्मकार किस घनुतोष के हकदार हैं और किस तारीख से हकदार हैं?

[संख्या ए०-३००११/६/७८-डी० ३ वी]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 17th January, 1980

S.O. 274.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of the Oil and Natural Gas Commission, Dehra Dun and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7A, and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which

which Shri R. C. Israni shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Ahmedabad and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of the ONGC IRS Employees Association, Ahmedabad for payment of Drilling Allowance to the workmen employed in the ONGC Institute of Reservoir Studies and those employed by the Additional Director (Reservoir) is justified? If so, to what relief the workmen are entitled and from which date?"

[No. L-30011/4/78-D. III. B]

का०प्रा० 275.—इससे उपायद्र भनुसूची में विनिविष्ट ग्रौषोगिक विवाद श्री ए०ए०ए० ए० एलवारेस, पीठासीन विधिकारी, ग्रौषोगिक विधिकरण, बंगलौर के समझौते संबंधित है;

प्रीर, उक्त श्री ए०ए०ए० ए० एलवारेस की सेवाएं यह उपलब्ध नहीं हैं।

प्रतः, ग्राम केन्द्रीय सरकार ग्रौषोगिक विवाद विधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 33-ब की उपधारा (1) के साथ पठित धारा 7 के द्वारा प्रदत्त नियोजितों का प्रयोग करते हुए, एक ग्रौषोगिक विधिकरण गठित करती है, जिसके पीठासीन विधिकारी, श्री ए०ए० शास्त्रमुद्देश्य नहीं, जिनका मुम्यालय बंगलौर में होगा तथा उक्त श्री ए०ए०ए० ए० ए० एलवारेस के समझौते संबंधित उक्त विवाद से सम्बद्ध कार्यवाही को वापिस लेती है और उसे श्री ए०ए० शास्त्रमुद्देश्य पीठासीन विधिकारी ग्रौषोगिक विधिकरण, बंगलौर को इस निदेश के साथ ग्रांतरित करती है कि उक्त विधिकरण आगे कार्यवाही उसी प्रक्रम से करेगा, जिस पर वह उसे ग्रांतरित की जाए, और विधि के अनुसार उसका निपटान करेगा।

भनुसूची

| क्रमांक | विवाद में पक्षकार | ग्रौषोगिक विवाद, निर्देश संघांक और तारीख |
|---------|-------------------|------------------------------------------|
|---------|-------------------|------------------------------------------|

1. कुदरेमुख आयरल ग्रौर कम्पनी, ए०-२६०१२/१/७९-डी० ३ (वी) लिमिटेड का प्रबन्धताल ग्रौर उसके कर्मकार, तारीख, 18 सितम्बर, 1979

[संख्या ए०-२६०१२/१/७९-डी० ३ (वी)]

ए० ए० राय, घबर सचिव

S. O. 275:—Whereas the industrial dispute specified in the Schedule hereto annexed is pending before Shri F. L. F. Alvares, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore;

And, whereas, the services of the said Shri F. L. F. Alvares are no longer available;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section-7A read with sub-section (1) of section 33-B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri H. Shanmukhappa shall be the Presiding Officer with headquarters at Bangalore and withdraws the proceedings in relation to the said dispute pending before the said Shri F. L. F. Alvares and transfers the same to Shri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore with the direction that the said Tribunal shall proceed with the proceedings from the stage at which it is transferred to it and dispose of the same according to law.

SCHEDULE

| Sl. Parties to the dispute | Reference No. & Date of Industrial Dispute |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Management of Kudremukh Iron Ore Company Limited and their workmen. | L-26012/1/79-D.III (B) dated the 18th September 1979. |

[No. L-26012/1/79-DIII(b)]

New Delhi, the 18th January, 1980

S.O. 276.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Bombay in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Sanlem Iron Ore Mine of M/s. Talaucar and Sons (P) Ltd., Panjim, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 5th January, 1980.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, BOMBAY
CAMP : GOA
Reference No. CGIT-12 of 1976

PARTIES

Employers in relation to M/s. Talaucar & Sons (P)Ltd., Panjim.

AND
Their Workmen

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers—Mr. Ramesh Desai, Consultant Industrial Relation.

For the Workman—Mr. George Vaz, General Secretary.

INDUSTRY : Mining

STATE : Maharashtra.

STATE :

MAHARASHTRA

Bombay, the 12th December, 1979

AWARD

The Government of India in the Ministry of Labour by Order No. L-26013(3)/76-D-IV(B) dated the 29th September, 1976 has referred an Industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the management of M. S. Talaucar and Sons (Private) Limited and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the following schedule :—

“Whether the action of the management of Sanlem Iron Ore Mine of M. S. Talaucar & Sons (P) Ltd., Panjim in terminating the services of Shri Chandappa M. Shivapuri, Driver with effect from 4-3-1976 is justified? If not to what relief is the concerned workman entitled?”

2. The workman is dead. His widow is present in Court. She is brought on record. Mahadevi the widow thus continues the action. There is a settlement between the parties. I find that settlement just and fair. The Award in terms of the settlement is as follows :—

“The widow of Late Shri Chandappa Shivapuri shall be paid rupees one thousand five hundred only (Rs. 1500) within seven days from the date orders are passed on this application by this Hon'ble Tribunal. In view of this payment by the Management the Union does not press the demand of reinstatement with full back wages”.

3. No order as to costs.

C. T. DIGHE, Presiding Officer
[No. L-26013/3/76-D. IV.B/D.III-B]

New Delhi, the 24th January, 1980

S.O. 277.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bhanakhp Mica Mine and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 8th January, 1980.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT :

Shri J. P. Singh, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 2 of 1978

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Bhanakhp Mica Mine

AND

Their Workmen.

APPEARANCES :

On behalf of the Employers.—Shri R. Prasad, Advocate.

On behalf of the Workmen.—Shri J. D. Lall, Advocate.

STATE : Bihar INDUSTRY : Mica Mine.

Dhanbad, the 31st December, 1979

AWARD

The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of M/s. Bhanakhp Mica Mine and their workmen, has referred to this Tribunal for adjudication by their Order No. L-28012/2/78-D. IIIIB dated, the 11th August, 1978 with the schedule extracted below :—

“Whether the action of the management of Bhanakhp Mica Mine, P.O. Singer, District Nawadah of M/s. Bhanakhp Mica Mining Company in dismissing Shri Sidheshwar Singh, Pump Khalasi from 31st March 1978 is justified and if not to what relief is the aggrieved workman entitled?”

2. Employers as well as the workmen filed their written statements and Exts M1 to M18 and Ext. W1 to W8 have been marked for the employers and workmen respectively.

3. On 30-12-1977 a chargesheet was given to Shri Sidheshwar Singh, Sirdar-cum-Khalasi by the management of Bhanakhp Mica Mining Co. It was to the effect that on 1-12-1977 the concerned workman Shri Sidheshwar Singh had been warned on account of having entered in the official quarter of the highest authority of the mine after breaking open the lock, and thereby had offended section 16(a)(r) and (s) of the Company's Standing Orders. He was also directed to vacate the quarters within 24 hours. This letter dated 30-12-77 was received by Shri Sidheshwar Singh on the same date. This chargesheet is Ext. M4. The reply was submitted by Shri Sidheshwar Singh dated 31-12-77 Ext. M5. It was addressed to the Mines Manager. He took the plea that he had requested the Manager in writing and also orally to allot a quarter to him, but no action was taken so that he had to remain on the verandah of house. On 21-12-77 he again requested the Manager and he received an order to open that house, and consequently he has been living in that house. He was surprised to find that on 30-12-1977 he was chargesheeted for misconduct. According to him he never contravened any Company's rules nor he desired to do so. The chargesheet was stated to be baseless. Then there is a Ext. M6 dated 5-1-78 which was letter addressed to Shri Sidheshwar Singh by Shri S. N. Jha, Agent. In this letter it was mentioned that there was no satisfactory reply to the chargesheet and, therefore, domestic enquiry was ordered to be conducted by Shri A. Qasim, Labour Officer, C. H. Ltd. Jhumeritelaia. Ext. M7 is a notice dated 25-1-78 by Shri A. Qasim, Labour Officer intimating Sidheshwar Singh that he would hold enquiry on 30-1-1978 at 3.00 P.M. in the mines office at Bhanakhp. Ext. M8 is another notice by the Enquiry Officer to the workman fixing 9-2-78 at the mines office at Bhanakhp for evidence. This was done on account of a petition of the concerned workman Ext. M10 to produce his evidence.

4. A list of witnesses was submitted by the management before the Enquiry Officer on 31-12-1977. Enquiry was conducted by him. The ordersheet of the enquiry is Ext. M13 and the proceeding is Ext. M14. Witnesses namely Satyadeo Singh, Chamari Mahato, Mahabir Barahai, Parameshwar Barahai, Charitar Rajwar, Gita Prasad Jha, Raksha Singh and Sideshwar Singh are examined on behalf of the workmen. On behalf of the management Shri Birendra Kumar Basiar, M. C. Banerjee, Shri S. N. Jha, G. R. Das, Nageshwar Pandey and Shri Baikuntha Prasad Sinha were examined by the management. Ext. M15 is the report of the Enquiry Officer.

5. The Enquiry Officer found the workman guilty of gross misconduct. Ext. M16 is the letter from the Agent to the concerned workman dated 22-3-78 forwarding a copy of the report of the Enquiry Officer. Ext. M17 is the reply of the workman to that letter. Ext. M18 is another letter by the Agent to the concerned workman dated 31-3-1978 intimating to him that he was dismissed from service with immediate effect, and he was directed to collect all his dues from the mines office at Jhumeritelaiya. It was signed by the concerned workman on 3-4-1978. Thereafter there was a conciliation proceeding which failed and this reference was made. Accordingly this reference was made for adjudication.

6. Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the workmen submitted before me at the outset that he would not challenge the propriety of the domestic enquiry and, therefore, it is held that the enquiry was fair and proper. Arguments have been heard on the merit of the case.

7. It transpires in evidence before the domestic enquiry that quarter in occupation of the concerned workman Shri Sidheshwar Singh was of the Agent. He was overall incharge of the mine where the concerned workman was employed. According to the evidence of Shri Sidheshwar Singh, the concerned workman, he had been given the key of the locked quarter by the Agent himself but none of his witnesses had said a word about it. On the other hand the concerned workman's witnesses have admitted that the quarter was in occupation of the Agent and his nephew lived in the quarter. This statement has been corroborated by the management's witnesses. On 11-2-78 the Enquiry Officer himself visited the quarter and found that Shri S. N. Jha, Agent was in occupation of the quarter. He also found the belongings of the Agent in the house. It has come out in evidence that by the time the enquiry was held, the quarter was vacated by Sidheshwar Singh and was occupied by the Agent. My attention has been drawn to the letter Ext. M. 1 addressed by Sidheshwar Singh to the Manager of the mine. It was stated that if the quarter was not made available to him, he would open the lock of the room in presence of Mukhia and Sarpanch and enter the same. The letter was forwarded to the Agent who gave a warning letter to the workman and also sent a copy of that letter to the Officer-in-charge of Police Station and Mukhia, Gram Panchayat. It was followed by another letter Ext. M3 addressed by the Agent directing the concerned workman to vacate the quarter. This followed Ext. M4 a letter from the Agent asking him to vacate the quarter within 24 hours failing which legal action would be taken against him.

8. The above are uncontested fact which go to show that neither the Manager of the Mica Mine nor the Agent ever permitted Sidheshwar Singh to occupy the quarter belonging to Agent. It is clear that the house which was locked was opened by Sidheshwar Singh and used by him for his occupation. This was in violation of Section 16(a), (r) and (s) of the Standing Orders of the Company. It is, therefore, held that the charge of misconduct has been proved against the concerned workman.

9. It has been urged before me on behalf of the workman that the punishment of dismissal was very hard, and so some lighter punishment should be considered. It appears that the Agent Shri S. N. Jha gave several opportunity to the concerned workman to vacate the quarter, but he paid no attention. Then again it was affront on the part of the concerned workmen to go and occupy by force the quarter meant for the highest authority of the mine namely the Agent. There is no extenuating circumstance before me to consider the case of the workmen for the lighter punishment than what has been awarded by the management.

10. A question was raised in the written statement of the management that since the recognized union had not taken up the dispute on behalf of the workman it could not be said to be a valid reference. This plea has been given up by the management at the time of hearing. I have, therefore, to hold that the reference is valid.

11. In the result I have to hold that the action of the management of Bhanakhp Mica Mine, P. O. Singer, District Nawadah of M/s. Bhanakhp Mica Mining Company in dismissing Shri Sidheshwar Singh, Pump Khalasi from 31st March, 1978 is justified. The workman under the circumstance is not entitled to any relief whatsoever.

This is my award.

J. P. SINGH, Presiding Officer
[No. L-28012/2/78-D.III(B)]
A. K. ROY, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 18 जनवरी, 1980

कांगड़ा 278.—केन्द्रीय सरकार प्रधक खान थम कल्याण निधि भवित्वनियम, 1946 (1946 का 22) की आरा 3 की उपशारा (4) के प्रनवरण में, 31 मार्च, 1977 को समाप्त होने वाले वर्ष के दौरान प्रधक खान थम कल्याण निधि से वित्त-पोषित क्रियाकलापों की निम्नलिखित रिपोर्ट उस वर्ष के लिए विवरण तथा उक्त निधि के 1977-78 वर्ष की प्राप्तियां और व्ययों के प्रावक्षण सहित प्रकाशित करती है।

भाग 1

(1) सामान्य:—प्रधक खान थम कल्याण निधि का गठन प्रधक खान थम कल्याण निधि भवित्वनियम, 1946 (1946 का 22) के अधीन प्रधक खान उत्तरां में नियोजित श्रमिकों के कल्याण से संबंधित स्थीरों के वित्त-पोषण के लिए किया गया है।

2. अधिनियम में, नियात की गई सभी प्रधक पर मूल्यानुसार मवा और प्रतिशत की अधिकतम दर पर सीमा शुल्क के उपराहण किया गया है। उपकर की दर, जो कि पहले मूल्यानुसार $2\frac{1}{2}$ प्रतिशत थी, 15 जुलाई, 1974 से $3\frac{1}{2}$ प्रतिशत तक बढ़ा दी गई। सप्रहणों का आवंटन विभिन्न प्रधक उत्पादन करने वाले क्षेत्रों में उनके औसत उत्पादन के अनुपात में कल्याणकारी उपायों से संबंधित व्यय के लिए किया जाता है।

भाग 2

व्यवस्थित सुचिपाद

(क) चिकित्सा:—प्रधक खान थम कल्याण संगठन द्वारा प्रधक श्रमिकों और उनके प्राप्तियों के लिए विभिन्न प्रकार की चिकित्सा सुविधाओं की निःशुल्क व्यवस्था की जाती है। उनके अंतर्गत अस्पतालों, प्रसूति एवं शिशु कल्याण केन्द्रों का प्रावधान और अनुरक्षण गृहोपचार सहित क्षयरोग के उपचार की सुविधाएं, आयुर्वेदिक औषधालयों सहित औषधालय सेवाएं और अन्य सुविधाएं शामिल हैं। रिपोर्ट से संबंधित वर्ष के दौरान प्रधक खानों और उनके प्राप्तियों के उपचार के लिए आनंद प्रदेश, राजस्थान और बिहार के कल्याण संगठनों द्वारा निम्नलिखित केन्द्रीय तथा स्थानीय अस्पतालों द्वारा खलाए जाते रहे हैं:—

| क्रम सं. | अस्पताल का नाम | पलंगों की संख्या |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1. | केन्द्रीय अस्पताल, कर्मा, बिहार | 100 |
| 2. | केन्द्रीय अस्पताल, गांगापुर, राजस्थान | 30 |
| 3. | केन्द्रीय अस्पताल, कालीचूड़, प्रान्ध्र प्रदेश | 30 |
| 4. | देशीय अस्पताल, तिमरी, बिहार | 30 |
| 5. | देशीय अस्पताल, तानुपुर, आन्ध्र प्रदेश | 10 |
| 6. | देशीय अस्पताल, सईतापुरम, आन्ध्र प्रदेश | 10 |
| 7. | क्षयरोग अस्पताल, कर्मा, बिहार | 50 |
| 8. | केन्द्रीय अस्पताल, कालीचूड़, आन्ध्र प्रदेश से संलग्न क्षयरोग थाउ | 20 |
| 9. | श्रमली, बांगोर और मावो-गांगापुर, राजस्थान स्थित राजकीय औषधालयों में अंतर्गत वार्ष | 5 |

(प्रत्येक)

उपर्युक्त अस्पतालों के अलावा तीन अधिक उत्पादन करने याए राज्यों अर्थात् आन्ध्र प्रदेश, राजस्थान और बिहार में निम्नलिखित अधिकार की चिकित्सा संस्थाएँ भी लगातार कार्य करती रही हैं—

| क्रमांक | चिकित्सा संस्था का नाम | आनंद्र प्रदेश | बिहार | राजस्थान | कुल प्रदेश |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------|----------|------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1. | श्राव्युर्वेदिक ग्रीष्मधारालय | 3 | 8 | 8 | 19 |
| 2. | गैर्गोवैदिक ग्रीष्मधारालय | 1 | 5 | 2 | 8 |
| 3. | जलते-फिरते चिकित्सालय एकक | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 4. | स्थिर एवं जलते-फिरते ग्रीष्मधारालय | -- | -- | 1 | 1 |
| 5. | प्रसूति और गिरु कल्याण/समूह समूदाय केन्द्र (श्रीलालाम) | 4 | 5 | 3 | 12 |

अधिक खान श्रम कल्याण संगठन द्वारा अवशेष से वीडित रोगियों के उपचार के लिए पर्याप्त सुविधाएँ दी जा रही हैं। इन सुविधाओं में अवशेष अस्पतालों और सेनेटोरियमों में पर्लगों का आरक्षण शामिल है। नेशनर में स्थित तपेंदिक और छाती के रोगों के मरकारी अस्पताल में उपर्युक्त अधिक खनिकों द्वारा उनके अधिकारियों के प्रदोग के लिए अधिक जारी रहा। राजस्थान क्षेत्र में अत्यंत रोग के रोगियों के लिए मदार टी.बी.० सेनेटोरियम, अजमेर में भी 4 पलंग आवधित रहे। कोइ से वीडित अधिक खनिकों के उपचार के लिए अवश्यकता भी जारी रही। क्षयरोग के प्रत्येक ऐसे रोगी की 9 मास की अवधि तक के लिए 50 रुपये प्रति मास का विवर्ध भस्त्र दिया जाता है, जहाँ वे परिवार के लिए बोकल स्वयं ही कमाने वाले सकत्य हैं।

आतक दुर्घटना लाभ योजना के अन्तर्गत, निधि खनिकों की पर्सी/पति को 250 रुपये की एक सुन्दर अदायगी और पांच वर्ष के लिए देय 25 रुपये का सासिक भत्ते सदा स्कूल जूँ याने/जाने वाली प्रथेक बच्चों/बच्ची के संबंध में उसकी 15 वर्द का अवृद्ध होने पर उसका विवाह होने तक (इसमें से जो पहले होंगे), 15 रुपये की मामिक शावकृति के रूप में वित्तीय सहायता प्रशान करती रही। आनंद्र प्रदेश में अधिक खनिकों को विवृद्धियों और बच्चों को यात्रक दुर्घटना लाभ योजना के अन्तर्गत 920 रुपये की वित्तीय सहायता दी गई।

विधिय चिकित्सा सुविधाएँ—बिहार क्षेत्र में कोइ के लिए तेलुगुरी कोइ अस्पताल में, कैम्बर के लिए केन्द्रीय अस्पताल कल्याण असनसोल में और मानसिक रोगियों के लिए रांची में स्थित मानसिक रोगों के अस्पताल में अधिक खनिकों के उपचार की अवस्था जारी रही।

(ख) शिक्षा और मनोरंजन सुविधाएँ—शान अधिकारी और उनके अधिकारियों को शिक्षा और मनोरंजन सुविधाओं की अवस्था करने के लिए निम्नलिखित संस्थाएँ आनंद्र प्रदेश, बिहार और राजस्थान के अन्तर्गत अधिक खान संगठनों में कार्य कर रही हैं—

| क्रमांक | संस्थान का नाम | आनंद्र प्रदेश | बिहार | राजस्थान | कुल |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------|----------|-----|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1. | बहुदेशीय संस्थान (प्रोड शिक्षा कल्याण केन्द्र सहित) | -- | 9 | -- | 9 |
| 2. | समूदाय केन्द्र | 1 | 6 | -- | 7 |
| 3. | महिलाओं के लिए केन्द्र | -- | -- | 5 | 5 |
| 4. | प्राथमिक/पारंपरिक शिक्षालय | 6 | 3 | -- | 9 |
| 5. | महायक केन्द्र | -- | 1 | -- | 1 |
| 6. | मिडिल/हाई स्कूल | 2 | 5 | -- | 7 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|-----|-------------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|
| 7. | ब्रोड शिक्षा केन्द्र | -- | 9 | 7 | 16 |
| 8. | खनिकों के बच्चों के लिए शाकावाय/होमेटिप | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 9. | चलने-फिरने मिनेमा एकक | -- | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 10. | अधिक खनन क्षेत्रों में लगाए गए रेफिलर सेट | 39 | 16 | 10 | 65 |
| 11. | मनोरंजन और खेल-कूट केन्द्र | 12 | -- | 8 | 20 |
| 12. | ट्रॉटोरियल केन्द्र | -- | -- | 8 | 8 |
| 13. | पुस्तकालय और वाचनालय | -- | -- | 9 | 9 |

संगठन द्वारा स्थापित विद्यालयों में दोगहर का भोजन, दूध, अलाहार, नेशनल सामग्री की मुफ्त सज्जाई और लात्रूकिंग लगा शिक्षा-शुल्क के अनुदान और मान्दूकिक कार्डक्रम आदि की अवस्था की गई। महायक केन्द्रों, मिनीमा एककों और रेफिलर केन्द्रों के माइक्रोम से मनोरंजन सविधाओं की में व्यवस्था की गई। अपग्रेड अवधित दोगों मंडवी मूविधाओं की भी अवस्था की गई। नाले की तरह इम वर्ष भी खेल प्रतियोगिताएँ आयोजित की गई और विजेताओं को पुरस्कार भी दिए गए।

बिहार क्षेत्र में अधिक महिलाओं के लिए समूदाय केन्द्र, मनोरंजन केन्द्रों आदि जैसी सुविधाओं की अवस्था की गई। समूदाय केन्द्रों में महिलाओं को चिताई कार्ड, लेन कार्ड आदि भिजाया जाता है। चुंकि शार्य सरकार ने बिहार द्वेष के अधिक फैल दें विभिन्न स्थानों पर व्यावसाय स्थापित नहीं किए हैं, अतः खनिकों के बच्चों की शिक्षा की मुविधा उपलब्ध कराने के लिए निधि द्वारा प्राथमिक/मिडिल विद्यालय स्थापित किए गए हैं। बच्चों की पुस्तकें, ब्लैट, पोशाके मुफ्त दो जाती हैं। बहुदेशीय संस्थानों और समूदाय केन्द्रों में खनिकों के बच्चों की टिकिन्म (पके हुए भोजन के अलावा) भी दिए जाते हैं।

(ग) येव जल की सुविधाएँ—बिहार क्षेत्र में अधिकारों की आवश्यकता को पूर्ण करने और उनकी अन्तर्गत को कम करने के लिए निधि ने खनन क्षेत्रों के अन्दर कुरुक्षेत्र के निर्माण कार्य का आरम्भ किया। लगभग 4,80,000 रुपये की लगत के 48 कुण्ड खोदे गए। अधिक खनन क्षेत्र में कुरुओं का खोयना कठिन कार्य है और सभी व्यावहार इस योजना के अन्तर्गत नहीं आते हैं। कुछ प्रबन्धनालयों के अनुग्रह पर योजनाओं के द्वारा निधि द्वारा “योजना लाभ येव हानि” के आधार पर दूर द्वारा पेश जाते हैं अवस्था की गई।

आनंद्र प्रदेश क्षेत्र में केन्द्रीय अम मरी द्वारा 16-4-1976 को कालीनेडू गांव में स्थायी जल प्रवाह योजना का उदाहारण किया गया और अधिक खनिकों नवा अध्यांत की येव जल की पूर्ति की जा रही है। इस तरह हस्त खेत्र में पानी की सज्जाई का चिरकालिक समस्या को दूर किया गया। इस परियोजना के लिए स्वेच्छा 1.426 लाख रुपये में से 31-3-1977 तक हम योजना के अन्तर्गत 77,336.00 रुपये खर्च हुए। इस क्षेत्र में अव तक 26 कुण्ड खोदे गए।

आनंद्र प्रदेश मरकार के मर्यादियों से नुरीमेरना गांव के लिए काल्वलेर नदी को स्लोक के रूप में मानकर एक अन्य महत्वपूर्ण जल प्रदाय योजना प्रारम्भ की गई। इस योजना पर 2,77,000 रुपये खर्च होने का अनुमान है। इसमें निधि का योगर 65,750 रुपये था।

(घ) आवास-अधिक खनिकों के लिए आवास की अवस्था करना भी हम संगठन का कार्य है। कपल लगान आवास योजना के अन्तर्गत बिहार क्षेत्र में 540 मरकार मन्जूर किए गए हैं। इस योजना के अन्तर्गत बनाया जाना चाहिए योजना “मरकार आवास बनाया योजना” के अन्तर्गत आनंद्र प्रदेश क्षेत्र में कुल 15 आवेदन-पत्रों और राजस्थान क्षेत्र में कुल 21 आवेदन-पत्रों की गई है।

माला भृत्यपूर्ण कार्यकलाप

श्रमिकों को भवनी प्रतिविन की श्रविवार्द्ध वस्तुओं को उचित दरों पर व्यवस्था करने के लिए आन्ध्र प्रदेश में दो उचितोंका सहकारी मन्दार और बिहार के करमा क्षेत्र में केन्द्रीय उपभोक्ता सहकारी मन्दार कार्य कर रहे हैं। राज्य मन्दार जन हितेशी व्यात मालिकों और श्रमिकों के सहयोग से केन्द्रीय व्यवस्थाताल, कालीचेदु में "ग्रांडों के एक केन्द्र" का मालोजन निश्चिक किया गया। "ग्रांडों के इस केन्द्र" का उद्घाटन 16-4-1976 को केन्द्रीय श्रम मंत्री द्वारा किया गया। राजस्थान अंतर्व के सभी केन्द्रों में 12 नवम्बर 1976 से 14 नवम्बर 1976 तक व्यात दिवस मनाया गया।

भाग-2

वर्ष 1976-77 का सेखा विवरण :—

प्राप्तियाँ :

| | रुपये |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| पहली अप्रैल, 1976 को श्रम रोपन | 83,04,657 |
| वर्ष 1976-77 के दौरान प्राप्ति | 83,94,291 |
| | |
| कुल | 1,71,98,949 |
| वर्ष 1976-77 के दौरान व्यय | 77,85,758 |
| 31 मार्च, 1977 को अन्तर्वेष | 94,13,190 |

भाग-3

| वर्ष 1977-78 के लिए प्राक्कलित प्राप्तियाँ और व्यय | (लाख रुपयों में) |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| बजट अनुमान | 50.00 |
| प्राप्तियाँ | 65.96 |
| व्यय | |

[फाइल संख्या जैड 16016/1/79-एम० 3)
जगदीश प्रसाद, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 18th January, 1980.

S. O. 278 :—In pursuance of sub-section (4) of Section 3 of the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund Act, 1946 (22 of 1946) the Central Government hereby publish the following report of the activities financed from the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund during the year ending 31st March, 1977 together with a statement of accounts for that year and an estimate of receipts and expenditure of the said fund for the year 1977-78.

PART I

1. General

The Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund has been constituted under the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund Act, 1946 (22 of 1946) for financing schemes relating to the Welfare of Labour employed in the mica mining industry.

2. The Act provides for the levy of a duty of customs, on all mica exorted, upto a maximum rate of 6½ per cent advalorem. The rate of cess which was 2½ percent advalorem previously was increased to 3½ percent with effect from the 15th July, 1974. The collections are allocated for expenditure on welfare measures among the various mica producing areas in proportion to their average production.

PART II

Facilities Provided

A. Medical—Different types of medical facilities for mica workers and their dependents are provided free of cost by the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Organisation. These include pro-

vision and maintenance of hospitals, maternity and child welfare centres, facilities for treatment of T. B. including domiciliary treatment, dispensary services including Ayurvedic dispensaries and other facilities. The following Central and Regional hospitals continued to be maintained by the Welfare Organisations of A. P. Rajasthan and Bihar for the treatment of mica miners and their dependents during the year under report:

| Sl. No. | Name of the Hospital | Bed Strength |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. | Central Hospital, Karma, Bihar | 100 |
| 2. | Central Hospital, Gangapur (Rajasthan) | 30 |
| 3. | Central Hospital, Kalichedu (A. P.) | 30 |
| 4. | Regional Hospital, Tisri (Bihar) | 30 |
| 5. | Regional Hospital, Talupur, A.P. | 10 |
| 6. | Regional T. B. Hospital, Sydapuram, A.P. | 10 |
| 7. | T. B. Hospital, Karma (Bihar) | 50 |
| 8. | T. B. Ward attached to the Central Hospital, Kalichedu (A.P.) | 20 |
| 9. | Indoor Wards at State Dispensaries at Amla, Bagore and Madhorajpur (Rajasthan) | 5 (each) |

Besides the above hospitals, the following other types of medical institutions also continued to function in the three mica producing States i.e. A. P., Rajasthan and Bihar.

| Sl. No. | Name of the Medical Institutions | A.P. | Bihar | Rajasthan | Total |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------|-----------|-------|
| 1. | Ayurvedic Dispensaries | 3 | 8 | 8 | 19 |
| 2. | Allopathic Dispensaries | 1 | 5 | 2 | 8 |
| 3. | Mobile Medical Units | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 4. | Static-cum-Mobile Dispensaries | .. | .. | 1 | 1 |
| 5. | Maternity & Child Welfare/Small Community Centres (Dispensary) | 4 | 5 | 3 | 12 |

Adequate facilities for treatment of T. B. patients are being provided by the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Organisations. These facilities included reservation of beds in T. B. hospitals and Sanatoria. Six beds in the Government T. B. and Chest Diseases Hospital, Nellore continued to be reserved for the exclusive use of mica miners and their dependents. In the Rajasthan Region, 4 beds at Madar T. B. Sanatorium, Ajmer also continued to be reserved for T. B. Patients. Arrangements also continued for the treatment of mica miners suffering from leprosy. A subsistence allowance of Rs. 50 per month was granted to each of the T. B. patients for a period upto 9 months where they happened to be the only earning members of the family.

Under the Fatal Accident Benefit Scheme the Fund continued to provide financial assistance to the spouse of a miner in the form of a lumpsum payment of Rs. 250 and a monthly allowance of Rs. 25 payable for a period of five years, and a monthly scholarship of Rs. 15 payable in respect of each school going child till he/she attained the age of 15 or was married (whichever is earlier). Financial assistance amounting to Rs. 920 under the Fatal Accident Benefit Scheme was granted to widows and children of mica miners in Andhra Pradesh.

Miscellaneous Medical facilities—In Bihar region arrangements continued for the treatment of mica miners at Tetu-Imari Leprosy Hospital for Leprosy, at the Central Hospital,

Kalla, Asansol for cancer, and at the Hospital for Mental diseases at Ranchi for mental diseases.

B. EDUCATIONAL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES:—For providing educational and recreational facilities to mica workers and their dependents, the following institutions are functioning in the three Mica Mines Labour Welfare Organisations of A. P., Bihar and Rajasthan:

| Sl. No. | Name of the Institutions | A.P. | Bihar | Rajasthan | Total |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------|-----------|-------|
| 1. | Multipurpose Instts. (with Adult Educational Welfare Centre) | .. | 9 | .. | 9 |
| 2. | Community Centres | 1 | 6 | .. | 7 |
| 3. | Centres for women | .. | .. | 5 | 5 |
| 4. | Primary/Elementary Schools | 6 | 3 | .. | 9 |
| 5. | Feeder Centre | .. | 1 | .. | 1 |
| 6. | Middle/High Schools | 2 | 5 | .. | 7 |
| 7. | Adult Education Centres | .. | 9 | 7 | 16 |
| 8. | Boarding Houses/Hotels for miners children | 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 |
| 9. | Mobile Cinema Units | .. | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 10. | Radio Sets installed in mica mining areas | 39 | 16 | 10 | 65 |
| 11. | Recreation & Sports Centres | 12 | .. | 8 | 20 |
| 12. | Tutorial Centres | .. | .. | 8 | 8 |
| 13. | Library and Reading Rooms | .. | .. | 9 | 9 |

In the Schools set up by the Organisation, provision was made for free supply of mid-day meals, milk, snacks, stationery articles and grant of scholarships and tuition fees, cultural programmes, etc. Recreation facilities were also provided through Feeder Centres, Cinema Units and Radio Centres. Facilities for excursion-cum-study tours were also provided. As usual, competitive sports were organised this year also and prizes given to winners.

In the Bihar region facilities were provided such as community centres for labour women, recreational clubs, etc. In community centres, tailoring, lace work, etc. are taught to women. As the State Government have not established schools at different places in the mica field of Bihar region, Primary/Middle Schools, have been established by the Fund to facilitate education to the miners' children. The children are provided with free books, slates and dresses. Tiffins (other than cooked food) are also provided to the miners' children attending the Multipurpose institutes and community centres.

C. DRINKING WATER FACILITIES:—In the Bihar region to meet the urgency and to mitigate the hardship of the workers, the Fund took up construction of wells inside the mining areas. 48 wells costing Rs. 4,80,000 approximately were dug. The digging of wells had been a difficult job in the mica mining area and all mines could not be covered by the Scheme. On the request of some of the management, supply of drinking water by truck on "No profit no loss" basis was arranged by the Fund during the last summer.

In Andhra Pradesh region the Permanent Water Supply Scheme at Kalichedu village was inaugurated on 16-4-1976 by the Union Labour Minister and drinking water is being supplied 1104 GI/79—7

to the mica miners and others, thus relieving the chronic, water supply problem in this area. Out of Rs. 1,426 lakhs sanctioned to the Scheme an expenditure of Rs. 77,336.00 was incurred upto 31-3-1977 under this Scheme. In this region 26 wells were sunk so far.

In co-operation with the Government of Andhra Pradesh another important water supply scheme with Kandleru river as the source was taken up for Turimerla village. The scheme was estimated to cost Rs. 2,77,000/- of this the share of the Fund was Rs. 65,750/-

D. HOUSING :—Provision of housing accommodation for the mica miners is one of the activities of the Organisation. Under the Low Cost Housing Scheme, 540 houses have been sanctioned in Bihar region, In Andhra Pradesh region a total number of 15 applications and in Rajasthan region 21 applications have been sanctioned so far under Build Your Own House Scheme for mica miners under this Scheme during the year.

Other Important Activities :

Two consumer Co-operative Stores in A. P. and one Central Consumer's Cooperative Stores at Karma in Bihar have been functioning to provide the workers their daily necessities at reasonable rates. A free Eye Camp was organised at Central Hospital, Kalichedu in co-operation with the State Govt., Philanthropic mine owners and others. The Free Eye Camp was inaugurated on 16-4-1976 by the Union Labour Minister. In Rajasthan region Bal Diwas was celebrated with effect from 12th November, 1976 to 14th November, 1976 at all the Centres.

PART II

Statement of Accounts for the year 1976-77:—

Receipts :

| | |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Opening balance as on 1st April, 1976 | Rs. 83,04,657 |
| Receipts during the year 1976-77 | Rs. 88,94,291 |
| Total | Rs. 1,71,98,948 |
| Expenditure during the year 1976-77 | Rs. 77,85,758 |
| Closing balance as on 31st March, 1977 | Rs. 94,13,190 |

PART III

Estimated receipts and expenditure for the year 1977-78 (Rupees in lakhs)

Budget Estimates

| | |
|-------------|-------|
| Receipts | 50.00 |
| Expenditure | 65.96 |

[F. No. Z-16016/1/79-M.III]

JAGDISH PRASAD, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 18th January, 1980

S.O. 279.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 3 Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Angarpathera Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Katrasgarh, District Dhanbad and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 14th January, 1980.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NO. 3, DHANBAD

Reference No. 33 of 1978

PRESENT :

Shri P. Ramakrishna, Presiding Officer.

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Angarpathera Colliery of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd. P.O. Katrasgarh, Distt. Dhanbad.

AND

Their workman

APPEARANCES :

For Employers—Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate.
For Workman—Shri S. Bose, Secretary, R.C.M.S.

INDUSTRY : Coal

STATE : Bihar

AWARD

Dated, the 8th January, 1980

The Govt. of India in the Ministry of Labour in exercise of the powers conferred on them U/s 10(1)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 14 of 1947 have referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication as per their Order No. L-20012/4/78/DIII(A) dated the 25th April, 1978.

Schedule

"Whether the action of the management of Angarpathera Colliery of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., P.O. Katrasgarh, Dist. Dhanbad in not regularising the services of Shri K. D. Lal as Attendance Clerk (Grade-II Clerical) is justified? If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled and from what date?"

The General Secretary of the Rashtriya Colliery Mazdoor Sangh filed a written statement of claim on behalf of the workman herein stating that the workman Sri K. D. Lal joined the service in Angarpathera Colliery sometime in 1966 as a loading Munshi and continued to serve in that capacity till 17-10-71 when the management of the colliery was taken over by the Central Govt. With effect from 2-2-74 he held the post of a Cap Lamp Mazdoor in Category II. The further case is that with effect from 1-8-75 he worked as Attendance Clerk in Clerical Grade II on a permanent basis. He was being paid the difference in wages due to him as an Attendance Clerk. He says that inspite of his representation the management is not regularising his services as Attendance Clerk. So he placed the matter before his union who raised the dispute before the A.L.C.(C) Dhanbad. The A.L.C. submitted his failure of conciliation report to the Central Govt. on 31-12-77 on receipt of which the present reference is made. It is prayed on behalf of the workman that the management should be directed to regularise the services of the workman as an Attendance Clerk with effect from 1-8-75 with consequential benefits.

The Superintendent of the Angarpathera Colliery has filed a written statement on behalf of the management stating that the workman herein is only a Cap Lamp Mazdoor as and when temporary vacancies arose due to the absence of permanent incumbents he was being posted as an underground Munshi/Attendance Clerk. For the period he acted in the higher post he was paid the higher wages. They deny the allegation that the workman acted as an Attendance Clerk continuously for more than one year and therefore acquired the right to be absorbed in that post on a permanent post. Since the workman acted for short periods in leave vacancies they say his claim for regularisation of services as an Attendance Clerk does not arise.

The rejoinders filed by either party contain no fresh information except a denial of the averments contained in their respective written statements.

On the above pleadings the issues that arise for consideration are—

- (1) Whether the workman herein acted as an Attendance Clerk from 1-8-75 without a break on a permanent basis?

Q. 1) To what relief?

Ans. (i)—The workman says he joined the service of Angarpathera Colliery in 1966 as a Loading Munshi and continued to hold that post till the date of take over on 17-10-71. Atmadiay he accepted the post of a Cap Lamp Mazdoor with effect from 2-2-74. In para 5 of the written statement of claim he has stated that with effect from 1-8-75 he was asked to perform the duties of an Attendance Clerk which duty he has been discharging since then permanently. He says he was also receiving the difference in wages due to him as Attendance Clerk which is a Grade II Clerical post. The management in their written statement deny the workman's claim that he acted continuously without a break as an Attendance Clerk from 1-8-75 onwards.

In support of the workman's case there is his own evidence as against the evidence of MW-1 Sri C. P. Singh, Superintendent of the mines. The workman as WW-1 deposed that he worked as Attendance Clerk from August '75 to December '76 without break and that this fact would be borne out by the Form E(Attendance) Registers. He further says that though he acted for more than one year in that capacity the management had failed to confirm him in that post despite his representations and protests. From 1977 onwards except for short spells of work as Attendance Clerk he has been working as Cap Lamp Mazdoor. In his cross-examination he denied the suggestion that he worked as Attendance Clerk during the years 1974 to 1976 in short leave vacancies. He admits that for the period he discharged the functions of an Attendance Clerk he was paid the difference in wages due to him. Form E registers for the period 1975 to 1977 Exts. M-2 to M-4 were shown to him. He admits that in the aforesaid exhibits his designation is noted as a Cap Lamp Mazdoor only. Similarly he admits that in the salary sheets Ext. M-5 for November '75, Ext. M-6 for June '76 and Ext. M-7 for August '76 his designation was shown as Cap Lamp Mazdoor and the period during which he acted as an Attendance Clerk was indicated therein.

Shri C. P. Singh MW-1 is the Manager of Angarpathera Colliery from 1-12-75 and from 30-12-76 he has also been holding the post of Superintendent. He deposed that from 1-12-75 onwards WW-1 was working as a Cap Lamp Mazdoor except for short intervals when he acted as an Attendance Clerk in leave vacancies of very casual nature. He denied the case that WW-1 had worked continuously as an Attendance Clerk for any length of time. On behalf of the workman an Office Order purporting to have been issued by one Shri M. B. Jha, Asstt. Manager on 1-8-75 is filed. By this order Shri Jha is said to have transferred the workman herein in his capacity as Attendance Clerk to K. P. Section with effect from 1-8-75. This order of Shri Jha purports to have been despatched with despatch number 2164. MW-1 says that this document dated 1-8-75 is a false one. According to him, under this Dis. number 2164 a different letter dated 28-5-75/7-7-75 was despatched. He filed that letter as Ext. M-8. It is unnecessary to discuss the genuineness of the order of Shri Jha dated 1-8-75 as the workman himself did not choose to rely upon it. MW-1 files the Bonus Registers Exts. M-11 to M-13 for the period 1975 to 1977. He also files Ext. M-14 an abstract of the attendance put in by the concerned workman as Attendance Clerk/Underground Munshi during the period August '75 to December '77.

On the above evidence it has to be seen if the case of the workman that he acted as an Attendance Clerk without a break from 1-8-75 to 21-12-76 is established.

On behalf of the management the wage sheets for the months of November '75, September '75 and August '76 are filed to show that during these three months he did not act as an Attendance Clerk except for a few days. Ext. M-5 shows that his substantive designation was Cap Lamp Mazdoor and that he had acted as a Munshi from 10-11-75 to 30-11-75. It does not show that he acted as an Attendance Clerk during that month. Ext. M-6 is the salary sheet for June '76. It shows that the workman herein acted as Attendance Clerk from 18-6-76 to 20-6-76. Ext. M-7 also shows that he acted as an Attendance Clerk from 4-7-76 to 7-7-76. If the claim of the workman that he acted without a break during the period August '75 to December '76 is correct, the entries in the attendance register should have shown him as an Attendance Clerk throughout that period. Further it is not the workman's case that he was not paid the wages due to an Attendance Clerk during the period he worked in that

capacity. He admits having received the difference in wages for the actual period he acted in the higher post. If he has no quarrel in regard to the wages paid to him as per Exts. M-5 to M-7 his case that he was an Attendance Clerk throughout this period cannot be believed. The attendance registers Exts. M-2 to M-4 for the period 1975 to 1977 give the workman's designation as Cap Lamp Mazdoor. The bonus register for 1975 to 1976 and 1976 to 1977 do not also support the workman's case. They show that he only received difference in wages during this period which would not have been the case had he worked as an Attendance Clerk throughout this period as alleged by him. Shri Bose appearing for the workman argues that the bonus registers and the attendance registers do not clearly show the period during which the workman had acted as Attendance Clerk. According to him it is the attendance register maintained by the workman herein as Attendance Clerk that should prove the actual period during which he acted as an Attendance Clerk. No application is filed calling for such a document from the management. It is not put to MW-1, the Mins Superintendant that the attendance registers maintained by the Attendance Clerk were something different from Exts. M-2 to M-4 filed in this case. The applicant at WW-1 also did not state that the attendance registers maintained by him during the relevant period, were something different from Exts. M-2 to M-4. In the absence of any evidence to the contrary it must be held that besides Exts. M-2 to M-4 there are no other attendance registers.

For the aforesaid reason, I hold on Issue (1) that the workman has failed to establish his case that he acted as an Attendance Clerk from 1-8-78 without a break on a permanent basis.

Issue (2)—In view of the aforesaid finding this reference is answered against the workman.

P. RAMAKRISHNA, Presiding Officer
[No. L-20012/4/78-D.III(A)]

S.O. 280.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Jamadoba, District Dhanbad and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 12th January, 1980.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under Sec 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947

Reference No. 7 of 1979

(Ministry's Order No. L-20012/231/78-D. III(A))

dt. 10-1-1979)

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Jamadoba, District Dhanbad

AND

Their Workmen.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers.—Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate

For the Workmen.—Shri C. P. Rai Sharma, Branch Secretary, Rashtriya Coillery Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE : Bihar

INDUSTRY : Coal

Dhanbad, the 8th January, 1980

AWARD

A reference has been made in the case to the following dispute :—

"Whether the action of the management of Jamadoba Colliery of Messrs Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Jamadoba, District Dhanbad in regarding the date of appointment of Sri Amir Ali, Mechanical Fitter (Ticket No. 2054) from 1st August, 1941 to 22nd October, 1942 is justified ? If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled ?"

On receipt of the reference notices were issued to the parties to file their respective written statements. No written statement has been filed by the parties as yet. The case was posted to-day i.e. 8-1-1980 for filing of written statements. Instead of filing written statements a settlement in writing has been filed by the parties accompanied by as many number of copies of the settlement as required under the rules. The settlement has been signed by the workman concerned and the Branch Secretary of the Union and Sri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate, for management. The terms of the settlement are admitted by the parties before me and they appear to be fair and reasonable. A prayer has been made to accept this settlement and to pass an award in terms thereof. Accepting the prayer of the parties I order that the date of appointment of Sri Amir Ali, Mechanical Fitter (Ticket No. 2054) be corrected as 21-8-1941 in place of 22nd October, 1942 and this correction be carried out in all relevant records.

The settlement filed by the parties before the Tribunal shall form part of the award.

B. K. RAY, Presiding Officer
[No. L-20012/241/78-D. III(A)]

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT NO. 1, DHANBAD

Reference No. 7 of 1979

Employers in relation to the Management of Jamadoba Colliery of M/s Tata Iron & Steel Co. Ltd., P.O. Jamadoba, Distt. Dhanbad.

VS

Their Workmen

The parties above named beg to submit that after discussion and on scrutiny of the relevant records, the dispute referred to the Honourable Tribunal for adjudication has been settled amicably on the following terms :—

1. That the date of appointment of—Sri Amir Ali, Mechanical Fitter, T. No. 2054, the concerned workman will be corrected as 21-8-1941 in place of 22nd October, 1942.
2. That for the purpose of clarification, it is agreed that the date of appointment of Sri Amir Ali will be corrected in all the relevant records as 21-8-1941.
3. That the above terms of settlement fully resolve the dispute pending before the Honourable Tribunal for adjudication.
4. That the above terms of settlement are fair.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that the terms of settlement may be accepted and an Award be passed in terms thereof.

| Sd./- | Sd./- | Sd./- |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| for Union | for Workmen | for Employer |

S.O. 281.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Dhanbad Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Bansjora, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 15th January, 1980.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 11 of 1979

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Loyabad Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Bansjora, District Dhanbad.

AND

Their Workmen

PRESENT :

Mr. Justice B. K. Ray, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers.—Shri P. M. Prasad, Senior Personnel Officer.

For the Workmen.—Shri S. Bose, Secretary, Rashtriya Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE : Bihar

INDUSTRY : Coal

Dhanbad, dated, the 9th January, 1980

AWARD

A reference has been made in the case to the following effect :—

1. Whether the action of the management of Loyabad Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Bansjora, District Dhanbad in not regularising Shri Deokaran Singh as Munshi is justified? If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled and from what date?
2. Whether demand of the workmen of Loyabad Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Bansjora, District Dhanbad for payment of wages for the period May, 1976 to November, 1976 to Shri Deokaran Singh is justified? If so, to what relief is the said workman entitled?"

After receipt of the reference parties were noticed to file their respective written statements. Instead of filing written statements they have filed a settlement and have prayed that an award be passed in terms thereof. Parties present before Court admit the terms of the settlement. The terms appear to be fair and reasonable. Accepting the prayer of the parties it is ordered that Deokaran Singh shall be paid wages of 51 days i.e. from 21-6-76 to 31-6-76, 2-7-76 to 17-7-76 and 3-8-76 to 5-8-76 and that he is not entitled to any other payment. Sri Deokaran Singh shall be placed as Munshi in clerical grade III and he shall be allowed one increment in the existing basic with effect from 1-11-78. He will be posted/transferred/placed as per the requirement of the management anywhere in B.C.C.L.

The settlement filed by the parties before the Tribunal shall form part of the award.

Sd/-

B. K. RAY, Presiding Officer.

[No. L-20012/88/78-D.III(A)]

S. H. S. IYER, Desk Officer

MEMORANDUM OF SETTLEMENT ARRIVED AT BETWEEN THE MANAGEMENT OF BHARAT COKING COAL LTD., SIJUA AREA AND ITS WORKMAN REPRESENTED BY RASHTRIYA COLLIERY MAZDOOR SANGH ON 17-11-1978

PRESENT

On behalf of the management : On behalf of the Union :

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (1) Shri R. S. Singh, General Manager, BCCL, Sijua. | Shri G. D. Pandey, Authorized Representative Rashtriya Colliery Mazdoor Sangh |
| (2) Shri K. C. Nandkeolyar Deokaran Singh, Personnel Manager, BCCL, Sijua Area. | Concerned workman |

"SHORT RECITAL OF THE CASE"

The Union namely Rashtriya Colliery Mazdoor Sangh took up the dispute of Shri Deokaran Singh before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner (C), Dhanbad stating therein that Shri Deokaran Singh, who was working as Hauleg Khalasi at Loyabad Colliery (Cat. III) should be paid wages for the period March '76 to October '76 during which period he worked in connection with the propaganda for the Family Planning at the colliery. The matter has also been taken earlier by the Labour Enforcement Officer, Katrasgarh on the complaint of Shri Deokaran Singh. In this connection an enquiry was also conducted by Shri H. R. Choudhary, Personnel Officer of Loyabad Colliery, whose report was received. The Personnel Officer in his report stated that Shri Deokaran Singh was entitled to 51 days wages only i.e. from 21-6-76 to 31-6-76, 2-7-76 to 17-7-76 and 3-8-76 to 5-8-76. The other claim of the union was that Shri Deokaran Singh had worked as Munshi for more than two years prior to November '76. The matter having been discussed with the Union was amicably settled at the following terms and conditions :—

"Terms of Settlement"

1. That Shri Deokaran Singh shall be paid wages for 51 days for the period as indicated in the "Short Recital" mentioned hereinabove and that he is not entitled for any other payment excepting the days mentioned therein.

2. That Shri Deokaran Singh shall be placed as Munshi in clerical grade III and he shall be allowed one increment in the existing basic with effect from 1-11-78. He will be posted/transferred/placed as per the requirement of the management anywhere in BCCL.

3. That the dispute which ended in failure before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner (C), Dhanbad (vide file No. 1/194/77-D-3) stands settled fully and finally in all respects.

4. That since the dispute in the matter stands settled by virtue of this settlement the Union and the management shall jointly withdraw any dispute whether referred or likely to be referred.

5. That this settlement shall be registered under Rule 58(4) of Industrial Dispute (Central) Rules, 1957.

Sd/-

(R. S. Singh)

General Manager

Sd/-

(K. C. Nandkeolyar)

Personnel Manager

Sd/-

(G. D. Pandey)

Authorised Representative

RCMS.

Sd/-

(Deokaran Singh)

Concerned workman

WITNESSES :

(1) Sd/- Illegible

(2) Sd/- Illegible

Dated, Sijua

the 17th November '78

Copy to :—(By Registered A/D).

(1) Asstt. Labour Commissioner (Central), Dhanbad

(2) Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Dhanbad

- (3) Secretary to Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment, New Delhi.
- (4) Chief Labour Commissioner (C), New Delhi.
- (5) Shri G. D. Pandey, Authorised Representative RCMS.
- (6) Concerned workman.
- (7) General Manager (Personnel), Karmik Bhawan, Dhanbad.
- (8) P.M. (IR), Karmik Bhawan, Dhanbad.
- (9) P.M. (Legal), Karmik Bhawan, Dhanbad.
- (10) Area Manager (Finance), Sijua.
- (11) Settlement file.

Sd/-
(R. S. Singh)
General Manager,
Sd/-
(K. C. Nandkeolyar)
Personnel Manager

Sd/-
(G. D. Pandey)
Authorised Representative
RCMS.
Sd/-
(Deokaran Singh)
Concerned workman.

New Delhi, the 18th January, 1980

S.O. 282.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Rajasthan, Jaipur, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Central Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th January, 1980.

**CENTRAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, RAJASTHAN,
JAIPUR**

REFERENCE :

Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi
Order No. L-12012/130/75-D. II. A dated November
1, 1975.

In the matter of an Industrial dispute

BETWEEN

The employers in relation to the Central Bank of India.
AND

Their workman Shri Kishore Singh Rathore, clerk in the
Branch of the said Bank.

PRESENT :

For the workman—Shri Tara Chand Gupta.

For the bank—Shri D. N. Sharma.

Date of Award—3-12-79.

AWARD

The Central Government referred the following industrial dispute existed in between the employer in relation to the Central Bank of India, Sansar Chandra Road, Jaipur and their workman Shri Kishore Singh Rathore represented by All India Central Bank Staff Federation, Gazabab in the matter of termination of his services for adjudication to this Tribunal under the order No. L-12012/130/75/D.II.A dated 25-11-1975 :

"Whether the management of the Central Bank of India is justified in terminating the services of Shri Kishore Singh Rathore, Clerk in the Jaipur Branch of the said Bank with effect from the 6th September, 1972. If not, to what relief is the said workmen entitled ?"

The Joint Secretary, All India Central Bank Staff Federation preferred a claim in the dispute with the contention that Shri K. S. Rathore was appointed as a clerk in Jaipur Branch of Central Bank of India on 7-3-1972. He was given a temporary appointment though he was actually employed against a permanent vacancy on permanent requirement of

staff. He worked till 5-9-72 with intermittent breaks which were artificial and mala fide and were deliberately given in order to circumvent the continuity of his service and the rights flowing therefrom. His services were terminated with effect from 5-9-72 without giving any notice as required under para 522 of Shashtri Award. It was also averred that Shri Rathore had qualified the prescribed written test for permanent employment in the bank before the above said appointment, but he was not called for interview. On that the federation took up the matter with the management and thereafter it agreed to call him for interview to be held on 18-8-72; but he was not allowed to appear in the interview without assigning any reason. In numerous cases the bank had taken up the new hands in its permanent service without their qualifying in any recruitment test and interview. Shri Rathore was not absorbed in permanent post and his services were terminated. Thus it was alleged that the action of the bank was discriminatory. His termination from service was also alleged to be illegal for want of notice required to have been given under clause 522 of Shashtri Award and also on the ground that it was against the clause 20.8 and 20.12 of Bipartite settlement. Thus it was prayed that his termination order be set aside and he should be reinstated with full back wages and with continuity of service.

The claim was opposed by the opposite party bank with the contention that Shri Kishore Singh Rathore was appointed as a temporary clerk on temporary basis in terms of Bipartite settlement clause 20.7. He was not appointed on any permanent vacancy. He was appointed for a fixed term as such notice under term 522 of Shahstri Award was not required to be given; as the term itself constituted sufficient notice. It was admitted that Shri Kishore Singh Rathore applied for the post of a clerk in the reserved category advertised by the bank for recruitment of clerks and he was allowed to sit in the written test inadvertently, as he was not qualified to apply in the reserved category for want of 15 years requisite service of his father in the bank. He had qualified and was also called for interview, but he failed. Thus the opposite party bank denied all the grounds taken by the federation in the claim and prayed that the claim be dismissed.

Shri Kishore Singh Rathore and Shri K. K. Chaturvedi were examined on behalf of federation. Shri Ganga Savan, Chief Internal Auditor of the opposite party bank was examined in rebuttal. A number of documents were produced and proved by both the parties.

The following are the main points for decision in the case :

- (1) Whether the appointment of Shri Kishore Singh Rathore was temporary or permanent ?
- (2) Whether the termination of services of Shri K. S. Rathore was illegal and unjust on grounds :
 - (a) being effected without service of notice ; and
 - (b) being discriminatory as other temporary hands were absorbed on permanent posts, while the services of Shri Rathore were terminated ?

Re : Point No : 1—Shri K. S. Rathore was given temporary appointment on 7-3-72 vide appointment memo Ex-W-3, thereby he was appointed for a period of one month with effect from 7-3-72 to 6-4-72 with specific direction that the appointment is purely temporary and it would not create any right or claim for permanent appointment and he would have to appear for written test and interview along with others when recruitment would be made for filling up the permanent vacancy. It appears that this appointment was extended by implication upto 20-5-72 as no fresh appointment letters were given to him. He was again given an appointment as a temporary clerk on the same terms and conditions vide memo Ex-W-4 on 30-5-72 with effect from 29-5-72 terminable on 28-6-72. It has been admitted by the federation that Shri Rathore was not allowed to work on 21-5-72 and was given fresh appointment on 28-5-72 vide para No : 4(I) of the rejoinder. On the expiry of term on 28-6-72 he was not given a fresh appointment, but it was alleged that he was permitted to continue on work and the memo of extension of service Ex-W-5 dated 1-8-72 was given to him thereby the term of appointment was extended upto 21-8-72. This term of appointment was further extended upto 5-9-72 by memo Ex-W-6 and on the expiry of this term his services were terminated. Thus Shri Rathore served from 7-3-72 to 20-5-72 and from 29-5-72 to 5-9-72 ; with intermittent break of 21-5-72 to 28-5-72. There is no dispute between the parties

on these facts. It is urged on behalf of the federation that the above-said appointment of Shri Rathore was against permanent post and he was employed for more than 3 months on such post as such he should be taken to have been appointed temporarily on permanent post. In the circumstances he should not be treated to have been given temporary appointment, but he should be held to be appointed on permanent post. In support of this contention reliance has been placed on the case of Central Bank of India v. Their Workmen decided on 2-8-1974 by the Central Industrial Tribunal Delhi, reported in part-II Gazette of India of 28-9-1974. The contention is not made out as the appointment of Shri Rathore firstly was made specifically under the clause 20.7 of the Bipartite Settlement under which a temporary employee is appointed, secondly it is not proved by the federation that Shri Rathore was employed against any permanent post. Shri Rathore had stated in his affidavit that he was employed against a permanent post which fell vacant on account of promotion of clerical staff. In the cross-examination he had stated that Shri R. C. Kothari and Shri B. L. Bhanawat were promoted as officers from the post of clerks. From this version of Shri Rathore it cannot be held that he was appointed against any permanent post firstly on the ground that it was not pleaded specifically that on the promotion of particular employee a permanent post of clerk fell vacant and on that post Shri Rathore was appointed. If it would have been pleaded specifically it would have been answered by the opposite party and specific evidence could have been lead on this point. Shri Ganga Saran Chief Internal Auditor had narrated in his affidavit that Rathore was given a letter for interview on 7-2-72 that few clerks were immediately required by the bank for short period at different offices of the bank as such he may appear for the interview on 15-2-72. In pursuance of this letter he was given a temporary appointment. Besides, permanent appointments on the post of clerks were made through recruitment by holding written tests and interviews. It is apparent from clause 20.8 of the Bipartite settlement that selection on permanent posts is made by recruitment procedure and a temporary clerk if selected for filling up the vacancy then the period spent by such employee in temporary appointment is to be taken into account in probation period. It was also specifically mentioned in his letters of appointment that he would have to appear in the written test and interview along with others while recruitment would be made for filling up permanent vacancy. In the circumstances it has to be relied that Shri Rathore was appointed as a temporary clerk on the temporary post. The case relied by the federation referred above is not applicable to the facts of the case. In that case the workman was employed as a cashier in Banaghat Branch which was a permanent post. He was given temporary appointment on that post from 11-10-69 till 17-1-1973 with intermittent breaks. He also officiated as Head-cashier for some period in another office of the bank. In the circumstances his temporary appointment was taken against the permanent post. In the present case Shri Rathore was appointed temporarily, he worked for 5 months and 28 days with a break of 8 days and it is not proved that he was employed on the post which was permanent. In the circumstances of the present case the appointment was held to be purely temporary in the case of State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur Vs Its workmen decided by Central Industrial Tribunal No. 2, and reported in Part II-Section 3-Sub-Section (ii) of Gazette of India dated 12-8-1972 at page 3137. Therefore, the appointment of Shri Rathore is to be taken as temporary.

It is also urged on behalf of the federation that Shri Rathore continued for more than 3 months on temporary post as such he should be deemed to have been selected and appointed on a permanent post in term of clause 20.8 of Bipartite settlement. The contention raised cannot be relied as the above-said term of Bipartite settlement nowhere provide that if such temporary appointment exceeds 3 months on account of any reason it will change the status of temporary employee to a permanent one.

It only provides that temporary appointments should not exceed 3 months during which the bank should make arrangements for filling up the vacancy permanently. In the present case it has been given in the order that Shri Rathore would have to appear in the written test and interview when the recruitment is made for filling up the permanent vacancy. He was also directed in the letter of the bank Ex-W-7 dated 22-3-72 that he would be called for interview at the time the successful candidate in the ensuing written test would be called for interview. This shows that written test for recruitment of clerks to fill up the vacancies was contemplated to be held after short interval of the appointment of Shri Rathore. In the circumstances, the appointment of

Shri Rathore is to be considered purely temporary one and it can not be taken to have been converted into permanent one only on account of his working on such post for more than 3 months.

It is further urged that Shri Rathore had worked for more than 120 days on the temporary post as such he should be considered to have worked on probation under the term No. 20.11 of the Bipartite settlement. The contention raised cannot be relied as this term of Bipartite settlement applies to the cases of temporary workmen who were in bank service on the date of entering Bipartite settlement, but could not have been covered under the clauses 20.9, 20.10 of the settlement. The Bipartite settlement was entered in October 1966 and Shri Rathore was given appointment in March 72 as such the provision of abovesaid term can not be made applicable to the case.

It is further urged that under the term 20.12 of the Bipartite settlement Shri Rathore should have been given the status of permanent employee. The contention raised is vague and cannot be relied. This clause covers the case of other temporary employee who could not have been covered under the terms of clauses 20.9 to 20.11 of the Bipartite settlement and they were in the service of the bank on the date of Bipartite settlement. Shri Rathore was given appointment under clause 20.7 of the Bipartite settlement as such his case cannot be taken to fall under the clause 20.12 of the settlement.

In view of above it is held that Shri K. S. Rathore was a temporary employee of the opposite party bank appointed under the term 20.7 of the Bipartite settlement.

Re : Point No. 2.—Shri K. S. Rathore was given the second appointment on 29-5-72 under memo Ex-W-4 dated 30-5-72 for a period of one month to expire on 28-6-72. The term of appointment was extended by memo Ex-W-5 dated 1-8-72 to 21-8-72. It was again extended upto 5-9-72, vide memo Ex-W-6 and his services were terminated in the afternoon of 5-9-72 on the expiry of extended term extended by memo Ex-W-6. It is submitted on behalf of federation under clause 522(4) of Sastri Award Shri Rathore ought to have been given a notice of 14 days before the termination of his services, but he was not given any such notice, therefore, his termination from service should be held illegal. Against this it is submitted on behalf of the bank that Shri Rathore was appointed for a limited period and the term of limited fixed period expired on 5-9-72 as such his services were terminated suo moto on 5-9-72 on the expiry of this term and in such cases notice was not necessary for terminating the services of such temporary employee. This contention has been supported by the case B. K. Sharma Vs. State of U.P. 1976 (32) I-LR page 286. The contention of the federation cannot be relied as Shri Rathore was appointed on a temporary post for the fixed period and such extended fixed term was to expire on 5-9-72; and therefore, on the expiry of the term his services is to be treated to have been terminated. The above referred clause of Sastri Award provides that the services of an employee other than a permanent or probationer is terminable after 14 days notice. It appears it relates to the cases of temporary employees of indefinite term as in this clause it has nowhere been provided that the services of such an employee is also terminable on payment of allowances for the notice period. Such a provision has been made in the earlier clauses 522(1) to 522(3) which deals the termination of services of a permanent employee and a probationer. Sub-clause 4 afo provides the payment of pay and allowances by the employee if he leaves the services without notice. In clause 524 where compensation is provided payable at the time of termination of services such a compensation has only been provided to be payable to a temporary employee for indefinite period. From the foregoing provisions it is apparent that the abovesaid clause 522(4) relates to the cases of employees other than permanent or probationer whose termination of services is to be made specific to be effective from a particular date.

Shri Rathore also did not complete 240 days service in a year in order to attract the provisions of Sec. 25 F of Industrial Disputes Act, thereby it could have been relied that any notice was necessary before terminating his services.

In view of above the termination of services of Shri Rathore on the expiry of term of appointment cannot be held to be illegal on the ground that he was not given any notice before the termination of his services.

(b) It is not disputed that Shri Rathore applied for selection as a clerk in the reserved category quota as per recruitment policy of the bank. He was matriculated with mathematics and English as his subjects and had also secured the requisite percentage of marks in the examination to make him eligible as a candidate for recruitment of a clerk in the reserved category. He was permitted to appear in the written test, but he was not allowed to appear before the Interviewing Committee on the ground that he was permitted to appear in the written test by mistake committed inadvertently as he was not eligible to apply for selection as a clerk in reserved category on the ground that his father did not complete 15 years service of the bank and this condition was essential to be filled to make him eligible to appear for such reserved category. It was averred by the bank in reply to the contention of the Federation taken in the claim that Shri Rathore appeared before the Interviewing Committee, but he had failed to qualify in the interview. This fact was denied by the Federation in the rejoinder and it was alleged that he was not permitted to appear before the Interviewing Committee though he was called to appear on 18-3-72. The version of federation taken in this respect appears to be correct, in view of the bank's letter Ex-W-8 dated 8-10-73 sent to the Assistant Regional Labour Commissioner, Ajmer in which it was narrated that he was not called for interview since he was not eligible for being taken up in bank service in terms of recruitment policy applicable to sons and daughters of bank's employee. In this letter it was not narrated that he appeared for interview, but he had failed to qualify. In that case it has to be relied that he was not permitted to appear before the Interviewing Committee.

In view of above facts it is urged on behalf of the federation that other temporary hands who were taken as temporary hands were absorbed by bank on permanent posts, while the services of Shri Rathore were terminated. For this it relied on list Ex-W-9 which contains the name of other temporary clerks who were absorbed agains permanent posts. It was also urged that Shri Pramod Saxena, KK Agarwal, Ashok Mittal, and Rakesh Upadhyay were also absorbed in bank service though they had secured lesser marks in written test than Shri Rathore. Thus it is urged that Shri Rathore was dealt with discrimination in the matter of absorption and this action of the bank should be set aside being in violation of the provisions of article 14 and 16 of Constitution of India. The contention raised cannot be relied as Shri Rathore was not eligible to appear as a candidate for recruitment as a clerk in the reserved category as he did not possess all the requisite qualifications for it. It is true that the bank permitted him to appear in the written test, but for that mistake Shri Rathore was also equally responsible. His father did not have 15 years service to make him eligible to appear as a candidate in reserved category quota; as such he ought not to have applied for the post in the reserved category quota.

In that case it cannot be relied that he was permitted to appear on account of the fault or mistake of the bank and therefore, the bank cannot go back against this action taken by it as he himself was also equally responsible for the aforesaid mistake. In that case the case of Kothainavasi Vs Director of Secondary Education 1973-I LLJ-Madras High Court-page 189 relied by federation to support the above contention is not of any assistance to it. It is an established law that the relatives of employees cannot get preference in the matter of appointment by selection as it is in violation of Article 14 and 16 of Constitution of India. In that case contention of federation that Shri Rathore was denied an opportunity of absorption even after he had qualified in written test held for selection cannot be given any consideration, as he was a candidate in reserved category for which neither he was eligible nor such a preference was permissible.

The contention of the federation that 4 employees namely Shri Pramod Saxena, KK Agarwal, Ashok Mather, Rakesh Upadhyay, were given preference to Shri Rathore even though they had secured lesser marks than him in the written test is also devoid of force as firstly they were eligible candidates for the selection and secondly they were appointed before the validity of giving preference to relatives of bank's employees was challenged. It had been admitted by Shri KK Chaturvedi in his cross-examination that the fathers of these four persons had requisite service to make them eligible to apply as candidate in reserved category quota.

The contention of the federation that the persons named in list Ex-W-9 were temporary hands and were absorbed in permanent vacancy even though some of them did not appear

in the written test and some of them were not called for interview. The contention advanced is vague as it is not specifically made clear either in the claim or in the evidence how the service particulars of these persons are comparable with Shri Rathore in order to judge the above raised contention. It has not been disclosed in the given list or in the evidence what was the nature of their appointment, against which type of post they were appointed and for what duration they had served the bank before they were considered for being absorbed on permanent posts. On this count it cannot be held that Shri Rathore was given discriminatory treatment in the matter of absorption.

It is further submitted that even if Shri K. S. Rathore was not considered for absorption in the reserved category quota, at least he ought to have been considered in the general quota and should have been interviewed by the Interviewing Committee. He was denied this opportunity as such his removal from service should be held unjust. For this reliance has been placed on case of Geeta Vs Central Bank of India, Benaras reported in I.C-September, 1978 (129) page 1271. The contention raised cannot be relied as he had applied for being considered in reserved category quota. His application was considered in the lot of reserved category quota in that case the bank might not have considered it desirable to consider the application again in general quota when it found after the written test that he was not eligible for being considered in reserved category quota. Besides, in the present dispute, if the termination of services of Shri Rathore is found to be legal and just, in that case it cannot be held to be unjust on this ground as the action of the bank in not considering him for selection in the general quota could have been the forum of other legal remedy and cannot be considered in this case if the termination of his service is found otherwise legal and just. The case relied is a case where the action of the bank in not considering the applicants for the selection was challenged in writ jurisdiction. In the circumstances the termination of service of Shri Rathore cannot be held to be illegal and unjust.

Therefore, an award is passed that the action of the management of Central Bank of India in terminating the services of Shri Kishore Singh Rathore clerk in Jaipur Branch with effect from 6-9-72 was valid and justified and he is not entitled to any relief.

Let the award be sent to the Central Government under section 17(1) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

[No. L-12012/130/75-D II A]

M. D. CHOUDHARY, Presiding Officer.

New Delhi, the 19th January, 1980

S.O. 283.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi, and their workmen.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI

I.D. No. 156 of 1977
BETWEEN

Reserve Bank of India Staff Association,
c/o Reserve Bank of India,
Parliament Street,
New Delhi.

AND

The Manager,
Reserve Bank of India,
Parliament Street,
New Delhi.

AWARD

The Central Govt. as appropriate Govt. referred an Industrial Dispute u/s 10 of the I.D. Act, 1947 to Central Industrial Tribunal, Delhi in the following terms :

'Having regard to the circumstances of the case, are the management of the Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi,

justified in treating the absence of 51 employees, whose names are given below, during the period from the 9th April, 1973 to the 14th April, 1973 as extraordinary leave affecting their increment? If not, to what relief are the said workmen entitled?

| Sl. No. | Name & Designation | Dept. | No. of days |
|---------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1. | Shri Om Parkash-I, | Cl. Gr. I (offg) | I.F.D. 4 |
| 2. | Shri A.M. Virmani | " | ADA 1 |
| 3. | Shri i Maman Singh Yadav | Typist | ADS 2 |
| 4. | Sita Ram | Cl. Gr. II | ADS 1 |
| 5. | Shri Sajjan Singh | " | I.F.D. 1 |
| 6. | Shri Lalita Prasad | " | E.C.D. 5 |
| 7. | Shri Ramesh Kumar Mudgal | " | E.C.D. 1 |
| 8. | Shri R.L. Mehta | " | A.D.S. 1 |
| 9. | Shri Rameshwar Lal | " | I.F.D. 2 |
| 10. | Shri Som Nath Puri | CME Gr. II | Cash 2 |
| 11. | Smt. Kaushalya Wadhawan | " | Cash 1 |
| 12. | Shri B.K. Paul | " | Cash 2 |
| 13. | Shri Harish Chander | CME Gr. I | Cash 2 |
| 14. | Shri S.S. Sri Kant | CME Gr. II | Cash 1 |
| 15. | Shri B.M. Mans | " | Cash 3 |
| 16. | Shri Bhajan Singh | " | Cash 2 |
| 17. | Shri Jagdish Kumar | CME Gr. I | Cash 3 |
| 18. | Shri Shashi Dugal | CME Gr. II | Cash 1 |
| 19. | Shri Harbans Lal | " | Cash 2 |
| 20. | Shri Ashwani Kumar Khanna | " | Cash 3 |
| 21. | Shri Suraj Kukreja | " | Cash 4 |
| 22. | Shri Kewal | " | Cash 1 |
| 23. | Shri Ram Niwas Goel | " | Cash 2 |
| 24. | Shri Ajinder Singh | " | Cash 3 |
| 25. | Shri K.L. Bhardwaj | " | Cash 1 |
| 26. | Shri Sri Krishan Gupta | " | Cash 1 |
| 27. | Shri Avtar Krishan Gupta | " | Cash 1 |
| 28. | Shri Rattan Lal | " | Cash 2 |
| 29. | Shri Raj Singh | " | Cash 2 |
| 30. | Shri Suraj Singh | " | Cash 2 |
| 31. | Shri Raj Kumar | " | Cash 1 |
| 32. | Shri K.K. Suram | " | Cash 1 |
| 33. | Shri T.R. Chugh | " | Cash 1 |
| 34. | Shri Krishan Uppal | " | Cash 3 |
| 35. | Shri Vinod Kumar Gupta | " | Cash 4 |
| 36. | Shri Prabodh Sharma | " | Cash 5 |
| 37. | Shri P.L. Kelkar | Cl. Gr. II | I.F.D. 2 |
| 38. | Shri Satya Prakash Aggarwal | Cl. Gr. I | I.F.D. 1 |
| 39. | Shri Ram Lal Nayyar | Cl. (Offg) | I.F.D. 2 |
| 40. | Shri Rattan Lal I | Cl. Gr. I | A.C.D. 1 |
| 41. | Shri M.M. Kaushal | Cl. (Offg) | I.P.D. 1 |
| 42. | Shri Y.P. Anand | " | I.F.D. 1 |
| 43. | Shri J.C. Khurana | Cl. Gr. I | Estate 1 |
| 44. | Shri Ashok Mittal | Cl. Gr. II | Issue 3 |
| 45. | Shri S.C. Khurana | " | Issue 1 |
| 46. | Shri S.S. Sharma | Cl. Gr. I | Issue 1 |
| 47. | Shri Y.R. Grover | " | I.F.D. 1 |
| 48. | Shri V.K. Tejpal | " | I.F.D. 2 |
| 49. | Shri Prem Kumar Gupta | " | Issue 2 |
| 50. | Shri Gulshan Madan | " | I.F.D. 2 |
| 51. | Shri Ravinder Prakash Jain | " | I.F.D. 1 |

2. On receipt of the reference it was ordered to be registered and usual notices were sent to the respective parties. A statement of claim was filed on behalf of the Reserve Bank of India

Staff Association and a written statement was later filed by the Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi. Finally a rejoinder was filed to the written statement by the Association and on the pleadings of the parties following issues were framed by Shri D.D. Gupta, Central Industrial Tribunal, Delhi vide order dated the 25th November, 1975 :

1. Whether this reference is not maintainable in view of the directives in the Aiyar Award?
2. Whether there is valid and proper espousal giving rise to an Industrial Dispute in this case?
3. As in the terms of reference.
3. After the issues were framed the case was fixed for evidence of the workmen and the evidence of the workmen was recorded which consists of statement of W.W. 1 apart from documents Ex. W/1 to Ex. W/5 on 5th July, 1976. Thereafter the case was adjourned to 2nd August, 1976 with a direction to the workmen that they should file the membership register as in 1973 and for evidence of the Management. In the meanwhile it transpired that Shri D. D. Gupta, the Presiding Officer of the Central Industrial Tribunal, Delhi retired and in consequence this matter was transferred vide order dated the 13th May, 1977 to this Tribunal and notices were issued to the parties to appear by this Tribunal. Both the parties appeared on 10th October, 1977 and it was given to understand that the evidence had been led on preliminary issue No. 2 alone and it was submitted that this issue may be disposed of in the first instance and accordingly on the 10th October, 1977 statement of Shri Dalip Singh, Organising Secretary of the Association was recorded in which it was stated by him that 'it is not proposed to lead any more evidence on behalf of the workmen and the documents may be read into evidence. I close evidence of workmen on preliminary issue.' Thereafter Shri N. V. Sundaram, the representative of Reserve Bank of India came forward with a statement that 'The management does not propose to lead any evidence on preliminary issue.' In consequence the case was fixed for arguments. However, on 31st October, 1977 it was found that documents filed had not been formally exhibited and therefore the statement of Shri N. V. Sundaram and Shri J. C. Khurana were recorded in which it was stated by them 'the documents filed by parties on record may be exhibited without formal proof which the parties hereby waive and may be read into evidence.' Thereafter it transpired that once all the documents were led into evidence it would be appropriate to dispose of the entire matter rather than only the preliminary issue and in consequence statement of Shri R. N. Bharagava and Shri N. V. Sundaram, the representatives of the workmen and the Reserve Bank was recorded which reads that 'the documents on record may be read into evidence of the parties and the entire case be determined rather than only preliminary issues.' Thereupon the arguments were heard on the whole case.
4. From the perusal of the statement of claim filed by the Reserve Bank of India Staff Association, it appears that there exists apart from the Staff Association, Employees' Association known as Reserve Bank of India Employees' Association, New Delhi in the Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi and this later Employees' Association had given a call to observe strike on 10th April, 1973 to which call the Reserve Bank of India Staff Association did not subscribe and rather disassociated itself from the said strike call. When the strike ultimately materialised most of the employees remained absent but some of them including the employees whose names are included in the order of reference had applied for leave for the strike period. It is further contended by the Staff Association that it was the established practice in the Bank not to reject leave asked for on medical grounds without advising the employees to submit medical certificate but nonetheless the major number of employees applying for leave were not granted leave applied for. It was in the month of October, 1973 that the Staff Association came to know that the Bank was going to treat all such employees on strike and thereupon matter was taken up with the Bank and later on an Industrial Dispute was raised with the Chief Labour Commissioner vide letter No. 183/74 dated the 10th May, 1974. Conciliation Proceedings were held without any result and ultimately this reference was made. The action of the Bank in not treating the employees mentioned in the reference order on leave has been assailed by the Staff Association and it is demanded now in the statement of claim that all these employees should be

treated on leave and the amount deducted from their salary should be refunded with interest and the date of increment should also be regularised.

5. The claim of the staff association is contested by the Bank inter-alia on the ground that the action of the Bank has been taken in accordance with the provisions of Reserve Bank of India Staff Regulation 1948 by which all the 51 employees whose names are included in the order of reference are governed and that it was not open to the employees to absent from the duties without having first obtained the permission of the competent authority and since in the present case the workmen had absented without previous sanction no pay and allowances are admissible to them. It is also contended by the Bank that the applications for leave had been filed mala fide to avail of the benefit of being on leave and thereby purporting to support the strike and not antagonising the 'employees' association. It has also been contended by the Bank that the dispute has not been sponsored by the Reserve Bank of India 'Employees' Association which is the sole representative association of the class III employees of the Bank and the Staff Association which has raised the dispute is an unrecognised and un-representative association without any support amongst the class III employees of the Bank and as such there is no proper and valid espousal of the matter in dispute. The Bank has relied upon various Staff Regulations including Aiyer Award and has stated that the absence of the workmen was treated as absence pure and simple and according to the regulations they were not entitled to the benefits claimed in the statement of claim.

5. I have gone through the evidence produced by the parties and have heard their representatives at length and after giving my considered thought to the matter before me, I have come to the following findings :

6. I first take up issue No. 3 which is the issue as referred in the order of reference. The order of reference reads 'having regard to the circumstances of the case, are the management of the Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi, justified in treating the absence of 51 employees, whose names are given below, during the period from the 9th April, 1973 to the 14th April, 1973 as extraordinary leave affecting their increment ? If not to what relief are the said workmen entitled ?'

7. The facts admitted by the parties in this case are that there is a recognised Association of class III employees of Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi which is known as Reserve Bank Employees' Association, New Delhi and this Association had given a call for strike w.e.f. 10th April, 1973 and the said strike did materialise. The 51 workmen referred to in the order of reference are stated to be the members of the rival union known as the Reserve Bank Staff Association and they did not attend the office from 9th April, 1973 to 14th April, 1973 and rather sent leave applications to the Bank. Of total 1338 employees in the class III bank has stated that only 22 had attended the office on the relevant dates and of the remaining 977 workmen had submitted applications for leave and the bank sanctioned leave to only 89 persons while treated the others on extraordinary leave disentitling them to any wages for the days of absence and effecting their increments also. Of those who were treated on extraordinary leave 51 are the persons referred to in the schedule to the order of reference. The contention of the Management is that these 51 persons had tried to be clever and tried not to displease the rival union people and rather than actually joining the strike resorted to applying for leave one day prior to the commencing of the strike and the Bank keeping in view the mass leave applications considered each application on merit and decided to grant or refuse leave as it thought proper in the light of the Reserve Bank of India (Staff Regulations), 1948. The contention of the workmen is that the Bank could not have refused leave applications particularly in view of the practice of the Bank in not insisting upon medical certificate in advance in each case. My attention in this behalf has been drawn to Regulations 39, 77 and 94 of Reserve Bank of India Staff Regulations, 1948. From the perusal of regulation 39 I find that it provides as under :

39(1) An employee shall not absent himself from his duties without having first obtained the permission of the competent authority, nor shall he absent himself in case of sickness or accident without submitting a sufficient medical certificate;

Provided that in the case of temporary indisposition the production of a medical certificate may, at the ab-

solute discretion of the competent authority, be dispenses with.

(2) An employee who absents himself from duty without leave or overstays his leave, except under circumstances beyond his control for which he must tender a satisfactory explanation, shall not be entitled to draw any pay and allowances during such absence or overstay, and shall further be liable to such disciplinary measures as the competent authority may impose. The period of such absence or overstay may, if not followed by discharge under Regulation 22 or termination of services under Regulation 25 or dismissal under Regulation 47, be treated as period spent on extraordinary leave.

(3) An employee who is habitually late in attendance shall, in addition to such other penalty as the competent authority may deem fit to impose, have one day of casual leave forfeited for every three days he is late in a month. Where such an employee has no casual leave due to him, the period of leave to be so forfeited may be treated as ordinary or extraordinary leave as the competent authority may determine.

Regulation 77 confers powers to refuse leave or recall an employee on leave upon the Management and it provides as under :

77. Leave cannot be claimed as of right. When the exigencies of the service so require, discretion to refuse or revoke leave of any description is reserved to the authority empowered to grant it, and an employee already on leave may be recalled by that authority when it considers this necessary in the interests of the service.

Regulation 94 refers to the extraordinary leave and provides as under :

94. (1) Extraordinary leave may be granted to an employee when no ordinary leave is due to him and when having regard to his length of service, sick or special leave is not considered justified by the competent authority. Except in exceptional circumstances, the duration of extraordinary leave shall not exceed 3 months on any one occasion and 12 months during the entire period of an employee's service.

(2) A Competent authority may grant extraordinary leave in combination with, or in continuation of leave of any other kind admissible to the employee, and may commute retrospectively periods of absence without leave into extraordinary leave.

(3) No pay and allowances are admissible during the period of extraordinary leave and the period spent on such leave shall not count for increments :

Provided that, in cases where the sanctioning authority is satisfied that the leave was taken on account of illness or for any other cause beyond the employee's control it may direct that the period of extraordinary leave may count for increments.

8. A reading of these regulations clearly shows that the Bank was certainly within its powers to treat these 51 persons on extraordinary leave and thereby not pay them wages for this period and also effecting their increments. Keeping in view the circumstances and the number of absentees the only irresistible conclusion which is possible in this case is that the absence of these workmen was intentional and with ulterior motives. Such like mass leave application even on medical grounds cannot be deemed to be bona fide and as such the Bank was within its rights in not calling upon these persons to appear before a Doctor of the Bank and the action of the Bank cannot be assailed on any ground what-so-ever. Somewhat identical position was considered in a case by the Hon'ble Calcutta High Court which is reported as 1978(1)LLJ-61 entitled S. Bandhopadhyay and Ors. and State of West Bengal and Ors. and it was held therein in somewhat similar circumstances that leave cannot be claimed as a matter of right and order refusing leave is not a penalty. Hypothetical question of extraordinary leave was considered by Hon'ble Justice T. L. Venkatrama Aiver as an Arbitrator and he had observed in para 1527 of his award as follows :

"Then there is the demand that the Bank should not impose extraordinary leave on an employee when other kinds of leave are admissible to him. The reply of the

Bank to this is set out in paragraphs 105 to 107 of its statement. It appears therefrom that this question really arises when an employee is absent without obtaining leave as provided in the rules. The contention of the Bank is that when an employee is unauthorisedly absent, to grant him other kinds of leave than the Extraordinary leave, would be to enable him to claim wages for the period of such unauthorised absence and to further claim the benefit of it for increments. To allow this demand it is said, is to leave it to the employee to decide when he would attend the office and when not in disregard of all the rules relating to leave and that, therefore, the utmost that could be done in his favour would be to treat the period of such unauthorised absence as one of Extraordinary leave which would give him a right to continue in service without any claim to wages or increment for that period. This contention is, in my opinion, sound. I must hold that the Bank has got the right to treat the period of unauthorised absence of an employee as Extraordinary leave."

9. Keeping in view these observations of his Lordship it cannot be said that the action of the Bank in the instant case is uncalled for or malafide. No oral evidence has been led upon this issue by the parties rather reliance has been placed upon the pleadings and the documents on record. Keeping in view the documents on record it cannot be said that the Bank has acted in a partisan manner either rather what is established from the documents on record is that the Bank considered each case before granting or refusing the leave applied for. In view of my discussions above, I hold this issue for the Management and against the workmen and that the workmen are not entitled to any relief what-so-ever in this reference.

10. Issue No. 1 :

The Management has not been able to show as to how this reference is not maintainable. Para 15.7 of the Aiyer Award lays down a general rule while in the present case we are concerned with a particular occurrence. It cannot be said that the subject matter of this dispute cannot be espoused or referred. In view thereof this issue is decided against the Management.

11. Issue No. 2 :

In view of my findings upon issue no. 1 and 3 I do not think I need express myself on this issue. However from the perusal of statement of Shri Ranviril Sharma, the General Secretary of the Reserve Bank of India Staff Association, New Delhi it cannot be said that the case has not been properly espoused. Admittedly Reserve Bank of India Employees Staff Association did exist at that time in the Bank. In the face thereof it cannot be immaterial if it was not a recognised Association. For that matter it would not also effect the espousal. Likewise mere fact that it had only very small workmen as members of this Association would not stand in the way of this Association espousing the matter in dispute. A perusal of Ex. W/1 to Ex. W/5 are confirms that the espousal was properly done and accordingly I decide this issue in favour of the workman.

12. For my discussions and findings above, it is awarded that having regard to the circumstances of the case the Management of the Reserve Bank of India, New Delhi is justified in treating the absence of 51 employees whose names are given in the order of reference during the period from 9-4-73 to 14-4-73 as extraordinary leave effecting their increments and that they are not entitled to any relief what-so-ever. However the parties are left to bear their own costs.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer.

Dated 5-12-1979

[F. No. L-12011/21/74/LR III]

S.O. 284.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Madras, on an application filed under section 33-A of that Act in relations to an industrial dispute between Thiru R. Tirupathi and the management of Corporation Bank Limited, Madras.

BEFORE THIRU T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, B.A., B.L., PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, MADRAS.

(Constituted by the Government of India)

Tuesday, the 18th day of December, 1979

Complaint No. 6 of 1978

BETWEEN

Thiru R. Thirupathi, Ex. Driver, Corporation Bank Limited, C/o The Organising Secretary, Corporation Bank Employees Union, 135, Moore Street, Madras-600001—Complainant.

AND

The Management of Corporation Bank Limited, Madras-600001—Opposite Party.

(In the matter of the reference in I.D. No. 33/1978)

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 33-A OF THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, 1947.

This complaint coming on for final hearing on Friday, the 9th day of November, 1979 upon perusing the complaint counter and all other material papers on record and upon hearing the arguments of Thiru N. G. R. Prasad for Thiruvalargal Row and Reddy and K. Chandru, Advocates for the Complainant and of Thiruvalargal M. R. Narayanaswami and S. Jayaraman, Advocates for the opposite party and this complaint having stood over till this day for consideration, this Tribunal made the following.

AWARD

This is an Application under Section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 by the worker Sri R. Tirupati for his reinstatement with back wages and other benefits applicable to other bank employees as per Bi-partite Settlement.

(2) The Complainant Sri R. Tirupathi filed a complaint before this Tribunal on 29-9-1978 and Respondent-Management Corporation Bank Limited, Madras filed its counter on 16-12-1978.

(3) Today, I am passing an Award in I.D. No. 33 of 1978 holding that the Applicant (Complainant) is not a workman as contemplated under section 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Therefore this Application will not be maintainable. Secondly, even according to the Applicant, his services had been terminated illegally with effect from 19-5-1978. But the date of reference made by the Government of India is 2-6-1978 and the reference has been received by this Tribunal on 12-6-1978. Therefore on the date of reference, the Applicant was not in the employment and in that view, it cannot be held that any action had been taken by the Respondent-Management during the pendency of an Industrial Dispute.

(4) In the result, an Award is passed dismissing the Complaint. No costs.

Dated, this 18th day of December, 1979.

T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, Presiding Officer.
[F. No. D. 3/80/D.II(A)]

S.O. 285.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Madras in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Corporation Bank Limited, Madras and their workmen.

BEFORE THIRU T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, B.A., B.L., PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, MADRAS

(Constituted by the Government of India)

Tuesday, the 18th day of December, 1979

Industrial Dispute No. 33 of 1978

(In the matter of the dispute for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between the workmen and the Management of Corporation Bank Limited, Madras).

BETWEEN

The workmen represented by

The Organising Secretary,
Corporation Bank Employees Union,
135, Moore Street, Madras.

AND

The Manager,

The Corporation Bank Limited,
112, Armenian Street, Madras.

REFERENCE :

Order No. L-12011/34/78-D.I.I.A., dated 2nd June, 1978 of the Ministry of Labour, Government of India, New Delhi.

This dispute coming on for final hearing on Friday, the 9th day of November, 1979 upon perusing the reference, claim and counter statements and all other material papers on record and upon hearing the arguments of Thiru N.G.R. Prasad for Thiruvalargal Row and Reddy and K. Chandru, Advocates for the workmen and of Thiru M. R. Naryanaswami, an Officer (Member, Executive Committee) of the Employers' Federation of India, Bombay for the Management and this dispute having stood over till this day for consideration, this Tribunal made the following

AWARD

This is an Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the Management of the Corporation Bank Limited, Madras referred to this Tribunal for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 by the Government of India in Order No. L-12011/34/78-D.I.I.A dated 2nd June, 1978 of the Ministry of Labour in respect of the following issue :

Whether the demand for treating Shri R. Tirupati, employed as Driver under the Regional Manager, M/s. Corporation Bank Ltd, Madras as regular employee of the Bank and as such entitled to pay and allowances admissible to such employees, is justified? If not to what relief is the workman entitled?

(2) The facts leading upto the present dispute are not in controversy. The claim statement has been filed by the Organising Secretary, Corporation Bank Employees Union, Madras. The Respondent is the Management of Corporation Bank Limited, Madras. The Respondent Bank was originally known as the Canara Banking Corporation Limited and after January, 1973, it came to be called as Corporation Bank Limited. The Respondent-Bank has a branch office in George Town, Madras. Formerly it was located at No. 317, Linghi Street, Madras-1 but after 1974, it was shifted to 112, Armenian Street, Madras-1. However, this branch is popularly called as George Town Branch. The branch is in charge of a Branch Manager who was previously called as the Agent. On 31st December, 1970 under Ex. M-1 the Agent, George Town Branch of the Respondent-Bank was provided with Motor Car for his use. Eventually by about August, 1971, the Manager, George Town Branch was directed to take delivery of the new car provided by the Bank. The terms and conditions under which the car has been provided for the Manager, George Town Branch are set out in detail in Ex. M-2. I shall refer to a few salient features in Ex. M-2. The Bank has specifically informed the Manager under Ex. M-2 that no driver for the car will be appointed by the Bank and that the Manager himself should have to drive the car and in case of necessity if he requires the services of a driver he will have to arrange for the same at his own personal expense. However, on the representation of the Manager as a special case, a monthly allowance at the rate of Rs. 150 was allowed to the Manager for a period of only three months in order to learn driving. The said allowance

will stand withdrawn completely at the end of the period of three months from the date of delivery of the car. The Management expected that within a period of three months the Manager will get enough confidence to drive the car independently on the main roads of the city. Actual expenses towards fuel—not exceeding Rs. 200 per month was allowed on Bank's account. If the expenditure exceeds Rs. 200 per month it must be ratified by the Management for proper reasons. The facility of the car now provided may be withdrawn at any time at the discretion of the Management. On 12-1-1972, the Manager of George Town Branch had written Ex. M-5 to the Head Quarters, in which, he refers to the monthly allowance granted under Ex. M-2 for a period of three months. In Ex. M-5, he has stated that he has not yet attained enough confidence to drive the car in the main roads of the city. Therefore he requested that a sum of Rs. 100 per month may be granted to him to meet part of the salary paid to the driver. He has also undertaken that the driver will be appointed in his personal capacity in conformity with the instructions issued by the Management in 1969. From Ex. M-3, dated 25-1-1972, it appears that the Manager, George Town Branch had made a proposal under Ex. M-5 to fix a monthly allowance to meet the expenses of car. But the Management turned down this proposal and insisted that the Manager himself to get himself thorough in driving the car and achieve enough confidence to drive on the main roads within another one month and till then the present arrangement may be continued. The Management had stated that it will not be possible to continue the arrangement on a regular basis. It may be remembered that the Manager was Sri U. Vasudeva Nayak. Under Ex. M-4, dated 27th March, 1972, as a very special case, the Management sanctioned a sum of Rs. 100 per month from 1-3-1972 towards business development allowance. The Manager Sri U. Vasudeva Nayak appointed Sri R. Tirupati as a driver under him from June, 1973. The Regional Manager was paid Rs. 225 per month as allowance to meet the expenditure which he could incur in having the driver and the Regional Manager has to pay Rs. 75 per month to the Bank towards using the car for his non-official work. Sri U. K. Nayak was transferred to Hyderabad on 19-5-1978 and therefore on his transfer, the services of his driver Sri R. Tirupati came to an end. Whereupon, the present reference has been made by the Government of India to find out whether the demand for treating Sri R. Tirupati as a regular employee of the Respondent-Bank is justified. The stand of the Respondent-Management Bank is that Sri R. Tirupati was only a personal driver of the Regional Manager Sri U. V. Nayak and therefore when he was transferred to Hyderabad, the services of Sri Tirupati came to an end and that the aforesaid Tirupati was never an employee of the Respondent-Bank and so he can never be treated as the employee and therefore in the absence of relationship of employer and employee between the aforesaid Sri R. Tirupati and the Respondent-Bank, the present reference is bad in law and incompetent. Therefore the crucial aspect that has to be ascertained is that if whether Sri R. Tirupati who was employed by Sri U. Vasudeva Nayak, the Regional Manager of the Respondent-Bank was in fact the employee by the Respondent-Bank.

(3) I have already set out the terms and conditions under which the Respondent-Bank had given the car to the Officer concerned in Ex. M-2 dated 1-9-1971. From Ex. M-2, Ex. M-5, Ex. M-3 and Ex. M-4 it can be noted that the Respondent-Bank certainly owns the vehicle in question and agreed to meet the fuel expenses and general maintenance of the said vehicle to certain extent and for this purpose the Regional Manager was granted a special allowance of Rs. 225 per month. Out of which, the Regional Manager has to pay a sum of Rs. 75 every month to the Bank towards using the car for his non-official work. Moreover, from the documents placed, it can be seen that the Respondent-Bank had made it absolutely clear that the Manager has to drive the car himself and no driver will be provided by the Bank and to enable the Manager to pick up driving initially an allowance of Rs. 150 per month was granted by the Bank to the Manager. Extension of this allowance was also made because the Manager did not attain sufficient proficiency to drive the car during the period of three months given by the Management. Therefore it is clear that although the vehicle is owned by the Management and the maintenance of the vehicle is met by the Management, it is the look out of the Manager to drive the car himself or with the assistance of anybody else of his choice. According to the Management,

Sri R. Tirupati was the domestic servant engaged by the Regional Manager and whose services had been utilised by the Regional Manager for driving the vehicle assigned to him by the Bank. In this context, I may only refer to the request of the Manager under Ex. M-5 on 12-1-1972 that he may be given a monthly allowance of Rs. 100 to meet the part of the salary to driver and that the driver will be appointed in his own personal capacity. In Ex. M-2 I may also point out that while giving the car to the Manager and meeting fuel expenses not exceeding Rs. 200 per mensem the Bank has made it clear that the value of the perquisite will be determined in accordance with the provisions of the Income-tax act in force from time to time and the said value will be added to the salary income of the Manager for purpose of income-tax. This in my view is a significant clause giving the value of perquisite given to the Manager as part of the income of the Manager under the Income-tax Act. On these materials, it can be held that Sri R. Tirupati was the personal driver of the Manager Sri U. Vasudeva Nayak and was not employed by the Respondent-Management.

(4) Learned counsel for the Petitioner-Union Thiru Prasad however contends that there is a camouflage and that Sri R. Tirupati was in fact the employee of the Respondent-Bank alone. Therefore one has to lift the veil or looking at the conspectus of factors governing employment to discern the naked truth, though draped in different perfect paper arrangement whether the Respondent-Bank is the real employer of Sri R. Tirupati. Almost on par with the present facts, a case has been decided by the Supreme Court in a case reported in 1978—I—LL.J.—Page 312 (Employers in relation to Punjab National Bank vs. Ghulam Dastagir). In that citation also, the question that arose for consideration was whether the workman concerned was in fact employed by the Bank or was merely employed by the Area Manager. In that case also, the Area Manager was given a personal allowance of Rs. 200 by the Bank to enable him to employ a personal driver of his own. On the facts of the present case also, it is clear that even the Manager wanted a part of the salary that he paid to his driver to be met by the Respondent-Bank and when the Officer concerned was appointed Sri R. Tirupati was transferred to Hyderabad, naturally the services of his driver, viz., Sri R. Tirupati came to an end. The Supreme Court held that there is no camouflage or circumvention of any statute and there is no nexus between the driver and the Bank. One important test adopted by the Supreme Court from the aforesaid citation is (incidentally this has been considered to be the most satisfactory test) by which to ascertain who is the employer at any particular time, is to ask who is entitled to tell the employee the way in which he is to do the work upon which he is engaged. It is common ground that Sri R. Tirupati has not been appointed by the Respondent-Bank at any point of time. The aforesaid Tirupati is not included in the army of employees in the establishment of the Respondent-Bank. He has not been paid by the Respondent-Bank. He did not sign any attendance register of the Bank. He has no fixed hours of work. As has been pointed out by the Supreme Court that direction and control are telling factors to decide as to whether the driver in the present case is the employee of the Bank. There is nothing on material on record to show that the employment of Sri R. Tirupati is only colourable device resorted by the Respondent-Bank. On the facts considered by the Supreme Court in the citation referred to, the Jeep which the workman was to drive, its petrol and oil requirements and maintenance of vehicle all fell within the financial responsibility of the Bank. I have earlier pointed out how only to a limited expenditure the general expenses on the maintenance of the car is met by the Bank and the Manager has also to pay a sum of Rs. 75 per month to the Bank for having utilised the car for non-official work. The Supreme Court also points out that it is not unusual for public sector industry or Nationalised Banking institutions to give allowances to its high-level officers leaving it to them to engage the services of drivers or others for fulfilling the needs for which the allowances are meant. Therefore merely because a part of the salary expenses of Sri R. Tirupati had been borne by the Manager out of the special allowance granted to him by the Bank for maintaining the car, it does not necessarily follow that the person employed by the Manager was in fact the employee of the Respondent-Management. At this juncture I may also advert to the argument of learned counsel for the Petitioner-Union Thiru Prasad that from Exs. M-1 to M-5 and Exs. W-12, W-13 and W-14, it can

be concluded that Sri R. Tirupati was in fact the employee of the Respondent-Bank. I have already referred to the points made out in Exs. M-1 to M-5. They do not indicate that the person to be engaged by the Manager would be deemed to be the employee of the Bank. On the contrary I pointed out how under Ex. M-1 the amount given to the Manager shall be treated as personal perquisite which is taxable under the income tax against the grantee. With regard to Exs. W-12, W-13 and W-14, that relates to an accident in which the vehicle was involved, one relates to over-speeding and another relates to parking of the car on a prohibited zone. Merely because under Ex. W-12, the Manager in his report to the Commissioner of Police states that "the vehicle was driven by our Driver Shri R. Tirupati" it does not necessarily follow that Tirupati was in fact the driver of the Respondent-Bank. The emphasis in the report was to identify the individual who was actually driving the vehicle belonging to the Respondent-Bank. Even this circumstance is not available in Ex. W-13; where the Manager states that he had to park the car No. TMZ 7419 in a no-parking area for want of space. Under Ex. W-14, the amount actually paid to the Officer, viz., Rs. 175 per month is debited to Business development expenses of the Bank towards maintenance of the office car from January, 1975. By no stretch of imagination can it be said that these documents would demonstrate that Sri R. Tirupati was in fact the employee of the Respondent-Bank. On the other hand, Sri R. Tirupati was not discharging any of the Bank duties enjoined on drivers as contemplated under clause 20.16 of the Bipartite Settlement like transmitting cash, clearing or stationery. On the contrary, the aforesaid clause specifically prohibits any entrustment of such work to private drivers employed by Bank officers. Learned counsel for the Respondent-Bank Thiru S. Jayaraman draws my attention to several entries in pages flagged in Exs. M-6 to M-8 to show that the Respondent-Bank had in fact engaged regular public conveyance for transmitting cash, clearing and stationery work.

(5) Learned counsel for the worker Thiru Prasad also sought to rely on the terms of settlement entered into between a worker who was also employed as a driver of the Respondent-Bank at Calcutta and whose dismissal formed the subject matter of the dispute of Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta in reference No. 48 of 1971 dated 18-5-1971. Ex. W-8 is the Memorandum of Settlement filed between the workmen and the Canara Banking Corporation Limited, Calcutta. Under this Settlement, the driver was taken in as a probationed Peon in terms of the order and as per the provisions of Bipartite Settlement between Indian Banks' Association and All India Bank Employees Association. Ex. W-7 is the Conciliation Failure Report which preceded the reference which ended in the Joint Memo Ex. W-8. Merely because the Management had agreed to a Settlement, it does not necessarily follow that the incumbent is an employee of the Respondent-Bank. There may be valid claim for Sri R. Tirupati to be considered for being appointed as a regular peon-cum-driver under the Respondent-Management. From Ex. W-6, the brief history of the dispute in Calcutta case can be gathered. There, the driver's salary was paid from the Bank's account. In any view, from Exs. W-6, W-7 and W-8, it is hazardous to conclude that Sri R. Tirupati should also be considered to be the employee of the Respondent-Management Bank.

(6) Finally, learned counsel for the Petitioner Thiru Prasad also relies on the unreported decision of our High Court in W.P. No. 3463 of 1976 dated 6-3-1979, a true copy of which was handed over to this Tribunal for the perusal. In that case, the High Court has pointed out to the documentary evidence to show that the driver was in fact paid wages out of the funds of the Bank for the work relating to the Bank done by him. Moreover, the driver was paid batta and the baita paid to the driver was also borne out from the accounts of the Bank. Furthermore, the driver had been asked to go from place to place in connection with the business of the Bank from Ooty branch to Kothagiri and Coonoor branches. But on the facts of the present case, merely because the Manager went to different places in his business and the vehicle was driven by Sri R. Tirupati, it cannot be said that Sri R. Tirupati was transacting any part of the business of the Bank. Furthermore, the payment to Tirupati by the Manager is not taken out in the accounts of the Management. According to Sri R. Tirupati he had gone to even outside station in the car along with the Regional Manager. Significantly he does not even claim that any batta has been paid to him by the

Bank. Further more, the Supreme Court has clearly pointed out that the question in each case turn on his own decision and circumstances and decisions in other cases are rather illustrative than determinative. In that view, the aforesaid unreported decision of the High Court does not very much enhance the claim of Sri R. Tirupati to be held as an employee of the Respondent-Bank. On a consideration of the entire materials I am unable to accept the case of the workman that the Respondent-Management has adopted a devious method in order to evade payment to the workman concerned the salary and other benefits according to the Bank's scale. Hence I hold that Sri R. Tirupati is not an employee under the Respondent-Bank and cannot be held to be a workman of the Respondent-Management Bank as contemplated under Section 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act. In that view, the reference must be held to be invalid and incompetent in law.

(7) In the result, I hold that the reference is invalid and incompetent in law. However, in 1978-1-L.L.J. Page 312 (Employers in relation to Punjab National Bank vs. Ghulam Dastagir), the Supreme Court has the following pertinent observations to make :

"It is likely that if the Bank had to employ drivers for their vehicles, the terms and conditions would have been much higher but in the private sector individual drivers may be hired on lower pay. This is not a desirable tendency for a public sector undertaking like a nationalised Bank. We hope that the possibility of abuse of the system of drivers' allowances and the obligation of the public sector undertakings to be model employers will lead to a change in the approach of our nationalised Banks and other public sector undertakings towards the issue of employing persons on a private basis by senior officers and the management itself giving some subsidy by way of allowances in lieu of procuring such services. A fair and straight forward method would be for the Bank or like institution to engage its own driving staff. It is also important to remember that the vehicles belong to the industry and if drivers hired on a private basis by officers are allowed to use such vehicles, there may be potential damage and reckless use. In the long run, both from the point of view of employment morality and preservation of institutional property, it may be wise to revise the approach to the issue like the one we are confronted with."

Admittedly, vehicle No. TMZ 7419 owned by the Respondent-Management was purchased some time towards the end of 1971 and the same has been insured for a sum of Rs. 25,000 with Ruby General Insurance Company. Admittedly, there is no driver of the Respondent-Bank who would come within the ambit of Clause 20.16 of the Bipartite Settlement. But all the same, the entire work that can be entrusted to a regular driver had been carried out by the Bank like transmitting cash, clearing or stationery by incurring considerable amount of expenses as already referred to by me earlier. Therefore, if a regular driver is appointed, the Respondent-Bank can avoid those huge expenses periodically incurred. The Bi-partite agreement envisages the post of Peon-cum-Driver and he may be called upon to drive any motor vehicle owned by the Respondent-Bank for bank work. Under these circumstances, the Management may consider the feasibility of appointing Sri R. Tirupati as a Peon-cum-Driver with effect from 19th May, 1978 as contemplated under clause 20.16 of the Bipartite Settlement. In the peculiar circumstances I direct the parties to bear their respective costs.

Dated, this 18th day of December, 1979.

T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, Presiding Officer
[F. No. I-12011/34/78/D.II(A)]

WITNESSES EXAMINED

For both sides : None

DOCUMENTS MARKED

For workmen :

Ex. W-1/11-11-76—Letter from the Union to the Management regarding regularisation of appointment of Thiru R. Thirupathi.

- Ex. W-2/27-12-76—Letter from the Union to the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Madras requesting to direct the Bank to regularise the appointment, etc.
- Ex. W-3/17-1-77—Conciliation letter from the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C), Madras to the parties.
- Ex. W-4/24-1-77—Remarks submitted by the Bank to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central)-II, Madras in reply to Ex. W-2.
- Ex. W-5/10-3-78—Letter from the party to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C), Madras sending copies of settlement and joint memo.
- Ex. W-6 - 1971—Memorandum of settlement in the matter of Industrial Dispute between the Canara Banking Corporation Ltd., and Sri Rabi Kumar Mazumdar, Driver. (copy).
- Ex. W-7/28-1-71—Conciliation failure report (copy).
- Ex. W-8/18-5-71.—Joint memo between Thiru Rabi Kumar Majumdar and the Canara Banking Corporation Limited, Calcutta filed before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta. (copy).
- Ex. W-9/2/6-5-78.—Reference for adjudication in I.D. 33/78 of this Tribunal.
- Ex. W-1022/5-78.—Letter from the Union to the Management requesting to entrust duties to Thiru R. Thirupathi.
- Ex. W-11/31-5-78.—Letter from the Union to the Management.
- Ex. W-12/9-8-74.—Letter from the Management to the Commissioner of Police, Madras requesting to excuse the driver for over speed.
- Ex. W-13/16-10-74.—Letter from the Management to the Inspector of Police (Traffic), Vepery Police Station.
- Ex. W-14/31-1-75.—Letter from the Head Office of the Bank to the G. T. Madras Branch regarding remuneration payable to the Driver.

For Management :

- Ex. M-1/31-12-70.—Letter from the General Manager of the Bank to the G. T. Madras Branch regarding provision of Motor Car.
- Ex. M-2/1-9-71.—Letter from the Head Office of the Bank to the G. T. Madras Branch requesting to take delivery of car.
- Ex. M-3/25-1-72.—Letter from the Head Office of the Bank to the G. T. Madras Branch regarding monthly allowance.
- Ex. M-4/27-3-72.——do—sanctioning Rs. 100/- p.m. towards business development allowance.
- Ex. M-5/12-1-72.—Letter from G. T. Madras Branch Bank to the Head Office of the Bank requesting for monthly allowance of Rs. 100/-.
- Ex. M-6.—Sub-Dav Book of the Canara Banking Corporation Limited for the period from 28-10-70 to 31-12-72.
- Ex. M-7.——do— from 1-10-70 to 21-7-72.
- Ex. M-8.——do— from 30-1-73 to 3-6-74.
- Ex. M-9.—Attendance Register of the Madras-1 Branch Bank from August, 1972 to July, 1973.
- Ex. M-10.—Attendance Register of the G. T. Madras Branch Bank for the period from August, 1974 to April, 1975.
- Ex. M-11.—Attendance Register of the G. T. Madras Branch Bank from May, 1975 to April, 1976.
- Ex. M-12.—Acquittance roll of the G.T. Madras Branch Bank for the year 1969.
- Ex. M-13.——do— for the year 1970.
- Ex. M-14.——do— for the year 1971.

Ex. M-15.—Acquittance roll of the G. T. Madras Branch Bank for the year 1972.

Ex. M-16.——do— for the year 1973.

Ex. M-17.——do— for the year 1974.

Ex. M-18.—Attendance Register of the Madras-2 Bank, Regional Office, from October, 1975 to September, 1977.

Ex. M-19.—Acquittance roll of the Regional Office of the Bank for the years 1976, 1977 and 1978.

Ex. M-20/3-4-78.—Conciliation failure report. (copy).

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL

Note : Parties are directed to take return of their document/s within six months from the date of the Award.

S.O. 286.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the State Bank of India, Jaipur, and their workmen.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI

I.D. No. 60 of 1979

BETWEEN

Shri J. K. Milan,
House No. 299, Raja Park, Sindhi Colony,
Jaipur-302004.Petitioner

VERSUS

The Branch Manager,
State Bank of India,
Station Road,
Jaipur.Respondent.

AWARD

The Central Government as appropriate Government made a reference u/s 10 or the I.D. Act, 1947 in the following terms vide their order No. A-12012/179/76-D. II. A dated the 31st October, 1979 to this Tribunal :

'Whether the action of the management of the State Bank of India, Jaipur in terminating the services of Shri Jai Kishan Milan w.e.f. 7th December, 1974 is legal and justified ? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled ?'

2. After this reference was received usual notices were issued to the parties and in pursuance thereof the representative of the Management has come forward and stated that the parties have amicably settled the dispute and after through the settlement I find that it is for the benefit of the workman and therefore I ordered it to be recorded and ultimately the statement of the representative of the Bank—Management was recorded as follows :

'The parties have amicably settled the dispute. I tender Ex. S/1 and Ex. S/2 in this behalf. A no dispute award be made.'

3. In view of the statement recorded above and in view of the terms of settlement Ex. S/1 and Ex. S/2, a no dispute award is hereby made in this reference. Parties are left to bear their own costs.

Dated : the 3rd December, 1979.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer
[F. No. L-12012/179/76/D. II(A)]

S.O. 287.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bank of Baroda and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 5-1-1980.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1. BOMBAY

Reference No. CGIT-27 of 1975

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Bank of Baroda.

AND

Their workmen.

APPEARANCES :

For the employers : Mr. A. J. Menezes, Manager.

For the workman : Mr. George Vaz. Gen. Secretary of the Union.

INDUSTRY : Banking

STATE : Maharashtra

Bombay, the 14th December, 1979

AWARD

The Government of India in the Ministry of Labour by Order No. L-12012/17/75/D-II/A dated the 29th May, 1975 has referred an industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the management of Bank of Baroda and their workman in respect of the matter specified in the following schedule :—

"Whether the management of the Bank of Baroda, Panjim (Goa) is justified in terminating the services of Shrimati Shovtu Soares, Part-Time Sweeper with effect from the 7th October, 1974 ? If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled ?"

2. It seems this matter was settled between the parties for Rs. 1,200 so that the workman gave up her claim for reinstatement. The amount was paid and the consent preceipe was filed in Court. But the worker on reconsideration did not agree to it. Now another Rs. 274.80 are to be paid. This brings the claim as per her demand. She has accepted the fresh consent terms. She has put her Thumb Impression in my presence. I find that on the whole the agreement is to her benefit. It is fair. Hence I direct the Award to be drawn in terms of the operative part of the consent terms filed today as follows :—

"In view of the fact that the Bank of Baroda has agreed to pay the balance amount of Rs. 274.80 award in terms of the agreement arrived at between the parties".

3. Amount to be paid in one week. No order as to costs.

C. T. DIGHE, Presiding Officer.
[F. No. L-12012/17/75-D.II(A)]

in the following terms :

S.O. 288.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Punjab National Bank, Kanpur, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 5-1-1980.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI

I.D. No. 39 of 1979

In re :

The Secretary,
C. P. Bank Employees Union,
C/o Bank of Baroda, Latouche Road,
Kanpur.Petitioner

VERSUS

The Regional Manager,
Punjab National Bank.
The Mall,
Kanpur.

AWARD

The Central Government as appropriate Government vide its order No. L-12012/60/77D.II.A dated the 28th March, 1978 made a reference u/s 10 of the I.B. Act to this Tribunal in the following terms :

Whether the action of the management of the Punjab National Bank Kanpur in imposing the punishment of withholding of one increment and one slab of special allowance from the salary of Shri S. C. Aggarwal, Teller, Saroopnagar Branch Kanpur is justified ? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled ?

2. On receipt of the reference usual notices were sent to the parties, in pursuance whereof a statement of claim by the workman and a written statement was filed on behalf of the Bank. Finally a replication was not filed and on the pleadings of the parties following issues were framed :

ISSUES :

As in the order of reference ?

3. The contention of the workman is that the management has imposed punishment of withholding of one increment and one slab of special allowance from the salary of the workman without any justification. The Bank has contended that the said punishment has been imposed after due charge sheet and enquiry.

4. After the issues were framed the case was adjourned for evidence of the workman to 22nd October, 1979 on which date none appeared for the workman and the case was again adjourned for the evidence of the workman to 17th November, 1979. It was so transpired that on the 17th December, 1979 none appeared for the workman and in consequence ex parte proceedings were ordered against the workman and the case was adjourned for ex parte evidence to today. Today the ex parte evidence of the Bank has been recorded which consists of statement on affidavit of Shri C.K.D. Goeda as M.W. 1 and Shri G. B. Singh as M.W. 2 apart from documents Ex. M-1 to Ex. M-25. Ex. M-1 is the affidavit of Shri C. K. D. Goeda and Ex. M-24 is the affidavit of Shri G. B. Singh. From the perusal of the statement of Shri G. K. D. Goeda read with the statement of Shri G. B. Singh I find that in the instant matter the Bank has held an appropriate enquiry before passed the order whereby the increment of the workman was withheld together with withholding of one slab of special allowance from the salary of Shri S. C. Aggarwal Saroopnagar

Branch at Kanpur. I have perused the enquiry proceedings which are Ex. M/25 and find that enquiry does not suffer from any of the lacunae. It appears from the perusal of the said enquiry that the due opportunity was afforded to the workman and the workman did represent his case through his representative. Similarly the enquiry proceedings go to show that full opportunity was afforded to the workman to cross examine the witnesses of the Management. There is nothing from the perusal of the enquiry proceedings which goes to suggest even remotely that the Enquiry Officer was biased against the workman. It also does not show that the Enquiry Officer acted in undue haste and therefore I find that an proper enquiry was held against the workman. I have perused the grounds of appeal against the order of punishment preferred by the workman to the General Manager and copy whereof is Ex. M-19. From the perusal thereof I find that the only ground which has been taken up by the workman against the enquiry is that the enquiry conducted by Shri G. B. Singh is one sided affair which fact is belied by the enquiry proceedings Ex. M/25. Mere fact that the workman was not cross examined would not in any manner go against the interest of the workman; if at all it is the Management which would suffer if the workman were not cross examined by the representative of the Management. Even without the cross examination of the workman the evidence produced by the Management appears to be sufficient to warrant the conclusion to which the Enquiry Officer has arrived at. I have perused the order of the appellate authority the General Manager which is Ex. M/20 and from the perusal thereof also it cannot be that there is anything to even remotely suggest that the enquiry was not conducted properly or that the order of punishment called for any interference by this Tribunal. The accumulative effect of my discussions is that I find that the action of the Management of Punjab National Bank, Kanpur in imposing the punishment of withholding of one increment and one slab of special allowance from the salary of Shri S. C. Aggarwal, Teller, Saroopnagar Branch, Kanpur is justified and further that the workman was not entitled to any relief whatsoever in this reference.

Dated : the 31st December, 1979.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer

[F. No. L-12012/60/77-D.II(A)]

L. K. NARAYANAN, Under Secy.

